

Original Research Article

<https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2020.905.010>

## Occurrence of Clinical Mastitis in Cattle with Emphasis on AntibioGram of Staphylococci

Neelam<sup>1\*</sup>, V. K. Jain<sup>2</sup>, Mahavir Singh<sup>3</sup>, V. G. Joshi<sup>4</sup> and Y. S. Rana<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Veterinary Medicine, <sup>2</sup>Veterinary Clinical Complex,  
<sup>3</sup>College Central Laboratory, <sup>4</sup>Animal Biotechnology,  
LLR University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Hisar – 125004, Haryana, India

\*Corresponding author

### ABSTRACT

The present study was conducted on clinical cases of mastitis in cattle where isolation, identification of udder pathogens and antimicrobial susceptibility of isolated staphylococci was carried out. A total of 565 quarters milk samples of 142 cattle were investigated and among these 394 quarters were found to be infected. Occurrence of mastitis was found to be highest during third and fourth parity and up to first month of post-partum. The highest frequency of *Staphylococcus* spp. (60.04%) was observed followed by *Streptococci* spp. (24.02%), *E. coli* (10.26%) and other bacteria (5.68%). Antimicrobial susceptibility testing revealed that highest resistance of *Staphylococcus* spp. was towards penicillins; cloxacillin, oxacillin, penicillin, ampicillin, amoxicillin and oxytetracycline, while sensitivity was higher towards methicillin, cefuroxime, lincomycin and gentamicin. High occurrence of mastitis needs timely and appropriate strategies to control the spread of this disease. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing should be performed for selection of effective antimicrobial therapy and the judicious use of antibiotics should be done to reduce resistance against antimicrobials in pathogens.

#### Keywords

Clinical mastitis,  
Cattle,  
Staphylococci,  
AntibioGram,  
Antimicrobial,  
Resistance

#### Article Info

Accepted:  
05 April 2020  
Available Online:  
10 May 2020

### Introduction

India ranked first in the world for production of milk, accounting 176.3 million tonnes with 375 grams per day per-capita availability during 2017-18 (NDDB 2019). To utilize the full production potential of dairy animals and to increase the quantity as well as quality of

milk there is need of proper diagnosis and efforts are desired to decrease the occurrence of diseases which are responsible for low milk output from animals. Mastitis is great challenge to dairy sector as it is difficult to manage and has global negative impact on economy. *Staphylococci* spp. is a major contagious pathogen leading to

intramammary infections in bovines, which are difficult to cure (Feng *et al.*, 2016). Biofilm formation by *Staphylococci* spp. may lead to emergence of antibiotic resistant strains. Appearance of resistant pathogens against a particular antibiotic in a specific region may be attributed by its frequent and long-term use (Kumar *et al.*, 2010). Continuously varying pattern of antimicrobial susceptibility/resistance pattern needs constant and strategic research to detect the emergence and spread of the antimicrobial resistance (Kumar *et al.*, 2011).

In addition to the increasing antibiotic resistance of *S. aureus*, there is danger of risk to human health due to consumption of milk having drug residues. Therefore, the findings of bacteriological analysis of mastitic milk samples, regarding the prevalence of udder pathogens and the level of antimicrobial resistance, of mastitis causing pathogens can be beneficial for the implementation of guidelines for prudent use of antibiotics (de Jong *et al.*, 2018). The antimicrobial resistance developed by the pathogens is one of main reasons of low cure rate of mastitis (Gao *et al.*, 2012). Hence, the findings of *in vitro* antimicrobial(s) susceptibility testing is an important diagnostic test for the selection and recommendation of most appropriate antimicrobial agent(s) for therapeutic intervention (Schwarz *et al.*, 2010). Considering above points, the present study was designed for the analysis of clinical mastitis cases and antibiotic sensitivity testing of staphylococci associated with mastitis.

## **Materials and Methods**

### **Bacteriological examination of milk samples**

A total of 565 quarter milk samples of 142 cattle received in College Central Laboratory were included in the present study. Animals

having history of visible changes in udder secretions *viz.* flakes or clots, discolouration, watery consistency, abnormal taste, and/or swelling, oedema and pain in mammary glands and other clinical signs of anorexia, depression and fever *i.e.*, suffering from clinical form of mastitis were included in the study.

### **Isolation and identification of bacteria**

The milk samples were subjected for isolation of bacteria by inoculation of 10 µl thoroughly mixed milk of each quarter on 5% defibrinated sheep blood agar and MacConkey Lactose agar plates (Carter *et al.*, 1995). The inoculated plates were incubated at 37°C for 16-18 hrs. Growth of micro-organisms was identified on the basis of colony morphology, Gram's staining and catalase test.

### **Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of staphylococci**

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of 69 randomly selected staphylococci isolates was determined through disc-diffusion method on Mueller-Hinton agar by using eighteen commercially available antibiotic discs, as per method of Markey *et al.*, (2013).

## **Results and Discussion**

### **Parity-wise occurrence of clinical mastitis**

Highest number of clinical mastitis cases were recorded in 4<sup>th</sup> parity (34.51%), followed by 3<sup>rd</sup> (29.58%), 5<sup>th</sup> (14.08%), 2<sup>nd</sup> (7.75%), 1<sup>st</sup> (6.34), 7-12<sup>th</sup> (4.23%) and least number of cases were recorded in 6<sup>th</sup> parity (3.52%). These findings are in line with Sharma *et al.*, (2018a) and Seyoum *et al.*, (2017), who reported highest occurrence of mastitis in 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> parity in dairy cows. High occurrence of mastitis in 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup>

parity might be due to high milk production in 4<sup>th</sup> parity along with the increase in diameter of teat canal and decreased tonicity of sphincter, therefore the environmental pathogens may have easy access to enter in teat canal and proliferate in mammary tissues (Constable *et al.*, 2017). Also, the breakdown of keratin layer of streak canal barrier with increasing age may be responsible for increased vulnerability to mastitis (Joshi and Gokhale 2006). Least number of clinical mastitis cases in 6<sup>th</sup> and 7<sup>th</sup> and above parity may be due to rearing of less number of animals in higher parity by the owners due to decrease in production potential or any incurable disease which lead to culling of animals before reaching to 6<sup>th</sup> and higher parity.

#### **Occurrence of clinical mastitis with respect to post-partum of lactation**

Highest number of clinical mastitis cases were recorded in 1<sup>st</sup> month of lactation (33.10%), followed by 2<sup>nd</sup> (16.20%), 3<sup>rd</sup> (19.01%), 4<sup>th</sup> (10.56%), 6<sup>th</sup> and above than 7<sup>th</sup> (7.75% each) and least number of cases were recorded in 5<sup>th</sup> month of lactation (5.63%). These findings are in corroboration with Sarba and Tola (2017) who reported 45.9% cases and early stage of lactation (less than 5 months) and 37.7% cases in late stage (more than 5 months) of lactation. Also, Tufani *et al.*, (2012) reported high incidence in early stage of lactation (52.38%), followed by mid (26.98%) and late stage of lactation (20.63%).

Highest number of cases in first month of lactation just after parturition may be due to increase in oxidative stress and low levels of antioxidant defence mechanism just after parturition (Sharma *et al.*, 2011). In contrary to this, Zeryehun and Abera (2017) reported maximum prevalence of mastitic in mid stage of lactation (61.3%), followed by early (50.7%) and late stages (48%) of lactation in

animals of dairy farms. Also, Joshi and Gokhale (2006) reported maximum number of cases in 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> months of lactation, followed by 1<sup>st</sup> to 3<sup>rd</sup> months post-parturition. They explained that it may be due to physiological stress on animals attributed to high milk production.

#### **Occurrence of clinical mastitis based on course of disease**

Based on course of disease, the cases of clinical mastitis were categorized in peracute, acute, subacute and chronic. The cases of acute mastitis (42.96%) were found to be highest in occurrence, followed by subacute mastitis (40.14%) and chronic mastitis (16.90%) cases. Tufani *et al.*, (2012) also reported highest cases of acute cases (55.56%) followed by subacute (25.40%) and chronic cases (19.05%) of mastitis. Chronic mastitis may flare up occasionally or may persist in subclinical form (Constable *et al.*, 2017).

#### **Frequency of isolation of bacterial pathogens**

A total of 394 (69.73%) quarter milk samples were found culturally positive out of 565 milk samples included in the study. Distribution frequency of bacterial isolates is depicted in table 1. All the Staphylococci exhibited positive reaction for catalase test and observed as Gram-positive cocci arranged as bunches of grapes. *Staphylococci* spp. (60.04%) was found to be the main etiological agent associated with clinical mastitis in the present study (Table 1).

This is in line with the findings of Tufani *et al.*, (2012), Kaur *et al.*, (2015), Elemo *et al.*, (2017) and Sharma *et al.*, (2018b) from mastitis cases. *Staphylococci* spp. is pervasive in nature and may be present in bedding material, body surface of animals including

teat and external orifice and farm equipments; including teat cups of milking machine and persist for a long period in the environment resulting in easy access to gain entry in teat canal. *Staphylococci* spp. are invasive micro-organism, establishes themselves in mammary glands through colonizing into deep udder parenchyma. Transmission mainly occurs between animals during milking process mainly through the milker's hands or milking machines (Sharma *et al.*, 2007 and Constable *et al.*, 2017).

Occurrence of *Streptococci* spp. (24.02%) was found to be second highest among the isolated bacteria in the present study. These findings are in accordance with Tufani *et al.*, (2012), Kaur *et al.*, (2015), Bhat *et al.*, (2017), Sharma *et al.*, (2018b) and Tomazi *et al.*, (2018). While contrary to our findings some researchers (Dubal *et al.*, 2010 and Kurjogi and Kaliwal 2011) reported *E. coli* as the second highest occurring organism in mastitis cases.

Occurrence of *E. coli* was 10.26% in the present study, which is in agreement with Dubal *et al.*, (2010) and Bhat *et al.*, (2017); whereas Kurjogi and Kaliwal 2011 reported 21.08%. However, Tomazi *et al.*, (2018) reported lower frequency of *E. coli* isolates (6.6%), in their study.

Occurrence of *Klebsiella* spp. was found to be 2.84% in the present study and this finding is in line with Kaur *et al.*, 2015 and Sharma *et al.*, 2018b. While non-existence of *Klebsiella* spp. was reported in findings of Bhat *et al.*, (2017) and Tomazi *et al.*, (2018).

Very low occurrence of *Diplococci* spp. (1.97%), *Corynebacterium* spp. (0.66%) and *Pseudomonas* spp. (0.22%) was found in the present study of bovine clinical mastitis cases. Kaur *et al.*, (2015) reported high occurrence (25%) of *Corynebacterium* spp. in their

findings. While Bhat *et al.*, (2017), Elemo *et al.*, (2017) and Tomazi *et al.*, (2018) did not reported any *Corynebacterium* spp. in their findings. Kaur *et al.*, (2015) reported prevalence of *Bacillus* spp. (4%) and *Pseudomonas* spp. (6%) in their study. Sharma *et al.*, (2018b) also reported the presence of *Pseudomonas* spp. in the mastitic milk samples from bovines. While non-existence of *Bacillus* spp., *Diplococci* spp. and *Pseudomonas* spp. was reported by Bhat *et al.*, (2017), Elemo *et al.*, (2017) and Tomazi *et al.*, (2018).

The variations in occurrence of pathogens may change with time, diverse geographical regions, dissimilar type of treatment practices in the region and the management and hygienic practices. Therefore, bacteriological examination should be done to know the particular pathogen associated with mastitis case and to choose the most appropriate antimicrobial against the mastitis causing pathogen.

#### **Antimicrobial resistance of *Staphylococci* spp. isolated from clinical mastitis**

In the present study highest resistance was found in the antimicrobials of penicillin group; ranging from 85.51% in amoxicillin to 95.6% in cloxacillin, while low resistance in methicillin (11.59%) was observed (Table 2). These findings are in line with Kaur *et al.*, (2015), Sharma *et al.*, (2015), Ganai *et al.*, (2016) and Wang *et al.*, (2016).

While Feng *et al.*, (2016) reported low level of resistance against methicillin 2.27%, although they reported high resistance towards penicillin (84.09%). Contrary to findings of the present study Piotr *et al.*, 2013 reported low level of resistance in amoxicillin (17.9%), ampicillin (22.8%) amoxicillin (17.9%), ampicillin (22.8%) and penicillin (23.6%) against *Staphylococcus aureus*.

**Table.1** Distribution frequency of bacterial isolates from clinical mastitis cases in cattle

Sr. No.	Bacteria isolated	Bacterial isolates (n=458)	Percentage
1	<i>Staphylococci</i> spp.	275	60.04
2	<i>Streptococci</i> spp.	110	24.02
3	<i>E. coli</i>	47	10.26
4	<i>Klebsiella</i> spp.	13	2.84
5	<i>Diplococci</i> spp.	9	1.97
6	<i>Corynebacterium</i> spp.	3	0.66
7	<i>Pseudomonas</i> spp.	1	0.22
	Mixed infections	64*	13.97*

**Table.2** Antimicrobial susceptibility of *Staphylococci* spp. (n=69) isolated from clinical cases of cattle

Antibiotic class	Antimicrobial drug	Antimicrobial susceptibility					
		Resistant		Intermediate		Sensitive	
		n	%	n	%	n	%
<b>Penicillins</b>	Penicillin	60	86.96	0	0	9	13.04
	Amoxicillin	59	85.51	0	0	10	14.49
	Ampicillin	60	86.96	0	0	9	13.04
	Cloxacillin	66	95.65	0	0	3	4.35
	Oxacillin	64	92.75	0	0	5	7.25
	Methicillin	8	11.59	12	17.39	49	71.01
<b>Cephalosporins</b>	Cefuroxime	12	17.39	12	17.39	45	65.22
	Cefoperazone	30	43.48	21	30.43	18	26.09
	Ceftriaxone	18	26.09	30	43.48	21	30.43
	Cefotaxime	13	18.84	35	50.72	21	30.43
<b>Aminoglycosides</b>	Amikacin	36	52.17	20	28.99	13	18.84
	Gentamicin	21	30.43	12	17.39	36	52.17
<b>Amphenicols</b>	Chloramphenicol	22	31.88	35	50.72	12	17.39
<b>Fluoroquinolones</b>	Enrofloxacin	58	84.06	0	0	11	15.94
	Levofloxacin	40	57.97	13	18.84	16	23.19
	Moxifloxacin	58	84.06	9	13.04	2	2.90
<b>Tetracyclines</b>	Oxytetracycline	65	94.20	0	0	4	5.80
<b>Lincosamides</b>	Lincomycin	30	43.48	0	0	39	56.52

n= number of isolates

Following the penicillins; oxytetracycline was found to be second highest resistant (94.2%) antimicrobial in the present study. Similar to this, Kumar *et al.*, 2010, Kumar *et al.*, 2011 and Feng *et al.*, (2016) also reported oxytetracycline among the most resistant

antimicrobial in their findings, however, they reported low level of resistance 36.7%, 33.6% and 15.8%, respectively, against oxytetracycline in their findings. Among aminoglycosides, amikacin (52.17%) was observed as more resistant than gentamicin

(30.43%). Kaur *et al.*, (2015) also reported gentamicin (91%) as more sensitive as compare to amikacin (51%). Feng *et al.*, (2016) and Mahato *et al.*, (2017) reported low level of resistance towards gentamicin; 9.09% and 9.7%, respectively.

Among fluoroquinolones highest resistance was found towards moxifloxacin (84.06%), followed by enrofloxacin (84.06%) and levofloxacin (57.97%). Contrary to this, Sharma *et al.*, (2015) reported low resistance towards enrofloxacin (33.33%) and levofloxacin (22.22%) and Kaur *et al.*, (2015) observed 12% resistance towards enrofloxacin.

Cephalosporins were found to be least resistant antibiotics among the tested antimicrobials in the present study. Among cephalosporins, highest resistance was observed in cefoperazone (43.48%) while cefotaxime (13%) was least resistant in the present study. Similar to this, low resistance in ceftriaxone was reported by Ganai *et al.*, (2016) and Sharma *et al.*, (2015) 29.41% and 33.33%, respectively. Contrary to this, Sharma *et al.*, (2018b) reported high sensitivity of *Staphylococci* spp. towards cefoperazone (93.40%) and ceftriaxone (89.56%)

The remarkable increase in antimicrobial resistance is due to extensive use of same class of antibiotic for treatment purpose and irrational use of antimicrobials without prior antibiogram profiling of the causative agent (Kumar *et al.*, 2010). The level of resistance for different antimicrobials differs between the regions and choice of antimicrobials used for the treatment of animals. Penicillins and oxytetracyclins have been frequently used for treatment of diseases in field settings. Therefore, the huge use of antimicrobials might be responsible for increased resistance towards these antimicrobials.

The most common mechanism of resistance in *Staphylococci* spp. is  $\beta$ -lactamase production, which leads to resistance towards  $\beta$ -lactamase sensitive antimicrobials i.e. penicillin G and amino-penicillins (Taponen *et al.*, 2017).

High occurrence of mastitis needs appropriate strategies for control of this disease in cattle to minimize the economic losses. For control of this disease, awareness of the farmers is needed for use of teat dips and hygienic practices at farm. Antimicrobial sensitivity testing should be done before using the antimicrobials to animals. Cautious use of antibiotics should be done to decrease the pace of development of resistance in microbes.

## References

- Bhat, A.M., Soodan, J.S., Singh, R., Dhobi, I.A., Hussain, T., Dar, M.Y. and Mir, M. 2017. Incidence of bovine clinical mastitis in Jammu region and antibiogram of isolated pathogens. *Veterinary World*. 10(8): 984.
- Carter, G.R., Chengappa, M.M. and Roberts, A.W. 1995. *Essentials of Veterinary Microbiology*, 5th ed. Williams and Wilkins, Philadelphia, USA.
- Constable, P.D., Hinchcliff, K.W., Done, S.H. and Grünberg, W. 2017. *Veterinary medicine: a textbook of the diseases of cattle, horses, sheep, pigs and goats*. 11<sup>th</sup> Edition, Elsevier.
- de Jong, A., El Garch, F., Simjee, S., Moyaert, H., Rose, M., Youala, M. and Siegwart, E. 2018. Monitoring of antimicrobial susceptibility of udder pathogens recovered from cases of clinical mastitis in dairy cows across Europe: Vet Path results. *Veterinary Microbiology*. 213: 73-81.
- Dubal, Z.B., Rahman, H., Papri, P., Kumar, A. and Kalpana, P. 2010. Characterization and antimicrobial sensitivity of the pathogens isolated from bovine mastitis

- with special reference to *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus* spp. *Indian Journal of Animal Sciences*. 80(12): 1163-1167.
- Elemo, K.K., Sisay, T., Shiferaw, A. and Fato, M.A. 2017. Prevalence, risk factors and multidrug resistance profile of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from bovine mastitis in selected dairy farms in and around Asella town, Arsi Zone, South Eastern Ethiopia. *African Journal of Microbiology Research*. 11(45): 1632-1642.
- Feng, Y.A., Qi, W.A., Wang, X.R., Ling, W.A., Li, X.P., Luo, J.Y., Zhang, S.D. and Li, H.S. 2016. Genetic characterization of antimicrobial resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from bovine mastitis cases in Northwest China. *Journal of Integrative Agriculture*. 15(12): 2842-2847.
- Ganai, A.W., Kotwal, S.K., Wani, N., Malik, M.A., Jeelani, R., Kour, S. and Zargar R. 2016. Detection of *mecA* gene of methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* by PCR assay from raw milk. *Indian Journal of Animal Sciences*. 86(5): 508-511.
- Gao, J., Ferreri, M., Yu, F., Liu, X.Q., Chen, L.B., Su, J.L. and Han, B. 2012. Molecular types and antibiotic resistance of *Staphylococcus aureus* from bovine mastitis in a single herd in China. *Veterinary Journal*. 192: 550-552.
- Joshi, S. and Gokhale, S. 2006. Status of mastitis as an emerging disease in improved and periurban dairy farms in India. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*. 1081(1): 74-83.
- Kaur, A., Singh, S.G. and Singh, V. 2015. Seasonal prevalence and antibiogram profile of bacterial isolates from bovine mastitis. *Journal of Animal Research*. 5(3): 623.
- Kumar, R., Yadav, B.R. and Singh, R.S. 2010. Genetic determinants of antibiotic resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates from milk of mastitic crossbred cattle. *Current Microbiology*. 60(5): 379-386.
- Kumar, R., Yadav, B.R., Anand, S.K. and Singh R.S. 2011. Molecular surveillance of putative virulence factors and antibiotic resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates recovered from intra-mammary infections of river buffaloes. *Microbial Pathogenesis*. 51(1-2): 31-38.
- Kurjogi, M.M. and Kaliwal, B.B. 2011. Prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility of bacteria isolated from bovine mastitis. *Advances in Applied Science Research*. 2(6): 229-35.
- Mahato, S., Mistry, H.U., Chakraborty, S., Sharma, P., Saravanan, R. and Bhandari, V. 2017. Identification of variable traits among the methicillin resistant and sensitive coagulase negative staphylococci in milk samples from mastitic cows in India. *Frontiers in Microbiology*. 8: 1446.
- Markey, B., Leonard, F., Archambault, M., Cullinane, A. and Maguire, D. 2011. Bacterial pathogens - Microscopy, Culture and Identification. In: *Clinical Veterinary Microbiology*, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, Edinburg, Elsevier. pp. 9-47.
- NDDB (National Dairy Development Board) 2019. Milk production in India. <http://www.nddb.org/information/stats/milkprodIndia>.
- Piotr, S., Marta, S., Aneta, F., Barbara, K. and Magdalena, Z. 2013. Antibiotic resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus* strains isolated from cows with mastitis in the eastern Poland and analysis of susceptibility of resistant strains to alternative non-antibiotic agents: Lysostaphin, nisin and polymyxin B. *Journal of Veterinary Medical Science*. 13-0177.
- Sarba, E.J. and Tola, G.K. 2017. Cross-sectional study on bovine mastitis and its associated risk factors in Ambo district of West Shewa zone, Oromia, Ethiopia. *Veterinary World*. 10(4):398.
- Schwarz, S., Silley, P., Simjee, S., Woodford, N., van Duijkeren, E., Johnson, A.P. and Gaastra, W. 2010. Assessing the antimicrobial susceptibility of bacteria obtained from animals. *Journal of*

- Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*. 65(4): 601-604.
- Seyoum, B., Kefyalew, H. and Mukatr, Y. 2017. Prevalence, Associated Risk Factors and Antimicrobial Susceptibility of *Staphylococcus aureus* Isolated from Bovine Mastitic Milk in and Around Asella Town, Ethiopia. *Advances in Biological Research*. 11(5): 295-301.
- Sharma, A., Chhabra, R., Singh, M. and Charaya, G. 2018(b). Prevalence, etiology and antibiogram of bacterial isolates recovered from mastitis of buffaloes. *Buffalo Bulletin*. 37(3): 313-320.
- Sharma, L., Verma, A.K., Kumar, A., Rahat, A., Neha, and Nigam, R. 2015. Incidence and Pattern of Antibiotic Resistance of *Staphylococcus aureus* Isolated from Clinical and Subclinical Mastitis in Cattle and Buffaloes. *Asian Journal of Animal Sciences*. 9(3): 100-109.
- Sharma, N., Maiti, S.K. and Sharma, K.K. 2007. Prevalence, etiology and antibiogram of microorganisms associated with Sub-clinical mastitis in buffaloes in Durg, Chhattisgarh State (India). *International Journal of Dairy Science*. 2(2): 145-451.
- Sharma, N., Singh, N.K., Singh, O.P., Pandey, V. and Verma, P.K. 2011. Oxidative stress and antioxidant status during transition period in dairy cows. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences*. 24(4): 479-484.
- Sharma, N., Singh, S.G., Sharma, S., Misri, J., Gupta, S.K. and Hussain, K. 2018(a). Mastitis occurrence pattern in dairy cows and importance of related risk factors in the occurrence of mastitis. *Journal of Animal Research*. 8(2):315-326.
- Taponen, S., Liski, E., Heikkilä, A.M. and Pyörälä, S. 2017. Factors associated with intramammary infection in dairy cows caused by coagulase-negative staphylococci, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Streptococcus uberis*, *Streptococcus dysgalactiae*, *Corynebacterium bovis*, or *Escherichia coli*. *Journal of Dairy Science*. 100(1): 493-503.
- Tomazi, T., Ferreira, G.C., Orsi, A.M., Gonçalves, J.L., Ospina, P.A., Nydam, D.V., Moroni, P. and dos Santos, M.V. 2018. Association of herd-level risk factors and incidence rate of clinical mastitis in 20 Brazilian dairy herds. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*. 161: 9-18.
- Tufani, N., Makhdoomi, D.M. and Hafiz, A. 2012. Epidemiology and therapeutic management of bovine mastitis. *Indian Journal of Animal Research*. 46(2): 148-151.
- Wang, D., Zhang, L., Zhou, X., He, Y., Yong, C., Shen, M., Szenci, O. and Han, B. 2016. Antimicrobial susceptibility, virulence genes, and randomly amplified polymorphic DNA analysis of *Staphylococcus aureus* recovered from bovine mastitis in Ningxia, China. *Journal of Dairy Science*. 99(12): 9560-9569.
- Zeryehun, T. and Abera, G. 2017. Prevalence and bacterial isolates of mastitis in dairy farms in selected districts of Eastern Harrarghe Zone, Eastern Ethiopia. *Journal of Veterinary Medicine*. 2017: 1-7.

**How to cite this article:**

Neelam, V. K. Jain, Mahavir Singh, V. G. Joshi and Rana. Y. S. 2020. Occurrence of Clinical Mastitis in Cattle with Emphasis on Antibiogram of Staphylococci. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci*. 9(05): 104-111. doi: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2020.905.010>