

## Original Research Article

# Effect of Zn, B, Cu and Fe on Vegetative Growth, Yield and Quality of Strawberry (*Fragaria x Ananassa* Duch.) cv. Chandler

Roshni Aradhana Ekka, Anita Kerketta\*, Sandeep Lakra and S. Saravanan

Department of Horticulture, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology and Science, Allahabad -211007 (U.P.), India

\*Corresponding author

## ABSTRACT

### Keywords

Strawberry,  
Micronutrients,  
B, Fe, Cu, Zn

Among the fruit crops, Strawberry is the one of the important fruit crop. An experiment was conducted at research field, Department of Horticulture, Allahabad, during the year 2014-2015, the experiment was laid out in a Randomized Block Design having ten treatments of micronutrients at different levels and one micronutrient combination with three replications. The result revealed that treatment T6 was found to be best in terms of maximum plant height (20.11cm), plant spread (30.33cm), number of leaves (30.33), petiole length (16.83 cm), number of flowers per plant (32.34), number of fruits per plant (30.26), fruit yield per plant (474.34 g), TSS (8.72 0 B), total sugar (6.86 0 B), ascorbic acid (49.35 mg) and benefit cost ratio (2.71). T7 registered maximum fruit set (93.47%). T4 registered maximum fruit weight (16.54g), fruit diameter (32.46 mm), fruit length (42.36 mm) and specific gravity (1.64). T0 registered maximum acidity (0.20%) and pH of juice (4.68).

## Introduction

The area under fruit production in India is 7136 thousand hectares with a production of 84411 thousand million tons (NHB, 2013-14). Strawberry (*Fragaria x sp.*) is native of temperate regions, but varieties are available which can be cultivated in subtropical climate. Strawberry is a delicious fruit taken fresh in several ways. It is a soft and a highly perishable fruit, often shipped in frozen condition in Western countries. Strawberry thrives best in temperate climate. It is a short day plant; the varieties grown in milder subtropical climate do not require chilling and continue to make some growth during winter. The quality and yield of fruits depends on different attributes which are closely associated with nutrient uptake by the plant. The supply of nutrients to the plants should be balanced, ensuring not to over or under-

fertilize. In addition to NPK, micronutrients have a great bearing in influencing the yield attributes and fruit production. Micronutrients are involved in all metabolic and cellular functions. Micronutrients are essentially as important as macronutrients to have better growth, yield and quality in plants. In the past, there was no need of micronutrients because these trace elements were naturally supplied by soil. But due to intensive cultivation, increase in salinity and soil pH in most of soils, these nutrients are present but are not available to plants (Ahmad et.al, 2010). Zinc is effective in plant nutrition for the synthesis of plant hormones and balancing intake of P and K inside the plant cells. Boron is essential for plant growth, new cell division in meristematic tissue, translocation of sugar, starch, nitrogen, phosphorus, certain

hormones, synthesis of amino acids and protein, regulations of carbohydrate metabolism, development of phloem etc. Copper is essential for photosynthesis and mitochondrial respiration, for carbon and nitrogen metabolism. Iron act as catalyst in synthesis of chlorophyll molecule and helps on the absorption of other elements. It is a key element in various redox reactions of respiration, photosynthesis and reduction of nitrates and sulphates (Wallihan et al., 1958; Zende 1996).

### **Materials and Methods**

The present experiment was conducted at pomology section, Department of Horticulture, SHIATS, Allahabad, during 2014-2015, in a randomized block design replicated with thrice, the experimental site is situated at a latitude of 20° and 15° North and longitude of 60° 3' East and at an altitude of 98 meters above mean sea level (MSL). Minimum temperature ranged from 4° -5° C (during Oct - Feb) and maximum temperature ranged from 45° -48° C (during March - June). One cultivar with uniform sized strawberry runners were planted during November 2014, maintaining a spacing of 45 X 30 cm.

The micronutrients solutions were prepared as per the requirement and sprayed to each treatments and replication at 30 days intervals and observations recorded. The recommended package of practices was followed for raising the successful crop. Data on plant growth yield and quality of strawberry characters were recorded when the plants were fully grown.

Treatments [T0 – Control, T1 -B (0.1%), T2 - Fe (0.2%), T3 -Cu (0.2%), T4 -Zn (0.2%), T5 -B (0.2%), T6 -Fe (0.4%), T7 -Cu (0.4%), T8 -Zn (0.4%), T9 - (B+Fe+Zn+Cu)(1.0%)].

## **Results and Discussion**

### **Growth parameters**

The mean performance of the treatments for growth parameters like plant height, plant spread, numbers of leaves per plant and petiole length have been presented in table no.1 showing significant differences for growth parameters. Vigorous maximum plant height was observed with T<sub>6</sub> (20.11 cm) followed by T<sub>4</sub> (19.84 cm) and also T<sub>5</sub> (19.05 cm). Minimum plant height was observed with T<sub>0</sub> (16.27 cm), other treatments showed moderate plant height. Maximum plant spread in treatment T<sub>6</sub> (30.33 cm), followed by T<sub>4</sub> (29.11 cm) and T<sub>5</sub> (28.81 cm). The plant spread was lowest in the T<sub>0</sub> (23.44 cm). The remaining treatments recorded medium plant spread with significantly. Number of leaves per plant was noticed in the range of 23.44 to 30.33. At this stage treatment T<sub>6</sub> had the maximum number of leaves (30.33), followed by treatment T<sub>4</sub> (29.11) and T<sub>5</sub> (28.81). The least number of leaves was observed in the treatment T<sub>0</sub> (23.44). Maximum Petiole length in treatment T<sub>6</sub> (16.83 cm), followed by T<sub>4</sub> (15.23 cm) and T<sub>5</sub> (14.33 cm). The Petiole length was lowest in the T<sub>0</sub> (12.42 cm). These increases may be due to application of micronutrients. Similar results reported by Chaturvedi et al., (2005) and Usha and Singh (2002).

### **Flowering and fruiting characters**

The data pertaining to the flowering and fruiting characters like number of flowers per plant, number of fruits per plant, percent of fruit set and fruit yield per plant was recorded under one cultivar of strawberry and ten treatments presented in table no. 1 Maximum number of flowers per plant (32.34) was observed in treatment T<sub>6</sub> followed by treatment T<sub>4</sub> (29.74) and treatment T<sub>5</sub> (27.54). Minimum number of flowers per plant (18.46) was observed in treatment T<sub>0</sub>.

**Table.1** Micronutrients influences on strawberry cv. chandler on Growth, Flowering and fruiting and quality of strawberry fruit

Treatment	Plant height (cm)	plant spread (cm)	number of leaves	petiole length (cm)	number of flower per plant.	number of fruits per plant.	fruit set	fruit yield per plant	fruit weight	fruit diameter	fruit diameter	specific gravity	TSS of fruit	total sugar	fruits acidity	ascorbic acid.	pH of the fruit juice	Cost benefit ratio	
T <sub>0</sub>	16.27	23.44	23.44	12.42	18.46	16.84	91.34	177.05	10.51	22.74	27.15	1.10	7.93	5.15	0.20	48.75	4.68	1:1.11	
T <sub>1</sub>	17.56	26.55	26.55	12.93	25.37	18.92	74.73	301.49	15.93	31.57	38.54	1.38	8.44	5.44	0.18	49.15	4.55	1:1.39	
T <sub>2</sub>	16.37	26.33	26.33	12.84	23.86	20.43	85.76	261.75	12.81	23.73	28.36	1.15	8.57	5.55	0.20	49.24	4.57	1:1.45	
T <sub>3</sub>	16.87	26.83	26.83	13.23	24.46	20.77	84.83	266.42	12.82	23.66	29.55	1.16	8.43	5.74	0.11	49.24	4.52	1:1.79	
T <sub>4</sub>	19.84	29.11	29.11	15.23	29.74	25.73	90.04	424.77	16.54	32.46	42.36	1.64	8.64	6.86	0.14	49.33	4.32	1:2.13	
T <sub>5</sub>	19.05	28.81	28.81	14.33	27.54	23.46	86.56	351.29	14.96	27.24	32.76	1.25	8.63	6.05	0.12	49.33	4.40	1:2.05	
T <sub>6</sub>	20.11	30.33	30.33	16.83	32.34	30.26	85.07	474.34	15.67	29.65	35.85	1.36	8.72	6.92	0.16	49.35	4.29	1:2.27	
T <sub>7</sub>	18.01	27.43	27.43	12.83	25.17	22.65	93.47	295.34	13.03	24.74	31.66	1.17	8.56	6.02	0.13	49.23	4.43	1:1.69	
T <sub>8</sub>	18.38	27.17	27.17	12.73	25.36	21.75	84.15	293.20	13.49	24.15	31.55	1.24	8.59	6.07	0.17	49.24	4.48	1:1.72	
T <sub>9</sub>	17.93	28.57	28.57	13.45	26.84	23.35	86.94	363.80	15.58	26.36	33.36	1.24	8.37	6.06	0.15	49.25	4.19	1:1.98	
F - test	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	1:1.11
SE.d	0.32	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.03	9.08	0.22	0.03	0.03	0.19	0.02	0.83	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	1:1.39
CD(5%)	0.68	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	19.07	0.47	0.06	0.06	0.39	0.05	1.74	0.01	0.04	0.03	0.03	1:1.45

Maximum number of fruits per plant (30.26) was observed in treatment T6 followed by treatment T4 (25.73) and treatment T5 (23.46). Minimum number of fruits per plant (16.84) was observed in treatment T0. Maximum fruit set (93.47 %) was observed in treatment T7 followed by treatment T0 (91.34 %) and treatment T4 (90.04 %). Minimum fruit set (74.73) was observed in treatment T1. Maximum fruit yield per plant (474.34 g) was observed in treatment T6 followed by treatment T4 (424.77 g) and treatment T9 (363.80 g). Minimum fruit yield per plant (177.05 g) was observed in treatment T0. Similar results observed by Chaturvedi et al., (2005), Ozuygur et al., (2000) and Usha and Singh (2002).

### **Fruit quality parameters**

The outcome of the investigations revealed that the fruit weight, fruit diameter, fruit length, specific gravity, TSS content, total sugar content, acidity, ascorbic acid, pH of the fruit juice and benefit cost ratio (table no. 1) Significantly maximum fruit weight (16.54g) was observed in treatment T4 followed by treatment T1 (15.93g) and treatment T9 (15.58g). Minimum fruit weight (10.51g) was observed in treatment T0. Maximum fruit diameter (32.46 mm) was recorded in treatment T4 followed by treatment T1 (31.57 mm) and T6 (29.65 mm). Minimum fruit diameter (22.74 mm) was found to be in treatment T0. Maximum fruit length (42.36 mm) was recorded in treatment T4 followed by treatment T1 (38.54 mm) and T6 (35.85 mm). Minimum fruit length (27.15 mm) was found to be in treatment T0. Maximum specific gravity (1.64) was observed in treatment T4 followed by treatment T1 (1.38) and treatment T6 (1.36). Minimum specific gravity (1.10) was observed in treatment T0. Highest TSS content (8.72 0Brix) was noticed with T6 followed by treatment T4

(8.64 0Brix) which was statistically at par with T5 (8.63 0Brix). The minimum TSS content (7.93 0Brix) was recorded in T0. Highest total sugar content (6.92) was noticed with T6 followed by treatment T4 (6.86) and T8 (6.07). Minimum total sugar content (5.15) was recorded in T0. Minimum acidity score (0.11 %) was noticed with T3 which was statistically at par with T5 (0.12 %) followed by treatment T7 (0.13 %) and T4 (0.14 %). Maximum acidity score (0.20%) was recorded in T0 and T2. Maximum ascorbic acid score (49.35 mg) was noticed with T6 which was statistically at par with T4 and T5 (49.33 mg) followed by treatment T9 (49.25 mg). Minimum ascorbic acid score (48.75 mg) was recorded in T0. Highest pH of the fruit juice (4.68) was noticed with T0 followed by treatment T2 (4.57) and T1 (4.55). The minimum pH of the fruit juice (4.19) was recorded in T9. Maximum benefit cost ratio (2.71) was recorded under treatments T6 (Fe – 0.4%). Minimum benefit cost ratio (1.11) was recorded in treatment T0 (control). However, better qualities of fruits obtained from the cultivar during winter season may be attributed due to application of different micronutrients. Similar results observed by Abd El-Wahab et al., (2002), Chaturvedi et al., (2005), Ozuygur et al., (2000), Taghavi et al., (2006) and Usha and Singh (2002).

From the present investigation it may be concluded that treatment T6 [Iron (Fe) at 0.4%] was found best treatment for growth and yield of strawberry and maximum benefit cost ratio.

### **References**

Abd El-Wahab, A., M. Wafa, Samira Mohamed and A. M. Gihan. (2005). Effect of foliar application of some mineral elements on fruit set, yield, leaf and fruit mineral contents and

- storage ability of “Anna” apple fruits I- effect on fruit set, yield as well as leaf and fruit mineral contents. *J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ.* 24(12):7547–7557.
- Ahmad I, Aslam Khan M, Qasim M, Ahmad R, Randhawa AM (2010). Growth, yield and quality of Rosa hybrid L. as influenced by various micronutrients. *Pak J Agric Sci.*;47(1): 5-12
- Chaturvedi, O.P., Singh, A.K., Tripathi, V.K. and Dixit, A.K. (2005). Effect of zinc and iron on growth, yield and quality of strawberry cv. chandler . *Acta Hort. (ISHS)* 696:237-240
- Ozuygur M, Paydas Kargi S, Kafkas E. (2000). Investigation on yield, fruit quality and plant characteristics of some local, european and american strawberry varieties and their hybrids. *Agriculturae Conspectus Scientificus* 71(4), 175-180.
- Taghavil Toktam Sadat, Mesbah Babalar, Ali Ebadi, Hasan Ebrahimzadeh, and Mohammad Ali Asgari (2006). Effects of Nitrate to Ammonium Ratio on Yield and Nitrogen Metabolism of Strawberry (*Fragaria x Ananassa* cv. Selva) *Int. J. Of Agri. & Bio.* 1560–8530/2004/06–6–994–997.
- Usha, and K. Singh (2002), B. Effect of macro and micro-nutrient spray on fruit yield and quality of grape *Vitis vinifera* L. cv. Perlette. *Acta Horticulturae*, Leuven, v. 30, n. 594, p. 197-202.
- Wallihan EF, Embleton TW, Wilma Printy (1958). Zinc deficiency in avocado. *Califgric.*;12(6): 4-5.
- Zende GK. (1996), Integrated nutrient supply in relation to micronutrients for sustainable agriculture. *Micronutrient News.*; 10(11):1-9.