

Original Research Article

<https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2020.908.461>

## Effect of Hydrophilic Polymer and Farmyard Manure on Yield Attributes and Yields of Rainfed Chickpea

Medida Sunil Kumar\*, G. V. Suneel Kumar, K. Anny Mrudula and G. Vijay Kumar

Agricultural Research Station, Darsi, Andhra Pradesh, India

\*Corresponding author

### ABSTRACT

#### Keywords

Hydrophilic  
Polymer,  
Farmyard Manure  
Rainfed Chickpea

#### Article Info

Accepted:  
10 July 2020  
Available Online:  
10 August 2020

A field experiment was conducted during *rabi* season of 2016-2017 to find out effect of hydrophilic polymer and farmyard manure on yield attributes and yield of rainfed chickpea at Agricultural Research Station, Darsi, Andhra Pradesh, India. Results revealed that treatments influenced significantly on plant height, no of branches per plant and pods per plant. Seed yield was significant different among the treatments. Highest seed yield of 1883 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was recorded in T<sub>7</sub> treatment which is statistically superior followed by T<sub>4</sub> treatment. Highest stover yield was recorded in T<sub>7</sub> treatment with 3108 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> followed by T<sub>6</sub> treatment with 2415 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. High input cost and non-availability of higher quantities of farm year manure compare to hydrophilic polymer application of 15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> hydrophilic polymer may be a climate resilient agronomic option to stabilize the crop yields.

### Introduction

Chickpea is conventionally grown on residual soil moisture conditions on deep black soils. Therefore, the crop faces high temperature and water stress towards maturity which results in low and variable yields. The crop duration window is constrained by sowing at the termination of rains (later October) and the increasing heat of summer (early March), resulting in a narrow crop growth window. Within this transition period (winter to summer) especially from late February month onwards, temperatures are highly crucial for attaining optimal yields in peninsular India. Variability of occurrence of rainfall before sowing is one of the crucial factors

determining the sowing window in the region. The productivity of chickpea is low in peninsular India because, it is mainly grown under receding moisture conditions with very limited or no irrigation sources.

Moisture stress is one of the main reasons for the timing of the onset of senescence in chickpea. Grain yield is significantly sensitive to water stress during the pod setting to grain development periods irrespective of soil texture (Jalota *et al.*, 2006).

Crop production potential in dryland regions was un-exploited mainly because of low surface and ground water resources. The limited availability of water therefore,

necessitates the use of water management aids that can retain water for longer duration (Singh *et al.*, 2006).

Polyacrylamide is a water-absorbing polymer that absorbs soil water and retains it for a longer duration as compared to soil particles during surplus periods and releases water slowly. The water absorption rate in these polymers varies up to 400 times according to their formulation, water impurities, and salt content [Monnig, 2005]. Application of hydrophilic polymers reduce the frequency of irrigation (López *et al.*, 2016), irrigation requirement by 15-50 % (Dabh *et al.*, 2013), increase in pod number and 100 seed weight in chickpea (Allahyari *et al.*, 2013), increase seed yield of sunflower (Ghatol *et al.*, 2018), soybean (Yazdani *et al.*, 2007), chickpea (Siamak *et al.*, 2014) and decreasing the effects of drought stress (Koohestani *et al.*, 2009 & Zheng *et al.*, 2009). Polymers use can maintain soil moisture and some of the nutrients for up to five years after their application at the farms [Martin *et al.*, 1993]. In view of the above, use of hydrophilic polymer is providing a new technology for dry land conditions and it is important to find out the effect of hydrophilic polymer on chickpea yield attributes and yield behavior. Keeping above point in view, the present investigation was undertaken.

### Materials and Methods

The experiment was conducted during *Rabi* 2016-17 at Agricultural Research Station, ANGRAU, Darsi, Andhra Pradesh, India. The experimental site falls under semi-arid climatic conditions as per Thornthwaite's method of climate classification and Tropical savanna with dry summer as per Koppen's methods of climate classification. The annual rainfall is 313 mm and the majority of the rainfall is received from June to October (South West Monsoon period). During the

crop growth period, an amount of 12.5 mm of rainfall was received against the 27.3 mm (Normal rainfall).

The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with three replications along with seven treatment viz., T<sub>1</sub>- Control (No hydrophilic polymer), T<sub>2</sub> - Soil application of Hydrophilic polymer @ 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>3</sub> - Soil application of Hydrophilic polymer @ 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>4</sub> - Soil application of hydrophilic polymer @ 5kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + FYM @ 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>5</sub> - Soil application of FYM @ 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>6</sub> - Soil application of hydrophilic polymer @ 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + FYM @ 6 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and T<sub>7</sub> - Soil application of hydrophilic polymer @ 15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + FYM @ 7 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. Recommended package and practices were followed for crop management. Observations on yield attributes, seed yield and stover yield were recorded.

### Results and Discussion

Perusal of data (Table-1) indicated that the treatments influenced significantly on plant height, no of branches per plant and pods per plant. The highest plant height of 49.1 cm was recorded in T<sub>7</sub> treatment followed by 47.5 cm in T<sub>4</sub> treatment. Increase water availability by the application of hydrophilic polymers increase plant height compare to control and the increase was higher in application of hydrophilic polymer along with farmyard manure. Number of branches plant<sup>-1</sup> and pods plant<sup>-1</sup> was statistically significant among the treatments and highest was recorded in T<sub>7</sub> treatment followed by T<sub>4</sub> treatment. Increase in plant<sup>-1</sup> and pods plant<sup>-1</sup> recorded due to the presence of dry and cool weather besides water and nutrient availability. Optimum conditions of temperature and soil moisture favored increase in pod setting. Dingre *et al.*, 2017 reported that application of hydrophilic polymer of 15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded highest number of pods compare to the control, lower

and higher doses of polymer. Test weight was statistically non-significant among the treatments.

Seed yield was significant different among the treatments. Highest seed yield of 1883 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was recorded in T<sub>7</sub> treatment which is statistically superior (Table-2). Similar results were reported by Anupama *et al.*, 2005. However T<sub>4</sub> (1715 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>6</sub> (1654 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) found to be superior to control. In T<sub>7</sub> the addition of farm yard manure along with hydrophilic polymer may increase the availability of more micronutrients besides its water holding nature and moderation of soil

temperatures to create favorable conditions for plant root. Increase in application of hydrophilic polymer in T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> as compare to T<sub>2</sub> significantly increased the seed yield. Increase in application hydrophilic polymer from 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>2</sub> treatment) to 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>3</sub> treatment) increased seed yield of 2.4 times higher whereas the application of 15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> increased the seed yields of 1.6 times when compare to T<sub>3</sub> but 31 % higher than control (T<sub>1</sub> treatment). In similar the application of hydrophilic polymer of 15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> along with farmyard manure of 15 t ha<sup>-1</sup> increased seed yields of 44% when compare to control.

**Table.1** Effect of hydrophilic polymer and farmyard manure on yield attributes of rainfed chickpea

| Particulars | Plant height (cm) | No. of branches plant <sup>-1</sup> | Pods plant <sup>-1</sup> | Test weight (gm) |
|-------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| T1          | 33.5              | 6.4                                 | 20.7                     | 21.4             |
| T2          | 36.9              | 7.1                                 | 21.3                     | 21.4             |
| T3          | 40.2              | 7.5                                 | 23.5                     | 21.5             |
| T4          | 47.5              | 8.3                                 | 25.1                     | 21.7             |
| T5          | 38.4              | 7.3                                 | 22.4                     | 21.4             |
| T6          | 42.9              | 8.1                                 | 26.3                     | 22.0             |
| T7          | 49.1              | 9.0                                 | 27.6                     | 22.1             |
| SE m ±      | 3.1               | 0.4                                 | 2.1                      | 0.8              |
| CD (0.05)   | 9.7               | 1.4                                 | 6.7                      | NS               |
| CV (%)      | 13.2              | 10.2                                | 12.5                     | 6.5              |

**Table.2** Effect of hydrophilic polymer and farmyard manure on seed yield, stover yield and harvest index of rainfed chickpea

| Particulars | Seed Yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | Stover yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | Harvest Index (%) |
|-------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|
| T1          | 1306                              | 1593                                | 45.0              |
| T2          | 1418                              | 1801                                | 44.1              |
| T3          | 1555                              | 2053                                | 43.1              |
| T4          | 1715                              | 2384                                | 41.5              |
| T5          | 1477                              | 1921                                | 43.5              |
| T6          | 1654                              | 2415                                | 40.7              |
| T7          | 1883                              | 3108                                | 37.7              |
| SE m ±      | 92.5                              | 129.7                               | 1.9               |
| CD (0.05)   | 288.3                             | 405.5                               | NS                |
| CV (%)      | 10.2                              | 10.3                                | 8.1               |

Application of farmyard manure had additional advantage over the hydrophilic polymer of 15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> but the availability and its cost had negative effects for successful implementation over a large scale. To overcome the terminal moisture stress in rainfed chickpea, application of 15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> may be a climate resilient agronomic option to stabilize the crop yields.

Significant difference among the different treatments in stover yields was recorded. Highest stover yield was recorded in T<sub>7</sub> treatment with 3108 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> followed by T<sub>6</sub> treatment with 2415 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Increase in stover yield was attributed due to increase in plant height and branches. Harvest index was non-significant among the treatments. The T<sub>1</sub> treatment recorded highest harvest index of 45.0 % followed by T<sub>2</sub> treatment with 44.1 %. This was attributed due to the lower plant height and branches.

Gross returns obtained were higher in T<sub>7</sub> followed by T<sub>4</sub> treatment (Table-2). Irrigation levels influenced higher net returns with treatment T<sub>7</sub> (Rs. 42, 166/-) followed by T<sub>4</sub> (Rs. 38, 680/-). Treatment T<sub>7</sub> registered higher cost benefit ratio and was superior to other treatments and control.

## References

- Allahyari, S., Golchin, A., and Vaezi, A. R. 2013. Study on effect of super absorbent polymer application on yield and yield components of two chickpea cultivars under rainfed conditions. *Journal of Plant Protection*. 20(1):125-140.
- Dabhi, R., Bhatt, N., and Pandit, B. 2013. Super absorbent polymers-an innovative water saving technique for optimizing crop yield. *International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology*. 2(10):5333-5340.
- Ghatol, P.U., Soniya, C. V., Godavari, S. G., Thakare, G.V., Mane, G.V., and Sakhare, S.B. 2018. Efficacy of Hydrophilic Polymer Hydrogel and Water Retentive Material on Growth and Yield of Sunflower. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci*. 6: 2984-2990.
- Jalota, S.K., Anil Sood., and Harman, W.L. 2006. Assessing the response of chickpea (*Ciceraeritimum* L.) yield to irrigation water on two soils in Punjab (India): A simulation analysis using the CROPMAN model. *Agricultural Water Management*. 79 (3): 312-320.
- Koohestani, S., Asgari, N., and Maghsudi, K. 2009. Study the effect of super absorbent hydrogel on corn (*Zea mayz* L.) yield under drought stress. *Journal of Iranian Water Research*. 5:71-78.
- López, B.F.J., López Bellido, R. J., Khalil, S. K., and López Bellido, L. 2008. Effect of Planting Date on Winter Kabuli Chickpea Growth and Yield under Rainfed Mediterranean Conditions. *Agronomy Journal*. 100: 957-964.
- Martin, C. A., Ruter, J. M., Roberson, R. W., and Sharp, W. P. 1993. Element absorption and hydration potential of polyacrylamide gels. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*. 24(5-6):539-548.
- Monnig, S. 2005. Water saturated super-absorbent polymers used in high strength concrete. *Otto-Graf Journal*. 16:193-202.
- Siamak, F, Mojtaba, J. K., Asad, R., and Bayzid, Y. 2014. Effects of inter-row spacing and superabsorbent polymer application on yield and productivity of rainfed chickpea. *Journal of Biodiversity and Environmental Sciences*. 5 (3): 316-320.
- Anupama Rajesh, K., Jat, M.L., and Parmar, B.S., 2005. Performance of a new Superabsorbant polymer on crop and

- water productivity of summer Mungbean. *Journal of Water Management*. 13 (1), 1-5.
- Yazdani, F., Allahdadi, I., and Akbari, G. A. 2007. Impact of Superabsorbent Polymer on Yield and Growth Analysis of Soybean (*Glycine max* L.) Under Drought Stress Condition. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences*. 10: 4190-4196.
- Zheng, T., Liang, Y., Ye, S., and He, Z. 2009. Superabsorbent hydrogels as carriers for the controlled-release of urea: experiments and a mathematical model describing the release rate. *Biosystems Engineering*. 102(1):44–50.
- Singh, S., Malik, R.K., Yadav, A., and Punia, S.S., 2006. Influence of irrigation, sulphur and seed inoculation on yield soil moisture studies on late sown chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Haryana Agricultural University Journal of Research*. 36: 23-29.
- Dingre, S. K., Pawar, D. D., Shinde, M. G., and Tarte, G. L. 2017. Effect of Water Retaining Polymer Application on the Productivity of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) Under Dryland Agriculture. *International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management*. 8(3):388-392.

**How to cite this article:**

Medida Sunil Kumar, G. V. Suneel Kumar, K. Anny Mrudula and Vijay Kumar, G. 2020. Effect of Hydrophilic Polymer and Farmyard Manure on Yield Attributes and Yields of Rainfed Chickpea. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci*. 9(08): 4003-4007.  
doi: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2020.908.461>