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ABSTRACT

A field investigation was carried out at Oilseed Research Unit farm, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola during the Kharif season of 2016. The investigation was carried out to study the relative efficacy of herbicides on weed control in groundnut as well as to study its effect on growth and yield of groundnut. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with ten treatments replicated thrice. Among the herbicidal treatments, Pendimethalin @ 1.5 kg a.i./ha (PE) + Imazethapyr @ 0.075 kg a.i./ha at 20-30 DAS was found to be effective in controlling weeds, higher weed control efficiency (72.53%) and lowest weed index (14.33%). The plant height (26.23 cm) and yield attributes like Dry matter plant-1 (17.79 g), no. of pods/plant (24.53), 100 kernels weight (43.61) and dry pod yield (2135 kg/ha) was recorded higher in Pendimethalin @ 1.5 kg a.i./ha (PE) + Imazethapyr @ 0.075 kg a.i./ha at 20-30 DAS in all over herbicidal treatments. Application of Pendimethalin @ 1.5 kg a.i./ha (PE) + Imazethapyr @ 0.075 kg a.i./ha at 20-30 DAS was found most economical with maximum values of GMR (1,06,802 Rs/ha), NMR (73,539 Rs/ha) and B:C ratio (3.21) except weed free.
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Introduction

Groundnut or peanut is commonly called the poor man's nut. It is an important oilseed and food crop, native to South America and has never been found uncultivated. Groundnut is an upright or prostrate annual plant. It is generally distributed in the tropical, sub-tropical and warm temperate zones. The oil content of the groundnut seed varies from 44 to 50 per cent depending on the varieties and agronomic practices. Developing countries account for 96 per cent of the global groundnut area and 92 per cent of the global production. Asia accounts for 58 per cent of the global groundnut area and 67 per cent of the groundnut production with an annual growth rate of 1.28 per cent for area, 2.00 per cent for production and 0.71 per cent for productivity. World peanut production totals 27 million tonnes during 2014-2015 with India being the world's second largest producer after China (Anon.2015).

In India, groundnut production and productivity has seen wide fluctuations in recent years, mainly due to changing rainfall patterns and stiff competition with other cash crop and availability and preference for cheaper edible oils. During the year 2015-2016, there was a production of 71.80 lakh
tonne of groundnut from an area of 44.45 lakh ha with a yield of 1753 kg ha⁻¹ (Anonymous 2015). On an average the loss of groundnut production in the country due to weeds has been estimated to the tune of 70 per cent (Prasad 2002) and 80 per cent (Sukhadia et al. 1998). Present investigation aims to study the tank mix application of post-emergence herbicides for efficient weed control in Groundnut.

**Materials and Methods**

An experiment was conducted at the farm of Oilseed Research Unit, Dr. Panjibrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola during the Kharif season of 2016 in order to improved weed control practices that include chemical weed control with newer formulations and herbicide mixtures.

The soil of experimental field characterized as clay loam in texture, having slightly alkaline pH (7.9), moderate organic carbon status (0.40%), low nitrogen content (225.29 kg/ha), medium available phosphorus content (17.92 kg/ha), high potassium status (384.62 kg/ha). Groundnut (TAG-24) was sown on 29th June 2016 at 30 x 10 cm² spacing with 25:50:00 NPK kg/ha. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with ten treatments replicated thrice. The treatment combinations with pre-emergence pendimethalin and post-emergence herbicide imazethapyr and quizalofop-ethyl comprising weedy check and weed free check was made. The pre-emergence herbicide was sprayed immediately after sowing on wet soil and the post-emergence herbicides was applied 20-30 days after sowing with the help of knapsack sprayer. Weed population and weed dry matter, taken at 20 days interval from sowing and at harvest was recorded by using quadrate measuring 1 m² per plot. The weed control efficiency (WCE) and weed index (WI) was worked out.

**Results and Discussion**

**Weed flora**

In the experimental field, predominant weed flora were *Euphorbia geniculata*, *Achyranthus aspera*, *Parthenium hysterophorus*, *Digera arvensis*, *Argemone Mexicana*, *Phyllanthus niruri*, *Celosia argentia* among the dicot weeds, and *Cynadon dactylon*, *Ischaemum pilosum*, *Digitaria sanguinalis*, *Panicum spp*, *Commelina benghalensis*, among the monocot. Kasar et al. (2009) observed the similar weed flora in groundnut.

**Weed density and weed dry matter production**

The application of pendimethalin @ 1.5 kg a.i./ha (PE) + imazethapyr @ 0.075 kg a.i./ha at 20-30 DAS recorded significantly least monocot, dicot and total weed count and weed dry matter than any other herbicidal treatment except weed free. This might be due to control of weed during early growth stage by pre-emergence application of pendimethalin and post-emergence application of imazethapyr at 20-30 DAS. The treatment combination of pre and post applied herbicide after sowing and 20-30DAS was able to control the further infestation of weed in groundnut crop. Further the crop covers the soil surface and smothers the growth of weeds result into least number of weed at harvest, Malunjkar et al., (2012).

**Weed control efficiency (WCE) and weed index (WI)**

Among the herbicidal treatment, pendimethalin @ 1.5 kg a.i./ha (PE) + post-emergence application of imazethapyr @ 0.075 kg a.i./ha at 20-30 DAS was recorded significantly higher weed control efficiency (72.53%) than any other weed control treatment except weed free (96.41%).
Table 1: Weed density, weed dry matter, weed control efficiency and weed index in groundnut as influenced by different treatments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Weed density/ m² at harvest</th>
<th>Weed dry matter weight at harvest (g/m²)</th>
<th>Weed control efficiency (%)</th>
<th>Weed index (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Monocot</td>
<td>Dicot</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₁ - Pendimethalin @ 1.5 kg a.i./ha (PE) + Imazethapyr @ 0.075 kg a.i./ha at 20-30 DAS</td>
<td>17.33</td>
<td>13.33</td>
<td>30.67</td>
<td>31.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₂ - Pendimethalin @ 1.5 kg a.i./ha (PE) + Quizalofop ethyl @ 0.050 kg a.i./ha at 20-30 DAS</td>
<td>18.67</td>
<td>14.33</td>
<td>33.00</td>
<td>32.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₃ - Pendimethalin @ 1.5 kg a.i./ha (PE) + Imazethapyr (50%) + Quizalofop ethyl (50%) at 20-30 DAS</td>
<td>20.67</td>
<td>15.67</td>
<td>36.33</td>
<td>32.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₄ - Pendimethalin @ 1.5 kg a.i./ha (PE) + Imazethapyr (60%) + Quizalofop ethyl (40%) at 20-30 DAS</td>
<td>21.00</td>
<td>16.33</td>
<td>37.33</td>
<td>34.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₅ - Pendimethalin @ 1.5 kg a.i./ha (PE) + Imazethapyr (40%) + Quizalofop ethyl (60%) at 20-30 DAS</td>
<td>19.67</td>
<td>15.67</td>
<td>35.33</td>
<td>36.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₆ - Imazethapyr (50%) + Quizalofop ethyl (50%) at 20-30 DAS</td>
<td>23.33</td>
<td>17.67</td>
<td>41.00</td>
<td>40.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₇ - Imazethapyr (60%) + Quizalofop ethyl (40%) at 20-30 DAS</td>
<td>22.00</td>
<td>18.00</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td>44.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₈ - Imazethapyr (40%) + Quizalofop ethyl (60%) at 20-30 DAS</td>
<td>23.33</td>
<td>18.33</td>
<td>41.67</td>
<td>46.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₉ - Weedy check</td>
<td>70.33</td>
<td>41.33</td>
<td>111.67</td>
<td>168.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₁₀ - Weed free</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>3.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.E.(m)±</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.D. at 5%</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>5.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G.M.</td>
<td>23.76</td>
<td>17.33</td>
<td>41.10</td>
<td>47.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Effect of different weed management practices on growth, yield, and economics of groundnut

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Plant height (cm)</th>
<th>No. of pods/plant</th>
<th>100 Kernels weight (g)</th>
<th>Pod yield (kg/ha)</th>
<th>Gross returns (Rs./ha)</th>
<th>Net returns (Rs./ha)</th>
<th>B:C ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1 - Pendimethalin @ 1.5 kg a.i./ha (PE) + Imazethapyr @ 0.075 kg a.i./ha at 20-30 DAS</td>
<td>26.23</td>
<td>24.53</td>
<td>43.61</td>
<td>2135</td>
<td>106802</td>
<td>73539</td>
<td>3.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2 - Pendimethalin @ 1.5 kg a.i./ha (PE) + Quizalofop ethyl @ 0.05 kg a.i./ha at 20-30 DAS</td>
<td>25.37</td>
<td>22.80</td>
<td>41.73</td>
<td>2091</td>
<td>104845</td>
<td>71845</td>
<td>3.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3 - Pendimethalin @ 1.5 kg a.i./ha (PE) + Imazethapyr (50%) + Quizalofop ethyl (50%) at 20-30 DAS</td>
<td>25.07</td>
<td>21.27</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>101087</td>
<td>67157</td>
<td>2.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4 - Pendimethalin @ 1.5 kg a.i./ha (PE) + Imazethapyr (60%) + Quizalofop ethyl (40%) at 20-30 DAS</td>
<td>25.10</td>
<td>20.60</td>
<td>41.54</td>
<td>1944</td>
<td>97579</td>
<td>63719</td>
<td>2.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T5 - Pendimethalin @ 1.5 kg a.i./ha (PE) + Imazethapyr (40%) + Quizalofop ethyl (60%) at 20-30 DAS</td>
<td>24.70</td>
<td>20.93</td>
<td>40.88</td>
<td>1937</td>
<td>97119</td>
<td>63259</td>
<td>2.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6 - Imazethapyr (50%) + Quizalofop ethyl (50%) at 20-30 DAS</td>
<td>24.53</td>
<td>18.53</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>1798</td>
<td>90690</td>
<td>57950</td>
<td>2.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7 - Imazethapyr (60%) + Quizalofop ethyl (40%) at 20-30 DAS</td>
<td>24.33</td>
<td>17.53</td>
<td>41.25</td>
<td>1762</td>
<td>88837</td>
<td>56167</td>
<td>2.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T8 - Imazethapyr (40%) + Quizalofop ethyl (60%) at 20-30 DAS</td>
<td>24.77</td>
<td>20.20</td>
<td>41.03</td>
<td>1790</td>
<td>90349</td>
<td>57679</td>
<td>2.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T9 - Weedy check</td>
<td>23.33</td>
<td>16.03</td>
<td>39.79</td>
<td>1072</td>
<td>54360</td>
<td>24377</td>
<td>1.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T10 - Weed free</td>
<td>27.97</td>
<td>25.37</td>
<td>49.69</td>
<td>2492</td>
<td>123905</td>
<td>84372</td>
<td>3.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S.E(m)±                                                                 | 0.52              | 1.19              | 0.44                   | 21.98            | 950.3                  | 950.3               | -         |

C.D. at 5%                                                               | 1.56              | 3.53              | 1.31                   | 65.33            | 2823                   | 2823                | -         |

G.M.                                                                    | 25.14             | 20.78             | 42.29                  | 1903             | 95557                  | 62006               | 2.83      |
The lowest weed index (14.33%) was noticed in treatment pendimethalin @ 1.5 kg a.i./ha (PE) + imazethapyr @ 0.075 kg a.i./ha at 20-30 DAS followed by pendimethalin @ 1.5 kg a.i./ha (PE) + quizalofop-ethyl @ 0.050 kg ai/ha at 20-30 DAS (16.08%). This might be due to pre-emergence and post-emergence application of herbicides that have longer effect on controlling the monocot as well as dicot weeds population and thereby increasing weed control efficiency. These results are in agreement with the results reported by Malunjkar et al. (2012), Kumar et al. (2013) observed the similar trend in efficiency of herbicide in groundnut crop.

Yield and economics

Among the herbicidal treatments, Pendimethalin @ 1.5 kg a.i./ha (PE) + Imazethapyr @ 0.075 kg a.i./ha at 20-30 DAS recorded significantly higher dry pod yield (2135 kg/ha), GMR (106802 Rs./ha), NMR (73539 Rs./ha) and B:C ratio (3.21) than other treatment except weed free. The yield of groundnut was mainly reduced due to the presence of weeds throughout the growing period. Kalhapure et al. (2013) observed the similar trend in efficiency of herbicide in groundnut crop.

In conclusion, pendimethalin @ 1.5 kg a.i./ha (PE) + Imazethapyr @ 0.075 kg a.i./ha at 20-30 DAS proved practically more convenient and economically best feasible weed management practices for groundnut. Considering the present condition of scarcity and high cost of labours, quality of weed control, yield and B:C ratio of cultivation of groundnut.
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