

Original Research Article

<https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2020.909.120>

Identification of Problematic Weeds in Chickpea Crop in Jabalpur District of Madhya Pradesh, India

Muni Pratap Sahu^{1*}, Mewa Lal Kewat¹, Amit Kumar Jha¹,
J.K. Sharma¹ and Shobha Sondhia²

¹Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh 482 004

²ICAR-DWR, Mahrajpur, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh 482 004

*Corresponding author

ABSTRACT

Keywords

Problematic weeds,
Chickpea crop,
Cicer arietinum

Article Info

Accepted:

10 August 2020

Available Online:

10 September 2020

A field experiment was conducted during *rabi* season 2018-2019 and 2019-20 at the Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur (M.P.). The investigation was aimed to study the problematic weeds in chickpea at Jabalpur district of Kymore Plateau and Satpura Hills Zone of Madhya Pradesh. Different weed species which infested the chickpea crop were comprised of broad leaved weeds namely *Cichorium intybus*, *Medicago truncatula*, *Melilotus indica*, *Anagallis arvensis* and *Chenopodium album*. Among the associated broad leaved weeds, *Cichorium intybus* was most important problematic weed in chickpea having the highest relative density (30.36 and 33.80%), frequency (27.27 and 27.27 %), relative dominance (23.41 and 24.90%) and important value index (57.63 and 61.08 out of 200) during *rabi* season 2018-19 and 2019-20 followed by *Medicago truncatula* and *Melilotus indica*. The other weeds viz., *Anagallis arvensis* and *Chenopodium album* were of less importance as both weeds attained low value of relative density, relative frequency, relative dominance and important value index.

Introduction

Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) ranks third among pulse legumes in the world (Rawal and Navarro, 2019). It contains 18.24% protein which is three times more than the cereals and considered as an economical source of quality protein for human diet. Madhya Pradesh rank first in chickpea acreage and production, contributing nearly 33.99 and 40.92% respectively to the total area and production of chickpea in the country, respectively

(Anonymous, 2018). In the cultivation of chickpea crop, weeds are one of the major limiting factors. Chickpea crop is mainly infested with broad leaf weeds rather than grassy weeds. In chickpea a number of broad leaved weeds invade the crop namely *Chenopodium album*, *Melilotus alba*, *Melilotus indica*, *Vicia sativa*, *Lathyrus aphaca*, and *Anagallis arvensis* (Upadhyaya and Bhalla, 2002). However, due to climate change and shift in agricultural practices and cropping system, new weed species are also

emerging as a threat for chickpea cultivation as against the *Chenopodium album* and *Anagallis arvensis* (Sharma *et al.*, 1995). In Jabalpur weed flora in the chickpea was comprised of dicot weeds compared to monocot and there was predominance of *Anagallis arvensis* (73.37 and 83.70%) in chickpea as it had higher density and relative density but *Chenopodium album* was present in lesser number (Chaurasia, 2018).

The dominance of weed flora after a certain period of time may adversely affect the crop as well as the environment, the study of nature and habitat of weeds is now very important for sustainable crop production of chickpea and to create proper control measures against the different weed flora during critical crop growth stages. Keeping above facts in view the present experiment was conducted to identify the problematic weeds in chickpea crop in the present time at Jabalpur district of Madhya Pradesh.

Materials and Methods

A two year field experiment was conducted during *rabi* season 2018-19 and 2019-20 at Live Stock Farm, Department of Agronomy, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur (M.P.). Sowing of chickpea variety 'JG 14' was done manually at the rate of 80 kg/ha using normal package of practices for chickpea. Weeds were allowed to grow with chickpea. The observations on weed density were recorded species wise at 60 DAS. The density of weeds was taken manually by using quadrat of 0.25 m² (0.5 X 0.5 m²) by placing them randomly at 6 places in weed infested plots to calculate different weed indices. The weed indices were worked out as per the formulas suggested by Walia (2009).

$$\text{Absolute density} = \frac{\text{Total number of individual of a species}}{\text{Total number of quadrats studied}}$$

$$\text{Relative density (R.Dn.) (\%)} = \frac{\text{Total number of individual of a species in all quadrats}}{\text{Total number of individual of all the species in all quadrats}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Absolute frequency (\%)} = \frac{\text{Number of quadrats in which a species occurred}}{\text{Total number of quadrats studied}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Relative frequency (RF) (\%)} = \frac{\text{Absolute frequency of a species}}{\text{Sum of absolute frequency values of all species}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Weed abundance} = \frac{\text{Total number of individuals of a species in all the quadrats}}{\text{Total number of quadrats in which the species occurred}}$$

$$\text{Relative dominance (R.Do.)} = \frac{\text{Abundance of a species}}{\text{Sum-total of abundance of all species}} \times 100$$

Important value index (IVI) = Relative density + Relative frequency + Relative dominance.

The following study was taken into consideration which provides a firm base for the current investigation (Table 1).

Results and Discussion

Associated weed flora

Weed flora namely *Cichorium intybus*, *Medicago truncatula*, *Melilotus indica*, *Anagallis arvensis* and *Chenopodium album* was observed in chickpea field to that of Sneha (2019) at Jabalpur District of Madhya Pradesh. Species wise data on weed density, frequency, abundance, relative density, relative frequency, relative abundance and Important Value Index (IVI) were also computed from weed infested plots at 60 DAS during *rabi* 2018-19 and 2019-20 and data are presented in Table 2 and 3. It is evident from the data only dicot weeds were present in chickpea during both the season. Among the dicot weeds, *Cichorium intybus* (30.36 and 33.80%), *Medicago truncatula* (20.70 and 23.70%) and *Melilotus indica* (19.52 and 21.69%) were more predominant. However,

other dicot weeds like *Anagallis arvensis* (19.50 and 10.70%) and *Chenopodium anbum* (9.88 and 10.70%) also marked their presence

in less numbers. The similar weed flora was also reported by Baghel (2018) at the same place.

Table.1 Status of weed flora in chickpea at different years

Weed flora	Sharma <i>et al.</i> , (1995)	Sahu <i>et al.</i> , (2020)
<i>Asphodelus tenuifolius</i>	+	-
<i>Anagallis arvensis</i>	-	+
<i>Avena fatua</i>	+	-
<i>Brassica kabar</i>	+	-
<i>Lathyrus aphaca</i>	+	-
<i>Medicago denticulata</i>	+	-
<i>Melilotus indica</i>	+	+
<i>Melilotus alba</i>	+	-
<i>Phalaris minor</i>	+	-
<i>Trigonella polycerata</i>	+	-
<i>Argemone mexicana</i>	+	-
<i>Chenopodium album</i>	+	+
<i>Medicago truncatula</i>	-	+
<i>Cichorium intybus</i>	+	+
<i>Rumex dentatus</i>	+	-
<i>Sphaeranthus indicus</i>	+	-
<i>Vicia hirsuta</i>	+	-
<i>Vicia sativa</i>	+	-
<i>Convolvulus arvensis</i>	+	-
<i>Cynodon dactylon</i>	+	-
<i>Cyperus rotundus</i>	+	-

*+ Sign denoted weed present and – sign denotes weed absent

Table.2 Density and frequency of chickpea during both years (2018-19 and 2019-20)

weed flora	Density of weeds (No./m ²)		Absolute frequency (%)		Weed abundance	
	2018-19	2019-20	2018-19	2019-20	2018-19	2019-20
<i>Cichorium intybus</i>	84.00	80.00	100.00	100.00	14.00	13.33
<i>Medicago truncatula</i>	57.33	54.67	83.33	83.33	10.93	10.93
<i>Melilotus indica</i>	54.00	51.33	66.67	66.67	13.50	12.83
<i>Anagallis arvensis</i>	49.00	30.33	66.67	50.00	12.25	10.11
<i>Chenopodium album</i>	27.33	25.33	50.00	66.67	9.11	6.33
Total	271.67	241.66	366.67	366.67	59.79	53.54

Table.3 Relative density, relative frequency and important value index of weeds in chickpea during both years (2018-19 and 2019-20)

weed flora	Relative density (%)		Relative frequency (%)		Relative dominance (%)		Important Value index (IVI)	
	2018-19	2019-20	2018-19	2019-20	2018-19	2019-20	2018-19	2019-20
<i>Cichorium intybus</i>	30.92	33.10	27.27	27.27	23.41	24.90	81.61	85.28
<i>Medicago truncatula</i>	21.10	22.62	22.73	22.73	18.28	20.42	62.12	65.77
<i>Melilotus indica</i>	19.88	21.24	18.18	18.18	22.58	23.97	60.64	63.39
<i>Anagallis arvensis</i>	18.04	12.55	18.18	13.64	20.49	18.88	56.71	45.07
<i>Chenopodium album</i>	10.06	10.48	13.64	18.18	15.24	11.83	38.94	40.49
total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	300.00	300.00

Cichorium intybus and *Medicago truncatula* were most frequently occurred in chickpea as both were having higher relative frequency (27.27 and 27.27%; 22.73 and 22.73% respectively) as compared to other weeds because of prolific seed production and enrichment of weed seed bank in soil every year by both the weeds. However, the other weeds like *Melilotus indica* (18.18 and 18.18%), *Anagallis arvensis* (18.18 and 13.64%) and *Chenopodium album* (13.64 and 18.18%) were occurred in chickpea in comparatively lesser frequency on account of wider periodic of germination from soil and their effective control with the adoption of weed control measures particularly broad spectrum herbicides could be assigned the reason for lesser density of these weeds at this point of time. In case of relative dominance, maximum maximum value was recorded in case of *Cichorium intybus* (23.41 and 24.90%) followed by *Melilotus indica* (22.58 and 23.97%) and *Medicago truncatula* (18.28 and 20.42%) during both years. The highest IVI was recorded in case of *Cichorium intybus* (81.60 and 85.28 out of 300) followed by *Medicago truncatula* (62.12 and 65.77) during *rabi* 2018-19 and 2019-20, respectively. Whereas, *Melilotus indica*, *Anagallis arvensis* and *Chenopodium album* recorded lower values of IVI as compared to *Cichorium intybus* during both years. The highest IVI of *Cichorium intybus* indicated

that it was most problematic weed in chickpea followed by *Medicago truncatula* and *Melilotus indica* compared to other weeds. Patidar *et al.*, (2019) also observed similar IVI in case of wheat at Jabalpur.

It was concluded that *Cichorium intybus*, *Medicago truncatula* and *Melilotus indica* were problematic weeds in chickpea in Jabalpur district of Madhya Pradesh.

References

- Anonymous. 2018. Agricultural statistics at a glance. Government of India. Ministry of Agriculture and Co-operation and Farmer Welfare. Directorate of Economics and Statistics, 68 and 97 p.
- Baghel R.2018. Bio-efficacy of pendimethalin (38.7%CS) as PPI against complex weed flora in Chickpea. *Thesis M.Sc (Ag).*, JNKVV Jabalpur, (MP).
- Chaurasia A. 2018. Studies on Irrigation Scheduling and Weed Management in Chickpea under Different Land Configurations. *Thesis Ph.D. (Ag)*, JNKVV Jabalpur, (MP).
- Patidar J, Kewat ML and Jha AK.2019. Present status of weed flora in soybean crop in Jabalpur district of Kymore plateau & Satpura Hills Zone of Madhya Pradesh. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, 8(7): 717-720.

- Rawal, V and Navarro DK eds. 2019. The Global Economy of Pulses. Rome, FAO.
- Sahu MP, Kewat ML, Jha AK, Sharma JK and Sondhia Shobha. 2020. Weed dynamics as affected by practices and straw mulches in chickpea. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*, 8(4): 1857-1859.
- Sharma RS, Kewat ML and Jain KK.1995. Identification of problematic weeds in Jabalpur region of Madhya Pradesh. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*, 27 (1 & 2) : 19-23.
- Sneha G.2019. Bio-efficacy of pendimethalin as PPI against complex weed flora in Chickpea. *Thesis M.Sc (Ag)*, JNKVV Jabalpur, (MP).
- Upadhyaya VB and Bhalla CS. 2002.Efficacy of cultural, mechanical and chemical weed control in chickpea. *Indian Journal Weed Science*, 34(1-2): 141-142.

How to cite this article:

Muni Pratap Sahu, Mewa Lal Kewat, Amit Kumar Jha, J.K. Sharma and Shobha Sondhia. 2020. Identification of Problematic Weeds in Chickpea Crop in Jabalpur District of Madhya Pradesh, India. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci*. 9(09): 973-977.
doi: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2020.909.120>