

## Original Research Article

# Bio-efficacy of Halosulfuron Methyl with other Herbicides to Control the *Cyperus* Spp. and other Associated Weeds in Autumn Planted Sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum* L.)

Prabhat Kumar Chaturvedi\*

Department of Agronomy, National Post Graduate College, Barhalganj, Gorakhpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

\*Corresponding author

## ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted at Crop Research Farm of National Post Graduate College, Barhalganj, Gorakhpur (U.P.) during the rabi season of (2015 – 16) to study the effect of different herbicides on weed management of sugarcane. The experimental field was laid out in Randomized Block Design with three replications with the following eight treatments viz. T<sub>1</sub> : Halosulfuron methyl + 2,4-D EE 38 % EC, T<sub>2</sub> : Halosulfuron methyl + 2,4-D amine salt 58 % SL, T<sub>3</sub> : Halosulfuron methyl + 2,4-D Na salt 80 % WP, T<sub>4</sub> : Halosulfuron methyl + Metribuzin, T<sub>5</sub> : Halosulfuron methyl + Atrazine, T<sub>6</sub> : Halosulfuron methyl + M.S.M. 4 g, T<sub>7</sub> : Halosulfuron methyl + Ethoxysulfuron, T<sub>8</sub> : Weedy check. The planting of sugarcane was done at 75 cm row spacing on ridges and furrows. Weed flora of experimental site was divided with 60 percent broad leaved and 40 percent grassy weeds. Among broad leaved weeds *Chenopodium album*, *Melilotus alba*, *Anagallis arvensis*, *Commelina benghalensis* and *Ageratum conyzoides* were found dominant. Whereas among grassy weeds *Cynodon dactylon* and *Cyperus rotundus* were found as dominant. As a result, the cane yield of sugarcane (tha<sup>-1</sup>) was significantly influenced due to various herbicidal treatments. Results revealed that all the herbicide had a significant effect on growth and yield of sugarcane over weedy check. Out of all treatments, T<sub>5</sub> : Halosulfuron methyl + Atrazine has proved very effective to control all type of weeds and recorded high yield and quality of sugarcane.

### Keywords

Weeds,  
Herbicides, Weed  
Control Efficiency,  
Cane yield and  
Sugarcane

## Introduction

Sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum* L.) is an important cash crop in the tropics and sub tropics and more than 70 % of the world's sugar production comes from it. India is the second largest consumer and second largest producer after Brazil producing nearly 15 % and 25 % of global sugar and sugarcane, respectively (Mohan and Kanaujia, 2017).

Sugarcane is the most important sugar crop in India occupying an area of 5.03 M ha with an average 70.86 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. U.P. has the highest sugarcane producing state in sub – tropical zone having area about 22.7 Lakh ha. with the production of 135.64 M tonnes whereas Haryana has highest productivity of sugarcane in sub – tropical zone. Sugarcane takes about 30 – 40 days to germinate in the sub tropical India. During this period broad

variety of weeds infest sugarcane field. Among different weeds, purple nutsedge (*Cyperus rotundus*) germinate first and covers the ground immediately which affect the crop germination and early crop vigour by competing for the soil moisture, nutrients, space and light.

Due to continuous use of Atrazine, Metribuzin and 2,4-D in sugarcane fields, the population of broad leaved weeds (*Chenopodium album*, *Melilotus alba*, *Anagallis arvensis*, *Commelina benghalensis* and *Ageratum conyzoides*) has been decreased, whereas the population of *Cyperus rotundus* has increased tremendously. *Cyperus rotundus* population has been reported to be 60-80 % of total weed flora in sugarcane field in India (Raskar, 2004; Roshan *et al.*, 2006). This status is related to its perennial nature, longevity of viable tubers and prolific tuber production (Bariuan *et al.*, 1999). The problem of weeding becomes more critical in sugarcane crop due to the shortage of labourers at appropriate time. As a result sugarcane yield will be reduced drastically. Singh and Tomar (2005) reported yield loss of sugarcane crop to an extent of 27 – 38 % due to presence of weed in crop and the critical period for weed competition was between 30 and 60 days after planting.

Keeping in view the losses caused by weeds, the present study was designed to examine weed effect on sugarcane.

### **Materials and Methods**

The field experiment was conducted at the Crop Research Farm, Barhalganj, Gorakhpur (U.P.). The experimental site is situated in sub tropical zone in Indo – Gangatic plains and lies between 26<sup>0</sup>47<sup>1</sup> North latitude, 82<sup>0</sup>10<sup>1</sup> East longitude and 1130 m above sea level. The soil of the experimental field was silty loam in texture and slightly alkaline in

reaction with P<sup>H</sup> 7.6, EC 0.20 ds<sup>-m</sup> organic carbon 0.40 % and available Nitrogen 136 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, Phosphorus 18.4 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and Potassium 258.42Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at 0 – 15 cm soil depth. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with eight different treatments viz. T<sub>1</sub> : Halosulfuron methyl (67.5g) + 2,4-D EE 38 % EC (1.51), T<sub>2</sub> : Halosulfuron methyl (67.5g) + 2,4-D amine salt 58 % SL (2.17), T<sub>3</sub> : Halosulfuron methyl (67.5g) + 2,4-D Na salt 80 % WP (2.0 Kg), T<sub>4</sub> : Halosulfuron methyl (67.5g) + Metribuzin (525 gm), T<sub>5</sub> : Halosulfuron methyl (67.5g) + Atrazine (1.25Kg), T<sub>6</sub> : Halosulfuron methyl (67.5g) + M.S.M.@ 4 g, T<sub>7</sub> : Halosulfuron methyl (67.5g) + Ethoxysulfuron, T<sub>8</sub> : Weedy check. The treatments were replicated thrice. The gross plot size was 10.5m x 4m. The planting of sugarcane was done at 75 cm row spacing on ridges and furrows. The sugarcane variety Co 91269 was used for study. All the recommended management practices were followed.

Among broad leaved weeds *Chenopodium album*, *Melilotus alba*, *Anagallis arvensis*, *Commelina benghalensis* and *Ageratum conyzoides* were found dominated. While among grassy weeds *Cyperus rotundus* and *Cynodon dactylon* were found as dominant.

### **Results and Discussion**

#### **Weed density and weed control efficiency**

The data presented in Table 1 revealed that Treatment T<sub>5</sub> : Halosulfuron methyl (67.5g) + Atrazine (1.25Kg), caused the highest reduction in total weed density (71.3%) followed by T<sub>3</sub> : Halosulfuron methyl (67.5g) + 2,4-D Na salt 80 % WP (2.0 Kg) (62.1%). However, all the herbicidal treatments caused the substantial deduction in weed density over weedy check. This might be due to effective control of weeds under these treatments. Similar results were also reported

by Singh and Lal (2008). As for as the total Weed Control Efficiency (W.C.E.) was concerned, Treatment T<sub>5</sub>: Halosulfuron methyl (67.5g) + Atrazine (1.25Kg) recorded substantially higher value of W.C.E. (89.3%) followed by T<sub>4</sub> : Halosulfuron methyl (67.5g) + Metribuzin (525 gm) (82.3%). Presently, a new herbicides “Halosulfuron methyl” (Sempra 75 WG) has been found to control the *Cyperus rotundus* very effectively by Etheredge *et al.*, (2010).

### Yield attributes and yield

The higher length of cane (258.9 cm) Table 2 with the application of T<sub>5</sub> : Halosulfuron methyl (67.5g) + Atrazine (1.25Kg) being at par with metribuzin, metsulfuron methyl, ethoxysulfuron and 2,4-D Na salt recorded significantly superior over rest of the treatments. As for as the total girth of cane was concerned, almost similar trend was observed as in case of length of cane. It is well established fact that better crop growth

had better portioning of photosynthates from source to sink. This is an agreement with the findings of Kabir *et al.*, (2000).

Yield is the final outcome of better growth characters and yield attributes. While cane yield of sugarcane of T<sub>5</sub>: Halosulfuron methyl (67.5g) + Atrazine (1.25Kg) being at par with T<sub>6</sub>: Halosulfuron methyl (67.5g) + M.S.M. 4 g and T<sub>4</sub>: Halosulfuron methyl (67.5g) + Metribuzin (525 gm) were recorded significantly higher cane yield over rest of the treatments. This might be due to effective weed control and higher values of yield attributes. This is in agreement with the findings of Rawat *et al.*, (2002).

The inference, from the above study has been drawn that among different treatments of weed control T<sub>5</sub>: Halosulfuron methyl (67.5g) + Atrazine (1.25Kg) proved very effective to control all type of weed and recorded higher yield and quality of sugarcane.

**Table.1** Weed Density (%) and Weed Control Efficiency (%) as influenced by different treatments.

Treatments		Weed density (%)	% Reduction on per treatment	Weed control efficiency (%)
T <sub>1</sub>	Halo. +2, 4-D EE	42.2	37.4	75.8
T <sub>2</sub>	Halo. +2, 4-D amine salt	36.9	46.2	78.5
T <sub>3</sub>	Halo. +2,4-D Na salt	27.0	62.1	79.8
T <sub>4</sub>	Halo. + Metribuzin	35.7	46.5	82.3
T <sub>5</sub>	Halo. + Atrazine	20.0	71.3	89.3
T <sub>6</sub>	Halo. + M.S.M.	33.6	52.1	81.7
T <sub>7</sub>	Halo. + Ethoxysulfuron	45.8	3.55	80.8
T <sub>8</sub>	Weedy check	126.0	-83.9	-

**Table.2** Yield attributes and yield of sugarcane as influenced by different treatments

Treatments		Length of cane (cm)	Girth of cane (cm)	Yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )
T <sub>1</sub>	Halo. +2, 4-D EE	205.1	7.9	488.0
T <sub>2</sub>	Halo. +2, 4-D amine salt	216.6	8.2	530.9
T <sub>3</sub>	Halo. +2,4-D Na salt	246.5	8.6	590.4
T <sub>4</sub>	Halo. + Metribuzin	252.1	9.3	690.4
T <sub>5</sub>	Halo. + Atrazine	258.9	9.5	733.33
T <sub>6</sub>	Halo. + M.S.M.	253.7	9.3	704.7
T <sub>7</sub>	Halo. + Ethoxysulfon	237.6	8.8	602.3
T <sub>8</sub>	Weedy check	189.5	7.0	405.0
	SEm±	10.30	0.4	27.5
	CD(P=0.05)	31.2	1.1	83.4

## References

- Bariuan, J.V., K.N. Reddy and G.D. Wills (1999) Glyphoset injury, rainfastness, absorption and translocation in purple nut sedge (*Cyperus rotendus*). Weed Technology 13: 112 – 119.
- Etheredge Jr, L.M., J.L. Griffin, C.A. Jones, and J.M. Boudreaux. (2010) Nutsedge (*Cyperus* Spp.) control programme in sugarcane. Journal American Society of Sugar Cane Technologists 30: 67 – 80.
- Kabir, M. L., Matin. M. A. and Rahman, M. K. (2000) Effect of sugarcane with onion and potato followed by sesame in paired row system. Pakistan J. Agron 2 (2): 85 – 91.
- Mohan,N. and Kanaujia, A.K. (2017) Biomass energy for economics and environmental sustainability in India. Sharkara. 2017, 48 (3): 24 -26.
- Raskar, B.S. (2004) Evaluation of herbicides for weed control in sugarcane. Sugar Tech 6(3): 173 – 174.
- Rawat, S. Singh., Sharma, R. C., Lal, S.S. and Kumar, P. (2002) Sugarcane + Potato intercropping in north central plain zone. Potato, Global research and development 970 – 973.. Proceeding of the global conf. on potato, New Delhi, India, 6 – 11 Dec., 1999, Vo. 2, 2002.
- Roshan, Lal., S.N.L. Srivastava and Mehar Chand. (2006) Integrated weed management for sugarcane plant – ratoon cropping system. Indian Journal of Agronomy 51(4): 43 – 47.
- Singh, A.K. and Lal Menhi (2008) Weed management in spring planted sugarcane (*Saccharum* spp. Hybrid) based intercropping systems. Indian J. Agric. Sci. 78 (1): 35 – 39.
- Singh, D. and Tomar, P.K. (2005) Productivity of sugarcane ratoon influenced by weed management practices. Indian Sugar 55: 25 – 29.