



Original Research Article

Integrated Effect of Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria and Humic Acid on Physiomorphic Attributes of Maize

Nadir Baloach¹, Muhammad Yousaf^{2*}, Wajid Parvez Akhter¹, Shah Fahad²,
Bashir Ullah², Ghulam Qadir¹, and Z.I.Ahmed¹

¹Pir Mehr Ali Shah, Arid Agriculture University, Rawalpindi, Pakistan

²Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan · Hubei Province - 430070, P. R. China

*Corresponding author

ABSTRACT

Keywords

Phosphate solubilizing bacteria, humic acid, biofertilizer, maize

This study aims at elucidating the combined effects of humic compounds and phosphate-solubilizing bacteria to improve the yield characteristics of maize. Use of biofertilizer such as humic acid and phosphate solubilizing bacteria being an environmentally friendly, sustainable and economical approach is attracting the attention of the researchers worldwide and can help to reduce the problems raised by synthetic fertilizer. In order to reduce chemical fertilizer usage, integrated effect of phosphate solubilizing bacteria and humic acid on physiomorphic attributes of maize were tested during the year 2012 at University research farm PMAS, Arid Agriculture University, Rawalpindi. The treatment combinations were the Control, full rate of P fertilizer @ 90 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹, humic acid @ 10 kg ha⁻¹, PSB biofertilizer @ 1 kg ha⁻¹, PSB biofertilizer @ 2 kg ha⁻¹, PSB biofertilizer @ 1 kg ha⁻¹ + humic acid @ 10 kg ha⁻¹, PSB biofertilizer @ 2 kg ha⁻¹ + humic acid @ 10 kg ha⁻¹. The experiment was laid out in randomized complete block design (RCBD) with 4 replications. The result showed that the treatment where PSB biofertilizer @ 2 kg ha⁻¹ + humic acid @ 10 kg ha⁻¹ was applied showed an increase in biological yield, grain yield, Stover yield and harvest index by 28, 50, 18 and 22% respectively, as compared to control. It is concluded that the use of chemical herbicide can be minimized by using phosphate solubilizing bacteria and humic acid.

Introduction

Chemical fertilizers are commonly used to full fill the deficiency of soil nutrients, which results in high environmental contamination and also uneconomical (Dai *et al.*, 2004). Chemical fertilizers have several negative impacts on the environment and sustainable agriculture. Therefore,

biofertilizers are recommended in these conditions while PSB biofertilizer is used as a replacement of chemical fertilizers (Wua *et al.*, 2005). Plants use minor concentration of phosphate fertilizers that are applied in the soil and the remaining is quickly changed into insoluble complexes (Vassilev

and Vassileva, 2003). This directs to the need of repeated synthetic phosphate fertilizer application, but its use as an even phosphorous source is uneconomical and also unfriendly to the environment (Reddy *et al.*, 2002). It is well known that more than two-third of phosphatic fertilizers is rendered unavailable within a very short period of time. A substantial amount of phosphorus accumulation in soils was obtained soon after application of phosphorous fertilizer usually that is fixed; resulting in low recovery by crops (Alam and Ladha, 2004).

Bolan and Duraisamy (2003) described that phosphate solubilizing bacteria (PSB) play a significant part in phosphorus nutrition by improving phosphorus accessibility to the plants through the release of organic and inorganic soil phosphorus pools by mineralization and solubilization. Application of phosphate solubilizing bacteria as inoculants enhance phosphorous uptake. Humic acid is also an important constituent and an intimate part of the soil organic structure which is highly effective in improving soil condition and plant growth (Pettit, 2004). Humic acid is one of the main components of humic substances. Humic matter is formed through the biological and chemical humification of dead animal and plant parts and through the biological actions of microorganisms, having high molecular weight, resistance to decay and ranging in color from yellow to black. Humic acid, which is used as a commercial product normally contains, 6-8% H, 44-58% C, 0.5-4% N, 42-46% O and many other components (Larcher, 2003). Humic acid may be utilized in agriculture as a fertilizer, plant growth promoter, nutrient carrier and soil conditioner (Bidegain *et al.*, 2000). Small concentrations of humic acid has been reported to enhance shoot length, plant growth, root length, moisture and nutrient

uptake significantly (Ylmaz, 2007). The use of phosphate-solubilizing bacteria as inoculants.

Simultaneously increases P uptake by the plant and crop yield. Strains from the genera *Pseudomonas*, *Bacillus* and *Rhizobium* are among the most powerful phosphate solubilizers (Rodriguez and Fraga, 1999). The principal mechanism for mineral phosphate solubilization is the production of organic acids such as acetic, succinic, propionic, butyric, formic, oxalic, and citric acids (Perez *et al.*, 2007). Acid phosphatase plays a major role in the mineralization of organic phosphorous in soil (Gyaneshwar *et al.*, 1998). Phosphate solubilizing bacteria added to media or soil significantly increases acid phosphatase activity at day 7 and maintained this value until the end of 21 days, about 900 μg p-nitrophenyl phosphate day⁻¹ (Premono 1994). In Pakistan during 2009-2010 maize production was 3,262 thousand tons, which was increased to 3,341 thousand tons in 2010-11, showing an increase of 2.4% (Fahad and Bano, 2012). In Pakistan, maize is the third important crop after wheat and rice (Fahad and Bano, 2012). In the year 2010-2011 total area under maize crop in Pakistan was 974.3 thousand hectares with 3706.9 thousand tons of total production (Fahad and Bano, 2012). The aim and objective of our present study were to investigate the integrated effect of PSB and humic acid on yield attributes of maize.

Materials and Methods

To investigate the integrated effect of phosphate solubilizing bacteria and humic acid on physiomorphic attributes of maize, an experiment was conducted at the University Research Farm, PMAS Arid Agriculture University Rawalpindi during the spring season, 2012. The soil was silt loam having 0.53 percent organic matter.

The seeds of maize (*Zea mays* L.) obtained from National Agricultural research center (NARC) Islamabad, were surface sterilized with 95% ethanol followed by sterilization in 10% chlorox solution for 5min by shaking and cultivated in the field.

The test variety Islamabad gold was sown at the rate of 30 kg ha⁻¹. Individual plot size for each treatment was 6m x 8m with row spacing of 75 cm and plant to plant distance of 25 cm. A field experiment was performed by using a RCBD design with four replications. The recommended dose of NPK @ 200-120-125 kg ha⁻¹ was applied. Humic compounds extracted from rice straw compost are added to the soil and are incubated for 30 days. The compost is previously prepared by composting rice straw, naturally in the field (no added chemicals and decomposers). The humic compounds in the compost are extracted by squeezing and diluting the compost in water. The amount of humic compounds obtained from this simple water squeezing and dilution method is 5.63 mg kg⁻¹ containing 13,000 me COOH 100g⁻¹ humic compound.

Statistical Analysis

Data collected for different characteristics were statistically compared at 5% level of probability with a least significance difference (LSD) as described by Montgomery (2001).

Results and Discussion

Data presented in table. 1 revealed that plant height has shown significant differences among different humic acid and PSB treatments. Maximum plant height (159.25 cm) was recorded for treatment where PSB biofertilizer @ 2 kg ha⁻¹ + humic acid @ 10 kg ha⁻¹ were applied. Plant height, i.e. 153.50 cm recorded in the plots where PSB

biofertilizer @1 kg ha⁻¹ + humic acid @ 10 kg ha⁻¹ were applied. The treatment PSB biofertilizer @ 2 kg ha⁻¹ was obtained 145.50cm plant height. This increase in plant height is due to the availability of plant nutrients provided by the humic acid and PSB biofertilizers. PSB inoculation and humic acid have the highest efficiency to enhance plant growth by providing nutrients especially phosphorous to the plants. Height of plant is a vital factor and although it is mostly influenced by genetic factors yet it has significantly influenced by nutrient, environmental factor and water stress and weed infestation. More plant height is a symbol of more vegetative growth and less height of the plant indicates under plant growth. Both of them causes poor grain development and results in decrease of final grain yield. An optimal plant height is required for better plant stand and result in high crop yield. Finding of the study in accordance with Sarawgi *et al.* (1999) who described that inoculation of phosphate solubilizing bacteria increase nodulation, plant growth and grain yield of chickpea crop. David *et al.* (1994) reported that the addition of humic substances, which act in a similar way to growth hormones, promoted the growth and nutrient uptake of plants. Similarly, the maximum cob length (17 cm) was recorded in the plots where PSB biofertilizer @ 2 kg ha⁻¹ + humic acid @ 10 kg ha⁻¹ were applied. Cobs length (16.4 cm) was recorded in the plots where Full rate of P fertilizer @ 90 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ was applied. Cobs length was recorded (14.1cm) in the plots where PSB biofertilizer @ 1 kg ha⁻¹ was applied. The increase in cob length was found due to the availability of plant nutrients. The results of the current are also in conformity to the finding of Mohammad pour khan eghah *et al.* (2012) who testified that cob length of maize enhanced with the application of liquid humic acid.

Table.1. Effect of phosphate solubilizing bacteria (PSB) and humic acid on Plant height, Cob length, Grains number per cob⁻¹, 1000-grain weight, Biological yield (kg ha⁻¹) and Grain yield of maize

Treatments	Plant height (cm)	Cob length (cm)	Grains number per cob ⁻¹	1000-grain weight (g)	Biological yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	Grain yield (kg ha ⁻¹)
Control	110.50 d	13.9 c	289 e	190 c	4730.3 c	1238 d
Full rate of P fertilizer @ 90 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹	140.75 abc	16.4 ab	355 c	210 b	5625 ab	1630 bc
Humic acid @ 10 kg	130.25 bcd	15.5 b	323 d	192 c	5288 b	1517 c
PSB biofertilizer @ 1 kg ha ⁻¹	124.50 cd	14.1 c	299 e	197 c	5185.3 bc	1499 c
PSB biofertilizer @ 2 kg ha ⁻¹	145.50 ab	16.3 ab	377 b	210 b	5706.3 ab	1689 bc
PSB biofertilizer @ 1 kg ha ⁻¹ + humic acid @ 10 kg ha ⁻¹	153.50 a	16.4 ab	387 ab	219 b	5875.3 a	1798 ab
PSB biofertilizer @ 2 kg ha ⁻¹ + humic acid @ 10 kg ha ⁻¹	159.25 a	17.0 a	398 a	232 a	6061.5 a	1957 a
LSD (0.05)	20.802	1.1524	17	9.7	530.43	228.6

In the meanwhile, highest grains per cob (398) were recorded in the plots where PSB biofertilizer @ 2 kg ha⁻¹ + humic acid @ 10 kg ha⁻¹ were applied. The least grains numbers per cob was recorded (299) in the treatment where PSB biofertilizer @ 1 kg ha⁻¹ was applied. Increase in grain number per cob may be attributed to increasing the availability of plant nutrients by the humic acid and PSB biofertilizer. Finding of this study in accordance with Winarso *et al.* (2011) combined effects of humic acid and PSB (*Pseudomonas putida*) increase the availability of nutrients and yields of soybean. Whereas, the heavier 1000-grain weight 232 g compared to control was recorded in the plots where PSB biofertilizer @ 2 kg ha⁻¹ + humic acid @ 10 kg ha⁻¹ were applied. The minimum 1000-grain weight that is 192 as compared to control was recorded in the plots where humic acid @ 10 kg ha⁻¹ was applied. Increase in 1000-grain weight of common millet due to the application of humic acid was informed by (Veysel *et al.*, 2011).

Similar results were described by Yazdani *et al.* (2009) that grain weight of maize increased with the application of phosphate solubilizing microorganisms.

The maximum increase in biological yield 28.14 percent over control was recorded in the plots where PSB biofertilizer @ 2 kg ha⁻¹ + humic acid @ 10 kg ha⁻¹ were applied (table. 1). Twenty four percent increase in biological yield over control was recorded in the plots where PSB biofertilizer @ 1 kg ha⁻¹ + humic acid @ 10 kg ha⁻¹. In the plots where humic acid @ 10 kg ha⁻¹ was applied showed (11.78 percent) increase in biological yield over control. Whereas, full rate of Full rate of P fertilizer @ 90 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ increase (18.91 percent) biological yield over control. These results agreed with the finding of Ayasand Gulser (2005) who reported that humic acid leads to increased growth and height and subsequently increased biological yield through the increasing nitrogen content of the plant. Tan (2003)

also reported that application of humic acid in nutritional solution led to increased content of nitrogen within aerial parts and growth of shoots and root of maize.

The maximum increase in grain yield (50.04 percent) over control was recorded in the plots where PSB biofertilizer @ 2 kg ha⁻¹ + humic acid @ 10 kg ha⁻¹ was applied, followed by (45.16 percent) increase in grain yield over control was recorded in the plots where PSB biofertilizer @1 kg ha⁻¹ + humic acid @ 10 kg ha⁻¹ was applied. The minimum increase in grain yield (21.02 percent) over control was recorded in the plots where PSB biofertilizer @ 1 kg ha⁻¹ was applied. These results are in conformity with the work of Shahryari and Shamsi (2009) who reported that application of humic acid along with a PSB increase grain yield of wheat. Grain yield is the function of the interaction of various genetic and environmental factors influencing the yield components. Variation in these factors may bring a variation in grain yield. Grain yield of Maize was considerably enhanced by all the treatments over control. These results are also agreeing with Mohamed *et al.* (2009) who indicated that crop yield in maize increased by application of humic acid based fertilizers. The same results were also reported by Juhi *et al.* (2011).

Humic substances (HS) are the major fraction of the soil organic matter which represents the final stage of a complex interaction between non-living organic matter and microbial communities. PSB and humic acid have great influence on the production of maize crop. PSB and humic acid improve the ability of soil to solubilize phosphorus for better crop production. Different characteristics of maize were increased in the treatments where different concentration of PSB

biofertilizer applied with humic acid. On the basis of this study, it may conclude that integrated effect of PSB and humic acid is a useful, efficient and economical practice for supplying nutrients to the crop plants and enhancing crop yield. However, such studies may be continued for further confirmation of results. This synergy of effects favors the increase in population and activity of selected microbes and the consequent response to plant growth promotion, thus opening opportunities to develop a new generation of biofertilizers for sustainable agricultural systems.

References

- Alam, M. M. and J. K. Ladha. 2004. Optimizing phosphorus fertilization in an intensive vegetable-rice cropping system. *Biol. Fertil. Soil.*, 40: 277-283.
- Ayas, H. and F. Gulser. 2005. The effect of sulfur and humic acid on yield components and macronutrient contents of spinach. *J. Biol. Sci.*, 5: 801- 804.
- Bidegain, R. A., M. Kaemmerer, M. Guiesse, M. Hafidi, F. Rey, P. Morard and J. C. Revel. 2000. Effects of humic substances from composted or chemically decomposed poplar sawdust on mineral nutrition of ryegrass. *J. Agric. Sci.*, 134: 259-267.
- Bolan, N. S. and P. Duraisamy. 2003. Role of inorganic and organic soil amendments on immobilization and phytoavailability of heavy metals: a review involving specific case studies. *Aust. J. Soil Res.*, 41: 533-555.
- Dai, J., T. Becquer, J. Rouiller, H. Reversat, G. Bernhard and F. Lavelle. 2004. Influence of heavy metals on C and N mineralization and microbial biomass in Zn, Pb, Cu, and Cd contaminated soils. *App Soil Ecol.*, 25: 99-109.
- David, P., P. Nelson, P. V and D.C. Sanders. 1994. A humic acid improves growth of tomato seedling in solution culture. *J. Plant. Nutr.*, 17:173-184.
- Fahad, S. Bano, A. (2012). Effect of salicylic

- acid on physiological and biochemical characterization of maize grown in saline area. Pak. J. Bot., 44 (4): 1433-1438.
- Gyaneshwar P, Naresh KPG, Parekh JL (1998). Effect of buffering on the phosphate-solubilizing ability of microorganisms. World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol., 14: 669-673.
- Juhi, Y., S. Yadav and S. G. Singh. 2011. Plant growth promotion in wheat crop under environmental by PSB as bio-fertilizer. Res. J. Agri. Sci., 2: 76-78.
- Larcher, W. 2003. Physiological Plant Ecology: Ecophysiology and stress physiology of functional groups, 4th. Edition, Springer, New York.
- Mohammad pour khan eghah, A., R. Shahryari, Y. Alaei and B. Shahmoradmoghanlou. 2012. Comparison of the effect of liquid humic fertilizers on yield of maize genotypes in Ardabil region. Afri. J. Biotech., 11: 4810-4814.
- Mohamed, A., A. Bakry, Y. R. A. Soliman and S. A. M. Moussa. 2009. Importance of micronutrients, organic manure and bio-fertilizer for improving maize yield and its components grown in desert sandy soil. Res. J. Agric. & Bio. Sci., 5: 16-23.
- Montgomery, D. C. 2001. Design and Analysis of Experiments. 5th Ed. Jhon Willy and Sons, New York, pp. 64-65.
- Perez E, Sulbaran M, Bail MM, Yarzabal LA (2007). Acid-base properties of humic and fulvic acids formed during composting. Environ. Sci. Technol., 39: 7141-7146.
- Pettit, R. E. 2004. Organic matter, humus, humate, humic acid, fulvic acid and Humin: their importance in soil fertility and plant health [Online]. Available at www.humate.info/mainpage.htm.
- Premono ME (1994). Phosphate-solubilizing microbia. Their effects on soil P and P fertilizer efficiency of sugar cane. Doctoral thesis, Bogor Agricultural University, Indonesia.
- Reddy, M. S., S. Kumar, K. Babita, M. S. Reddy. 2002. Biosolubilization of poorly soluble rock phosphates by *Aspergillus tubingensis* and *Aspergillus niger*. Bioresource Technol., 84: 187-189.
- Sarawgi, S. K., P. K. Tiwari and R. S. Tripathi. 1999. Growth nodulation and yield of chickpea as influenced by phosphorus, bacterial culture and microinoculants under rain-fed conditions. Madras. J. Agric., 86:181-185.
- Shahryari, R. and K. Shamsi. 2009. Increasing biological yield of wheat by a humic substance. In Salokhe VM, Soni P (edi). International Agricultural Engineering Conference (IAEC). Book of Abstracts. P. 46.
- Rodriguez H, Fraga R (1999). Phosphate-solubilizing bacteria and their role in plant growth promotion. Biotechnol. Adv., 17: 319-339.
- Tan, K. H. 2003. Humic Matter in Soil and the Environment. Marcel Dekker. New York.
- Vassilev, N and M. Vassileva. 2003. Biotechnological solubilization of rock phosphate on media containing agroindustrial wastes. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol., 61: 435-440.
- Veysel, S., A. Kusvuran and S. Babat. 2011. The effect of different humic acid fertilization on yield and yield components performances of common millet (*Panicummiliaceum* L.). Scientific Research and Essays Vol. 6, pp. 663-669.
- Winarso, S., D. Sulistyanto and E. Handayanto. 2011. Effects of humic compounds and phosphatesolubilizingbacteria on phosphorus availability in and acid soil. J. Eco and Natural Envi., 3: 232-240.
- Wua, B., S. C. Caob, Z. H. Lib, Z. G. Cheunga and K. C. Wonga. 2005. Effects of biofertilizer containing N-fixer, P and K solubilizers and AM fungi on maize growth. Geoderma, 125: 155-162.
- Yazdani, M., M. A. Bahmanyar, H. Pirdashti and M. A. Esmaili. 2009. Effect of Phosphate Solubilization Microorganisms (PSM) and Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPR) on Yield and Yield Components of Corn (*Zea mays* L.). Int. J. Biolo. Life Sci., 5:2 2009.
- Yılmaz, C. 2007. Hümikvefülvikasit, HasadBitkiselÜretim, Ocak, 260: 74.