

Review Article

<https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2020.906.395>

Performance of Sweet Corn under Different Fertility Levels - A Review

S.C. Sahoo* and M. Mohanty

Directorate of Planning, Monitoring and Evaluation, Odisha University of Agriculture and
Technology, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India

*Corresponding author

ABSTRACT

In recent time, sweet corn (*Zea mays* L.) is gaining popularity as a healthy snack item, both in urban & rural areas due to its high sugar and low starch content. There are diversified reports regarding effect of plant nutrients on growth and yield of sweet corn. Application of fertilizer considerably improves various yield attributes such as number of ears per plant, number of kernel per cob and kernel weight due to promotion of physiological activities in the plant. Sweet corn production is more influenced by nitrogen as compared with other nutrients. Depending upon location, cultivar and season of cultivation; sweet corn responds up to 150:75:50 kg N:P₂O₅:K₂O/ha to obtain more yield of green cob and green fodder. Fertilizer management affects the quality of sweet corn through variation in content of protein, sugar and starch in the grain. The maximum net profit was recorded up to a fertility level of 150:50:60 kg N:P₂O₅:K₂O/ha.

Keywords

Sweet corn, Fertility
Level, Yield,
Quality, Economics

Article Info

Accepted:
26 May 2020
Available Online:
10 June 2020

Introduction

Corn (*Zea mays* L.) is a versatile crop, which is broadly used for human food, animal feed, fodder and industrial raw material. Recently, the specialty corns such as baby corn and sweet corn have emerged as alternate food products for human consumption. Sweet corn has become one of the most popular and economically important crops in the world (Akinrinde and Teboh, 2006). Sweet corn is gaining popularity both in rural and urban

areas because of its high sugar and low starch content (Game *et al.*, 2017).

Among various crop management practices, nutrient application plays an important role in determining the quality and yield of sweet corn. The growth and yield of sweet corn are very much influenced by application of various plant nutrients. Compared with other crops, sweet corn has adequate potentiality of converting plant nutrients into economic parts. Sweet corn is a heavy feeder requiring

high amount of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium (Ortas and Sari, 2003). The yield of sweet corn is very much affected when the soil is deficient in plant nutrients. Pangaribuan *et al.*, (2018) from Indonesia opined that low production of sweet corn is largely influenced by low soil fertility.

There are diversified reports regarding effect of plant nutrients on growth and yield of sweet corn. Enhanced application of various nutrients stimulates efficient absorption of plant nutrients and their translocation. Besides, nutrients help in better utilization of incident solar radiation, enhanced carbon dioxide assimilation and promotion of photosynthetic activities, which ultimately helps in production of assimilates in the plant (Kumar *et al.*, 2007; Jaliya *et al.*, 2008; Asghar *et al.*, 2010 and Uwah *et al.*, 2011). An attempt has been made to review the available literature on the effect of plant nutrients, especially nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium on yield, quality and economics of sweet corn.

Growth and development

Balanced application of plant nutrients has positive effect on growth and development of sweet corn. Increased rate of NPK application increases biomass production through efficient utilization of solar radiation resulting in enhanced photosynthesis. Kumar *et al.*, (2007) observed increase in leaf area index and dry matter accumulation in sweet corn with enhanced level of NPK application. However, the quantity of nutrient requirement varies depending on soil fertility, growing season, cultivar used and management practices. Higher rate of fertilizer application promoted better growth across the cultivars and resulted in higher uptake of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium (Akpan and Udoh, 2017).

Nitrogen is the key element for efficient growth and development of plants. Precise management of nitrogen is very much important to obtain higher yield while maintaining soil health. Nitrogen requirement of sweet corn varies depending on various biotic and abiotic factors such as soil status, climatic features, varietal characteristics, water availability and management options. Inherent availability of nitrogen in the soil has considerable effect on growth of root and vegetative parts of the plant. Bavec *et al.*, (2015) recorded deeper root system in sweet corn crop grown under low nitrogen status. Availability of nitrogen also influences the utilization of solar energy by the crop. Sonbai *et al.*, (2013) opined that increased absorption of inorganic nitrogen fertilizer enhances production of more chlorophyll in plant, which helps in utilizing more solar energy for production of carbohydrates. Several researchers have studied about the effect of nitrogen on growth and development of sweet corn. At Pantnagar, there was increase in leaf area index with increase in nitrogen level up to 120 kg/ha (Shivay and Singh, 2000). Whereas, increase in nitrogen level up to 180 kg/ha increased the number of green leaves per plant (Singh *et al.*, 2003), which was ultimately responsible for enhanced dry matter production. Pangaribuan *et al.*, (2018) from Indonesia recorded higher concentration of nitrogen in plants with application of higher quantity of nitrogen through urea.

Phosphorus and potassium also play a crucial role for growth of root and above ground plant parts of sweet corn. Massey and Gaur (2006) reported higher growth attributes like plant height, leaves per plant and leaf area with application of 90 kg N and 45 kg P₂O₅/ha. Under Gujrat situation, Dangariya *et al.*, (2017) recorded the highest values of plant height, stem diameter and number of leaves per plant with application of 150 kg N and 75 kg P₂O₅/ha. Singh *et al.*, (2019) from

Pantnagar reported that application of 100% RDF(120 kg N, 60 kg P₂O₅ and 40 kg K₂O/ha) resulted in the tallest plants (134.5 cm) and maximum dry matter accumulation (82.1 g/plant), although it was statistically comparable with application of 125 % RDF.

Yield attributes

Yield of sweet corn is utterly influenced by various yield attributing factors such as plant stand, number of ears per plant, number of kernel per cob and kernel weight. Application of plant nutrient has a direct bearing on various yield attributing characters. Increase in application of fertilizer considerably improves various yield attributes due to promotion of physiological activities in sweet corn. Jaliya *et al.*, (2008) recorded more number of grains/cob and higher grain weight with application of 150:26:50 kg NPK/ha. Akhtar and Silva (1999) obtained more weight of fresh ears with enhanced quantity of nitrogen application up to 150 kg N/ha. Increase in nitrogen application upto 120 kg/ha enhanced various yield attributing factors such as cob count per unit area, no. of kernel/cob, weight of green cob & kernel and kernel recovery (Singh *et al.*, 2012). On the other hand, stress condition created by deficient supply of various nutrients adversely affects the yield attributing factors. Sahoo and Mahapatra (2007) from Odisha reported more number of barren plants (28.2%), lighter cobs (84 g) with less number of small kernels (144/cob) in absence of fertilizer application. Pangaribuan *et al.*, (2018) opined that sweet corn production is more affected by nitrogen deficiency as compared to other nutrients.

Besides nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium fertilization also influences performance of yield attributes of sweet corn. Grazia *et al.*, (2003) reported that phosphorus application increased ear diameter and bio-mass production of sweet corn. Singh *et al.*, (2003)

reported that increase in potassium level up to 60 kg/ha increased the number of cobs per unit area, length & girth of cob and test weight of grain. Many workers have reported that combine application of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium positively affected the yield attributing characters of sweet corn. Dangariya *et al.*, (2017) obtained longer, thicker and heavier cobs with application of 150 kg N and 75 kg P₂O₅/ha, may be due to better availability of photosynthates, metabolites and nutrients to develop reproductive structures. Under Hyderabad situation, Shanti *et al.*, (2012) recorded more girth of cob (12.43 cm) and longer cobs (15.83 cm) with application of 150:75:45 kg N:P₂O₅:K₂O/ha. Under Pantnagar situation, 125% RDF produced the longest cob (19.5 cm) and heaviest cob (196 g), which was statistically comparable with 100% RDF(120 kg N, 60 kg P₂O₅ and 40 kg K₂O/ha)(Singh *et al.*, 2019). Similar findings were reported by Sunitha and Reddy (2012) from Tirupati, who reported that increase in nutrient level up to 150:70:50 kg N:P₂O₅:K₂O /ha increased the number of kernels/cob and green cob weight. However, it was statistically at par with the nutrient level of @180:80:55 kg N:P₂O₅:K₂O/ha.

Yield

The role of major nutrients is very much crucial for deciding yield of sweet corn. Several researchers have reported significant contribution of nitrogen, phosphorous and potassium to obtain expected yield from the crop. Nitrogen plays a key role in deciding the production level of sweet corn due to its contribution in the biological functions in plant system (Akintoye and Olaniyan, 2012). Nitrogen augments better plant growth, particularly enhancement of leaf area and root system by affecting cell division and cell expansion (Efthimiadou *et al.*, 2009). In sweet corn, nitrogen management is a big challenge

as the crop requires comparatively large quantity of the nutrient for growth and yield. The yield and yield parameters of sweet corn are largely influenced by the rate of nitrogen application. Singh *et al.*, (2012) recommended application of 120 kg nitrogen/ha to obtain maximum green cob yield from sweet corn.

Adequate availability of phosphorus and potassium is necessary to obtain expected yield from sweet corn. Sharma *et al.*, (2000) observed that response of P increased with corresponding increase in N levels. Arya and Singh (2001) recorded the highest grain and stover yield with application of 40 kg P/ha. Significant increase in yield of green cob and green fodder was recorded by Massey and Gaur (2013) with application of 90 kg N and 45 kg P₂O₅/ha. Dangariya *et al.*, (2017) recorded maximum green cob yield (8.10 t/ha) with application of 120 kg N and 60 kg P₂O₅/ha. But, Geleta *et al.*, (2004) did not find any effect of applied phosphorus on yield of sweet corn under high soil P status.

Several researchers have worked on coalesce application of N,P and K to get maximum yield of sweet corn. Kumar and Chawla (2018) from Ludhiana obtained maximum green cob yield of 11.41 t/ha with application of 150:50:60 kg N:P₂O₅:K₂O/ha. Thorat *et al.*, (2016) advocated application of 150:75:50 kg N:P₂O₅:K₂O/ha to obtain higher values of yield attributes resulting in more yield of green cob and green fodder. Similar findings were also reported by Sunitha and Reddy (2012) under Tirupati situation, who obtained the maximum yield of green cob and fodder with application of 150:70:50 kg N:P₂O₅:K₂O/ha. Under rainfed situation during kharif season, Sahoo and Mahapatra (2008) reported maximum green cob yield (12.04 t/ha) with application of 80:40:40 kg N:P₂O₅:K₂O/ha. Singh *et al.*, (2019) recorded maximum yield of husked (17.1 t/ha) and

dehusked (12.3 t/ha) cob with fertilizer application at 125% RDF. But, it was statistically at par with application of 100% RDF (120 kg N, 60 kg P₂O₅ and 40 kg K₂O/ha).

Various authors have worked on combine application of chemical fertilizer and organic manure for better yield and good quality of sweet corn production. Kaur *et al.*, (2019) recorded the maximum green cob yield (22.24 t/ha) with application of nutrients through 75% RDF and 25% N through vermi-compost. Under Dapoli situation, Shetye *et al.*, (2019) also recorded maximum yield of green cob with husk (22.69 t/ha) and green fodder (26.19 t/ha) with application of 75% RDN through chemical fertilizer and 25% RDN through vermi-compost. Under Odisha situation, Rao *et al.*, (2020) obtained the maximum yield from sweet corn with application of 120:60:60 kg N:P₂O₅:K₂O/ha and bio-fertilizer consortia (*Azotobacter*+*Azospirillum*+*posphobacter*) @15 kg/ha.

Quality

The market value of sweet corn is determined by the quality of harvest. The quality of sweet corn is decided by the contents of protein, sugar and starch in the kernel. Different workers have observed variation in contents of kernel due to variation in availability of plant nutrients. Capon *et al.*, (2017) reported that protein, sugar and starch content of sweet corn is influenced by variation in fertilizer management. The protein content of sweet corn increased with increased level of fertilizer due to higher nitrogen uptake by the plant. Pangaribuan *et al.*, (2017) reported better quality of grains with higher sucrose content with application of 150 kg N/ha. Sunitha and Reddy (2012) recorded highest protein content (13.87%) in kernels with application of 180:80:55 kg N:P₂O₅:K₂O/ha. Being the principal constituent of proteins,

nitrogen might have substantially increased the protein content of kernels with increased uptake of nitrogen under higher nutrient levels (Raja, 2001).

Many researchers have recorded better quality of sweet corn when nutrients are supplied through organic and inorganic sources. Kaur *et al.*, (2019) observed the maximum protein content (14.85%) in grain with application of 75% of RDF along with 2.5 t/ha vermicompost. This may be attributed to better physiological and bio-chemical activity under adequate and balanced nutrient supply.

Economics

Economics of cultivation varies depending on local situation, season of cultivation and management practices. Kumar and Chawla (2018) from Ludhiana recorded highest net return of Rs. 31073/ha and B:C ratio of 1.69 with application of 150:50:60 kg N:P₂O₅:K₂O/ha. Singh *et al.*, (2019) obtained the maximum net return (Rs. 133858/ha) with application of 125% NPK, which was statistically at par with 100% NPK (120 kg N, 60 kg P₂O₅ and 40 kg K₂O/ha). Sahoo and Mahapatra (2007) also recorded the maximum net profit of Rs. 45084/ha with application of 120:60:60 kg N:P₂O₅:K₂O/ha. Sunitha and Reddy (2012) recorded the highest benefit-cost ratio of 3.43 with application of 150:70:50 kg N:P₂O₅:K₂O/ha, although it was statistically at par with 180:80:55 kg N:P₂O₅:K₂O/ha. Rao *et al.*, (2020) recorded the highest net return of Rs164206/ha and benefit-cost ratio of 1.92 with application of 120:60:60 kg N, P₂O₅ and K₂O/ha along with bio-fertilizer consortia (*Azotobacter*+*Azospirillum*+*posphobacter*) @15 kg/ha.

Application of NPK is vital for obtaining better plant growth and higher yield of good quality sweet corn. Depending on soil status, growing situation and varietal characteristics;

fertilizer management can be optimally decided to obtain profitable yield of sweet corn.

References

- Akhtar, M. and Silva, J.A. 1999. Agronomic traits and productivity of sweet corn affected by nitrogen and intercropping. *Pakistan Journal of Soil Science*, 16(1-2): 49-52.
- Akinrinde, E.A.; Teboh, E. 2006. Impact of missing elements on nutrient use efficiency of sweet corn (*Zea mays L. Saccharum*) on five tropical soils. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Science*, 9(5), 961–967.
- Akintoye, H.A. and Olaniyan, A.B. 2012. Yield of sweet corn in response to fertilizer sources. *Global Advanced Research. Journal of Agricultural Science*. 1(5):110-116.
- Akpan, E.A. and Udoh, V.S. 2017. Effects of fertilizer levels on growth and yield attributes of three dwarf sweet corn varieties (*Zea Mays L. Saccharata* Strut) in Itu Flood Plain, Akwalbom State, Nigeria. *Canadian Journal of Agriculture and Crops*. 2 (1): 60-67.
- Arya, K.C. and Singh, S.N. 2001. Productivity of maize (*Zea mays*) as influenced by different levels of phosphorus, zinc and irrigation. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences* 71 (1) : 57-59.
- Asghar, A., Ali, A., Syed, W. H., Asif, M., Khaliq T. and Abid A. A. 2010. Growth and yield of maize (*Zea mays* L.) cultivars as affected by NPK application in different proportions. *Pakistan Journal of Soil Science*.62:211-216.
- Bavec, F., Bavec, M., Grobelnik, M. S., Fekonja, M. 2015. Sweet maize growth and yield response to organic and mineral fertilizers, N rates and soil water regimes. *Agricultura* 12 (1-2): 33-40.
- Capon, D.S., Nital, P.S. and Dela Cruz, N.E. 2017. Nutrient Use Efficiency, Yield and Fruit Quality of Sweet Corn (*Zea mays saccharata* Sturt.) Grown Under Different Fertilizer Management Schemes. *International Journal of Agricultural Technology*. 13(7.1):1413-1435
- Dangariya, M. V., Dudhat, M. S., Bavalgave, V.

- G. and Thanki, J. D. 2017. Growth, yield and quality of Rabi sweet corn as influenced by different spacing and fertilizer levels. *International Journal of Agricultural Science*. 13 (1) : 38-42
- Efthimiadou, A., Bilalis, D., Karkanis, A., Froud-Williams, B. and Eleftherochorinos, I. 2009. Effects of cultural system (organic and conventional) on growth, photosynthesis and yield components of sweet corn (*Zea mays* L.) under semi-arid environment. *Notulae Botanicae Horti Agrobotanici*. 37 (2): 104-111.
- Game, V. N., Chavan, S. A., Mahadkar, U. V., Thokal, R. T. and Shendage, G. B. 2017. Response of rabi sweet corn (*Zea mays saccharata* L.) to irrigation and mulching in Konkan region of Maharashtra. *Journal of the Indian Society of Coastal Agricultural Research* 35(1): 27-30.
- Geleta, S.B., Brinsfield, R.B., Mulford, F.R., Womack, H.E., Briand, C.H. and O' Keefe, J.A. 2004. Managing phosphorus for yield and quality of sweet corn grown on high phosphorus soils of Maryland's eastern shore. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science*. 84 (3): 713-718.
- Grazia, J. D., Tiftonell, P.A., Germinana, D. and Chiesa, A. 2003. Phosphorus and nitrogen fertilization in sweet corn (*Zea mays* L. var. *Saccharata* Bailey). *Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research* 1 (2): 103-107.
- Jaliya, N. M., Falaki, A. M., Mahmud M. and Sam Y. A. 2008. Effect of sowing date and NPK fertilizer rate on yield and yield components of quality protein maize (*Zea Mays* L.). *ARPJ Journal of Agriculture and Biology Science*. 3: 23-28.
- Kaur, J., Kulshrestha, H., Singh, P. and Jain N. 2019. Effect of Plant Densities and Integrated Nutrient Management on Productivity, Nutrient Uptake and Quality of Sweet Corn (*Zea mays* L. *Saccharata*.) *IOSR Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science*. 12 (5): 38-42
- Kumar, M. and Chawla, J. S. 2018. Evaluation of sweet corn genotypes for green cob and fodder yield under different levels of nutrient and plant spacing. *Forage Research* .44 (2):111-114
- Kumar, M. A. A., Gali, S. K. and Hebsur, N. S. 2007. Effect of different levels of npk on growth and yield parameters of sweet corn. *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 20(1): 41-43.
- Massey, J.X. and Gaur, B.L. 2006. Effect of plant population and fertility levels on growth and NPK uptake by sweet corn (*Zea mays* L.) cultivars. *Annals of Agricultural Research*. 27 (4): 365-368.
- Massey, J. X. and Gaur, B. L. 2013. Response of sweet corn cultivars to plant population and fertility levels on yield, NPK uptake and quality characters. *International Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 9 (2): 713-715
- Ortas, I. and Sari. N. 2003. Enhanced Yield and Nutrient Content of Sweet Corn with Mycorrhizal Inoculation under Field Conditions. *Agricoltura Mediterranea*, 3-4: 188-195.
- Pangaribuan, D. H., Hendarto, K., Elzhivago S. R. and Yulistiani A. 2018. The effect of organic fertilizer and urea fertilizer on growth, yield and quality of sweet corn and soil health. *Asian Journal of Agriculture and Biology*. 6(3):335-344
- Pangaribuan, D.H., Nurmauli, N. and Sengadji, S.F. 2017. The effect of enriched compost and nitrogen fertilizer on the growth and yield of sweet corn (*Zea mays* L.). *Acta Horticulturae*. 1152(52): 387-392.
- Rao, B. M., Mishra, G.C., Mishra, G., Maitra, S. and Adhikari, R. 2020. Effect of integrated nutrient management on production potential and economics in summer sweet corn (*Zea mays* L. var. *Saccharata*). *International Journal of Chemical Studies*. 8(2): 141-144.
- Sahoo, S.C. and Mahapatra, P.K. 2007. Response of sweet corn (*Zea mays*) to plant population and fertility levels during rabi season. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 77(11): 779-781.
- Sahoo, S.C. and Mahapatra, P.K. 2008. Green cob and fodder yield of sweet corn (*Zea mays*) as affected by plant population and fertility levels under rainfed situation. *Range Management and Agroforestry*. 29(2): 143-146.
- Shanti, J., Sreedhar, M., Durga, K. K., Keshavulu, K., Bhave, M. H. V. and Ganesh, M. 2012. Influence of Plant Spacing and Fertilizer

- Dose on Yield Parameters and Yield of Sweet Corn (*Zea mays* L.) *International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management*. 3(1):40-43
- Sharma, N.N., Paul, S.R. and Sharma, D. 2000. Response of maize (*Zea mays*) to nitrogen and phosphorus under rainfed conditions of the hills zone of Assam. *Indian Journal of Agronomy* 45(1): 128-131.
- Shetye, V. N., Mahadkar, U. V., Sagvekar, V. V., Chavan, A. P., Tiwari, R. C. and Pawar, D. M. 2019. Effect of Tillage Practices and Nutrient Sources on Yield and Nutrient Uptake by Sweet Corn (*Zea mays* L. ssp. *saccharata*). *Journal of Indian Society of Coastal Agricultural Research*. 37(1): 56-62
- Shivay, Y.S. and Singh, R.P. 2000. Growth, yield attributes, yields and nitrogen uptake of maize (*Zea mays* L.) as influenced by cropping systems and nitrogen levels. *Annals of Agricultural Research*. 21(4): 494-498.
- Singh, J. K., Bhatnagar, A., Prajapati, B. and Pandey, D. 2019. Influence of integrated nutrient management on the growth, yield and economics of sweet corn (*Zea mays saccharata*) in spring season. *Pantnagar Journal of Research*. 17(3): 214-218
- Singh, R.N., Sutaliya, R., Ghatak, R. and Sarangi, S.K. 2003. Effect of higher application of nitrogen and potassium over recommended level on growth, yield and yield attributes of late sown winter maize (*Zea mays*). *Crop Research* 26 (1): 71-74.
- Singh, U., Saad, A. A., Ram, T., ChandLekh. Mir, S. A. and Aga, F. A. 2012. Productivity, Economics and Nitrogen-use Efficiency of Sweet Corn (*zea Mays Saccharata*) as Influenced by Planting Geometry and Nitrogen Fertilization. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. 57 (1): 43-48
- Sonbai, J.H.H., Prajitno, D. and Syukur, A. 2013. Growth and yield of maize on a various application of nitrogen fertilizer in dry land Regosol. *Journal of Agricultural Science*. 16(1): 77-89.
- Sunitha, N. and Reddy, P. M. 2012. Effect of Graded Nutrient Levels and Timing Nitrogen Application on Yield and Quality of Sweet Corn (*Zea mays* L.). *Madras Agricultural Journal*. 99 (4-6): 240-243
- Thorat, N. H., Dhonde, A. S., Shelar, D. N. and Mohite, A. B. 2016. Response of Different Sweet corn (*Zea mays Saccharata* Sturt) Hybrids to Various Fertilizer Levels in Kharif Season. *Ecology, Environment and Conservation*. 22 (1): 307-310
- Uwah, D. F., Eneji A. E. and Eshiet U. J. 2011. Organic and mineral fertilizers effects on the performance of sweet maize (*Zea mays* L. *Saccharata* Strut) in South Eastern rain forest zone of Nigeria. *International Journal of Agriculture Sciences*. 3: 54-61.

How to cite this article:

Sahoo, S.C. and Mohanty, M. 2020. Performance of Sweet Corn under Different Fertility Levels - A Review. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci*. 9(06): 3325-3331.

doi: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2020.906.395>