

Original Research Article

<https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2020.903.113>

Effect of Polymer Coating, Plant Biocides and Insecticide on Seed Quality of Fodder Cowpea during Storage

R. Renuka*, Vinodkumar* and B. S. Vyakaranahal

Department of Seed Science and Technology, College of Agriculture, UAS,
Dharwad-580005, Karnataka, India

*Corresponding author

ABSTRACT

The laboratory experiment was conducted at the Seed Quality Research Laboratory of National Seed Project, Seed Unit, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad during 2016-2017 to evaluate effect of polymer coating, plant biocides and insecticide on seed quality of fodder cowpea during storage. The experiment consisted of totally 16 treatment combinations involving two factors viz., first factor consists of two containers (C₁:Cloth bag and C₂:HDPE bag) and second factor consists of 8 seed treatments viz., [T₁: Control, T₂: Polymer seed coating @ 5 mlkg⁻¹ of seed, T₃: Vitavax(Carboxin 37.5 % @ 2 gkg⁻¹ of seeds + Thiram @ 2 gkg⁻¹ of seed), T₄: Aluminium phosphide @ 1 tablet/250 cuft, T₅: Methomyl @ 0.6 gkg⁻¹ of seed, T₆: Neem oil @ 5 mlkg⁻¹ of seed, T₇: Castor oil @ 5 mlkg⁻¹ of seed, T₈: Sweet flag @ 5 gkg⁻¹ of seed. Among all the treatments T₃ (Vitavax (Carboxin 37.5 % @ 2 gkg⁻¹ of seeds + Thiram @ 2gkg⁻¹ of seed) showed maximum seed quality parameters like germination (89.83 %), Root length (18.76 cm), shoot length(15.60 cm), seedling vigour index (3230). Where as the lowest germination (80.00 %), root length(14.40 cm), shoot length(11.26 cm), seedling vigour index (2125) were recorded in control at the end of nine months of storage period.

Keywords

Biocides,
Insecticides,
Polymer coating,
Germination, Cloth
bag, HDPE bag and
Seedling vigour
index

Article Info

Accepted:
05 February 2020
Available Online:
10 March 2020

Introduction

Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) is one of the most important pulse legume. It is native to central Africa, belongs to the family *Fabaceae*. Pulses are excellent sources of proteins (20-40 %), carbohydrates (50 - 60 %) and are fairly good sources of thiamin, niacin, calcium and iron. Hence, they form an integral

part of our diet and also they occupy major portion of agricultural land and are the second most important group of crops worldwide (Arora, 1989). Apart from its protein content, pulses due to their nitrogen fixing ability, enrich soil fertility. One of the major constraints in storing the cowpea seeds from harvest to next sowing is storage insect pests which inflict severe losses. Pulse beetle is a

major pest that causes serious damage and is a cosmopolitan. The pulse seed suffer a great damage during storage due to insect attack. Several bruchid species attack cereals and pulses in the store and causes a loss of 10-15% with a germination loss ranging from 50-92.

Cowpea is no exception because of its high protein content, cowpea seed is highly affected by storage pests and other microflora. The pulse beetle (*Callosobruchus chinensis*) in storage causes considerable losses and affects the quality of seed. The taking care of seeds during storage, therefore is of supreme importance for India's economic well-being and self-sufficiency.

Information on seed treatment of fodder cowpea with different organic and in-organic source is quite meagre and scanty. Hence, studies on seed storability of fodder cowpea using different organic and inorganic sources of seed treatment was conducted to assess the storability of fodder cowpea seeds using cloth bag and high density polythene bag (HDPE) under ambient conditions of Dharwad.

Materials and Methods

The experiment was conducted in the Seed Quality Research Laboratory of National Seed Project, Seed unit, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad on influence of polymer coating, plant biocides and insecticide on seed quality of fodder cowpea during storage during 2016 and 2017. Cowpea (Cv.MFC 9-1) Seeds were obtained from Indian Grassland and Fodder Research Institute, Southern Regional Research Station, Dharwad.

The experiment consisted of two factors, factor on is containers *viz.* Cloth bag(C₁) and HDPE bag (C₂). The second factor deals with seed treatments *viz.*, T₁: Control, T₂: Polymer seed coating @ 5 ml/kg of seed, T₃: Vitavax

(Carboxin 37.5 %) @ 2 g/kg of seeds + Thiram @ 2 g/kg of seed), T₄: Aluminium phosphide @ 1 tablet/250 cuft, T₅: Methomyl @ 0.6 g/kg of seed, T₆: Neem oil @ 5 ml/kg of seed, T₇: Castor oil @ 5 ml/kg of seed, T₈: Sweet flag @ 5 g/kg of seed.

Observations on seed quality parameters were recorded monthly. The mean data obtained from the experiment was statistically analysed and subjected to the Analysis of variance by adopting appropriate statistical methods as outlined by Panse and Sukhatme (1967). The critical differences were calculated at one per cent level of significance. The percentage data of germination were transformed into arcsine root transformation before analysis.

Results and Discussion

Germination (%)

The results of germination percentage as influenced by effect of polymer coating, plant biocides and insecticide on seed quality of fodder cowpea during storage are presented in (Table 1). Significant differences in germination percentage due to seed treatments were recorded from fifth month onwards till the end of the storage period.

Significantly highest germination (94.0 %) was recorded at 5th month in T₃ (Carboxin 37.5 % @ 2 gkg⁻¹ of seeds + Thiram @ 2 gkg⁻¹ of seeds) which was on par with T₇ (Castor oil @ 5 mlkg⁻¹ of seed) of 93.3 per cent and T₈ (Sweet flag @ 5 gkg⁻¹ of seed) 92.8 per cent, while significantly lowest germination per cent was recorded in T₁ - control (89.2 %).

The germination percentage does not differ significantly due to containers. Higher germination was recorded in C₂ (HDPE bag) (84.7 %) and lower germination was recorded in C₁ (cloth bag) (84.0 %) at the end of nine months of storage period.

Table.1 Effect of polymer coating, plant biocides and insecticide on germination (%) and root length (cm) during storage period

Treatments		Germination (%)					Root length (cm)				
		Months after storage					Months after storage				
		Initial month	3 rd month	5 th month	7 th month	9 th month	Initial month	3 rd month	5 th month	7 th month	9 th month
Containers (C)	(C ₁)	95.6 (78.0)	94.3 (76.3)	91.1 (72.8)	88.3 (70.5)	84.0 (66.0)	21.00	19.47	18.42	16.81	15.44
	(C ₂)	95.9 (78.2)	94.7 (76.8)	92.0 (73.6)	88.8 (70.6)	84.7 (67.1)	21.05	19.49	18.57	16.96	15.66
	Mean	95.8 (78.2)	94.5 (76.5)	91.5 (73.2)	88.6 (70.3)	84.3 (66.8)	21.02	19.48	18.49	16.89	15.55
	SEm±	0.24	0.35	0.28	0.14	0.21	0.14	0.14	0.07	0.06	0.09
	CD (0.01)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Treatments (T)	T ₁	94.8 (76.9)	93.3 (75.4)	89.2 (71.0)	85.3 (67.5)	80.0 (63.5)	20.86	19.16	17.22	15.98	14.04
	T ₂	95.7 (78.0)	94.3 (76.2)	91.0 (72.6)	86.5 (68.4)	82.2 (65.0)	21.03	19.51	18.62	16.73	15.29
	T ₃	96.7 (79.5)	96.0 (78.6)	94.0 (75.8)	92.0 (73.6)	89.8 (71.4)	21.09	19.58	18.76	17.34	16.19
	T ₄	95.7 (78.0)	94.0 (75.8)	89.5 (71.1)	86.0 (68.0)	81.0 (64.2)	21.02	19.50	18.61	16.65	15.24
	T ₅	95.2 (77.3)	93.8 (75.6)	89.8 (71.4)	85.8 (67.9)	80.7 (63.9)	21.01	19.48	18.60	16.63	15.18
	T ₆	95.8 (78.3)	94.5 (76.4)	92.5 (74.1)	90.5 (72.0)	86.3 (68.3)	21.05	19.53	18.69	17.23	16.13
	T ₇	96.2 (78.7)	95.3 (77.5)	93.3 (75.0)	91.3 (72.9)	88.0 (69.7)	21.08	19.56	18.73	17.29	16.18
	T ₈	96.2 (78.7)	94.8 (76.9)	92.8 (74.5)	91.0 (72.5)	86.8 (68.7)	21.07	19.54	18.71	17.25	16.15
	SE m±	0.48	0.70	0.56	0.28	0.43	0.05	0.13	0.14	0.11	0.18
	CD(0.01)	NS	NS	2.17	1.08	1.65	NS	NS	0.54	0.43	0.70
Interaction (CX T)	C ₁ T ₁	94.7 (76.7)	93.3 (75.7)	89.0 (71.0)	85.3 (67.5)	80.0 (63.5)	20.83	19.13	16.70	15.45	13.22
	C ₁ T ₂	95.7 (78.0)	94.0 (75.8)	90.0 (71.6)	86.3 (68.3)	82.0 (65.0)	21.01	19.50	18.60	16.72	15.28
	C ₁ T ₃	96.33 (79.0)	95.67 (78.0)	93.67 (75.4)	91.67 (73.2)	89.67 (71.2)	21.06	19.57	18.76	17.33	16.19

C ₁ T ₄	95.67 (78.0)	93.67 (75.4)	89.00 (70.6)	85.67 (67.8)	80.67 (63.9)	21.00	19.48	18.58	16.63	15.21
C ₁ T ₅	95.00 (77.1)	93.67 (75.4)	89.67 (71.2)	85.67 (67.8)	80.33 (63.7)	21.00	19.47	18.57	16.62	15.16
C ₁ T ₆	95.67 (78.0)	94.33 (76.2)	92.00 (73.6)	90.33 (71.9)	85.67 (67.8)	21.02	19.52	18.68	17.22	16.13
C ₁ T ₇	96.00 (78.5)	95.00 (77.1)	93.00 (74.7)	91.00 (72.5)	87.67 (69.4)	21.05	19.55	18.73	17.28	16.17
C ₁ T ₈	96.00 (78.5)	94.67 (76.7)	92.33 (73.9)	90.67 (72.2)	86.33 (68.3)	21.05	19.53	18.71	17.24	16.15
C ₂ T ₁	95.00 (77.2)	93.33 (75.0)	89.33 (70.9)	85.33 (67.5)	80.00 (63.4)	20.89	19.20	17.74	16.51	14.86
C ₂ T ₂	95.67 (78.0)	94.67 (76.7)	92.00 (73.6)	86.67 (68.6)	82.33 (65.1)	21.05	19.52	18.63	16.74	15.30
C ₂ T ₃	97.00 (80.1)	96.33 (79.2)	94.33 (76.2)	92.33 (73.9)	90.00 (71.6)	21.11	19.58	18.77	17.35	16.20
C ₂ T ₄	95.67 (78.0)	94.33 (76.2)	90.00 (71.6)	86.33 (68.3)	81.33 (64.4)	21.03	19.51	18.63	16.66	15.26
C ₂ T ₅	95.33 (77.5)	94.00 (75.8)	90.00 (71.6)	86.00 (68.0)	81.00 (64.2)	21.01	19.49	18.62	16.64	15.20
C ₂ T ₆	96.00 (78.5)	94.67 (76.7)	93.00 (74.7)	90.67 (72.2)	87.00 (68.9)	21.07	19.53	18.70	17.23	16.14
C ₂ T ₇	96.33 (79.0)	95.67 (78.0)	93.67 (75.4)	91.67 (73.2)	88.33 (70.0)	21.10	19.56	18.74	17.30	16.18
C ₂ T ₈	96.33 (79.0)	95.00 (77.1)	93.33 (75.0)	91.33 (72.9)	87.33 (69.1)	21.09	19.54	18.72	17.26	16.15
Mean	95.77 (78.2)	94.52 (76.5)	91.52 (73.2)	88.56 (70.3)	84.35 (66.8)	21.02	19.48	18.49	16.89	15.55
SE m±	0.67	0.99	0.79	0.39	0.60	0.06	0.18	0.20	0.16	0.26
CD (0.01)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

Table.2 Effect of polymer coating, plant biocides and insecticide and shoot length (cm) and seedling vigour index during storage period

Treatments		Shoot length (cm)					Seedling Vigour Index				
		Months after storage					Months after storage				
		Initial month	3 rd month	5 th month	7 th month	9 th month	Initial month	3 rd month	5 th month	7 th month	9 th month
Containers (C)	(C ₁)	16.50	16.06	15.07	14.08	12.48	3586	3349	3072	2731	2399
	(C ₂)	16.55	16.11	15.18	14.19	12.56	3607	3373	3130	2768	2451
	Mean	16.53	16.08	15.12	14.13	12.52	3596	3361	3101	2750	2425
	SE m±	0.05	0.03	0.06	0.06	0.07	26	22	20	18	16
	CD (0.01)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Treatments (T)	T ₁	16.33	15.87	13.97	12.56	11.26	3527	3265	2796	2436	2125
	T ₂	16.48	16.08	14.95	14.02	12.36	3588	3358	3093	2660	2373
	T ₃	16.83	16.20	15.60	14.67	13.01	3665	3434	3230	2945	2623
	T ₄	16.45	16.06	14.94	14.01	12.35	3584	3342	3075	2636	2345
	T ₅	16.43	16.04	14.89	13.96	12.30	3563	3333	3064	2625	2331
	T ₆	16.50	16.11	15.52	14.59	12.93	3598	3367	3165	2880	2509
	T ₇	16.69	16.17	15.57	14.64	12.98	3632	3406	3202	2916	2566
	T ₈	16.51	16.15	15.55	14.62	12.96	3614	3384	3181	2900	2528
	SE m±	0.09	0.07	0.12	0.12	0.13	52	43	40	35	32
	CD(0.01)	NS	NS	0.48	0.46	0.51	NS	NS	157	136	125
Interaction (CX T)	C ₁ T ₁	16.20	15.75	13.03	12.19	11.02	3505	3245	2704	2358	2026
	C ₁ T ₂	16.47	16.07	14.45	14.00	12.34	3586	3343	3073	2652	2356
	C ₁ T ₃	16.82	16.19	15.12	14.67	13.01	3649	3421	3218	2933	2618
	C ₁ T ₄	16.44	16.04	14.44	13.99	12.33	3581	3328	3048	2623	2323
	C ₁ T ₅	16.42	16.03	14.39	13.94	12.28	3555	3325	3032	2618	2314
	C ₁ T ₆	16.49	16.10	15.03	14.58	12.92	3588	3360	3146	2873	2489
	C ₁ T ₇	16.68	16.17	15.09	14.64	12.98	3622	3393	3189	2904	2555
	C ₁ T ₈	16.50	16.14	15.06	14.61	12.95	3605	3377	3163	2888	2513
	C ₂ T ₁	16.46	15.98	13.72	12.94	11.51	3549	3284	2887	2513	2223
	C ₂ T ₂	16.48	16.09	14.49	14.04	12.38	3590	3372	3113	2667	2390
	C ₂ T ₃	16.84	16.21	15.13	14.68	13.02	3682	3448	3243	2957	2629
	C ₂ T ₄	16.46	16.07	14.48	14.03	12.37	3587	3357	3102	2650	2367
	C ₂ T ₅	16.45	16.05	14.43	13.98	12.32	3571	3341	3096	2633	2348
	C ₂ T ₆	16.51	16.11	15.05	14.60	12.94	3608	3375	3184	2886	2530
	C ₂ T ₇	16.70	16.18	15.10	14.65	12.99	3642	3419	3214	2928	2577
	C ₂ T ₈	16.52	16.15	15.08	14.63	12.97	3624	3391	3199	2912	2543
	Mean	16.53	16.08	15.12	14.13	12.52	3596	3361	3101	2750	2425
	SE m±	0.13	0.09	0.18	0.17	0.19	74	61	57	50	46
	CD (0.01)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

Interaction effects due to containers and seed treatments recorded non-significant difference throughout the storage period however, the seed treatment C₂T₃ recorded higher germination percentage of 90.0, which was on par with C₁T₃ (89.7 %) and C₂T₈ (88.3 %) and the lowest germination was recorded in C₁T₁ (80.0 %) at the end of nine month of storage period.

Vitavax power minimizes the impact of ageing enzymes; it also acts as a protective agent against seed deterioration due to fungal invasion and physiological ageing as a result of which the seed viability was maintained comparatively for longer period of time by acting as anti-ageing agent and there by maintained the germination percentage throughout the storage period. These results are in agreement with the findings Sushma (2003) in chickpea; Vinod Kumar *et al.*, (2012) in pigeon pea.

Root length, shoot length and Seedling vigour index

Significant differences due to seed treatments on root length shoot length and vigour index recorded. Significantly highest root length, shoot length and vigour index was observed 18.76cm, 15.60cm and 3230, respectively was recorded at 5th month in T₃ (Carboxin 37.5 % @ 2 gkg⁻¹ of seeds + Thiram @ 2 gkg⁻¹ of seeds). While the lowest root length (14.04 cm), shoot length (11.26 cm) and vigour index (2936) was recorded in T₈ control (Without treatment).

The root length shoot length and vigour index do not differed significantly due to containers during storage period. At the end of nine months of storage period numerically higher root length (15.66 cm), shoot length (12.56 cm) and vigour index (2451) was recorded in C₂ (HDPE bag).

Interaction effects due to containers and seed treatments recorded non-significant difference throughout the storage period however the treatment combination of C₂T₃ recorded higher root length, shoot length and vigour index of 16.20 cm 13.02 cm and 2629, respectively and lowest root length, shoot length and vigour index was recorded in C₁T₁ 13.22 cm, 11.02 cm and 2026, respectively at the end of nine month of storage period.

Different seed treatments showed significant variations in seed qualitative and quantitative parameters throughout the nine months of storage period. The germination percentage (%), root length (cm), shoot length (cm) and seedling vigour index of cowpea seeds decreased gradually with increase in the period of storage in all the treatment combinations, which might be attributed to the phenomenon of physiological deterioration, natural ageing and depletion of food reserves and might be due to damage caused by fungi and insects and also toxic metabolites which might have hindered the seedling growth, gradual decline in seed vigour index was noticed due to age induced decline in germination, decrease in dry matter accumulation in seedling and decrease in seedling length at the end of nine months of storage. Similar findings are in conformity with findings of Vinodkumar *et al.*, (2010) in cowpea and Omvati and Verma (2014) in soybean.

Based on the above discussion it is clear that from the results obtained, is concluded that irrespective of seed treatments seed quality parameters decreased with the advancement of storage period. Among all the seed treatments, T₃ (Carboxin 37.5 % @ of seeds + Thiram @ 2 gkg⁻¹ of seeds) gave significantly highest germination percentage root length, shoot length and seedling vigour index at the end of nine month of storage period and

which was on par with T₇ (Castor oil @ 5 mlkg⁻¹ of seed). While significantly lowest germination percentage was recorded in T₁ control (Without treatment). However, the minimum germination of 75 % as per the IMSCS (Indian Minimum Seed Certification Standard) was maintained in vitavax power even after nine months of storage period.

References

- Abdul-Baki, A. A. and Anderson, J. D., 1973, Vigour determination in soybean by multiple criteria. *Crop Sci.*, 13: 630-637.
- Anonymous, 2011, International Rules for Seed Testing. *Seed Sci. and Tech.*, 21: 1-255.
- Arora, P. P., 1989, Genetic divergence studies and scope for improvement in chickpea *National Symposium on Few Front line in Pulse Research.*
- Omvati Verma and Verma R. S., 2014, Effect of seed coating material and storage containers on germination and seedling vigour of soybean (*Glycine max* l.). *Saarc J. Agri.*, 12 (2): 16-24.
- Panse, V. G. and Sukhatme, P. V., 1967, *Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers*, ICAR, New Delhi, 167-174.
- Singh, V. N., and Singh, Y. P., 1995, Relative to resistance of gram varieties to *Callosobruchus chinensis* on the basis of biochemical parameters. *Indian J. Entomol.*, 57: 77-82.
- Sushma, D. M., 2003, Effect of seed treatment and containers on seed storability of garden pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). *M. Sc. (Agri.) Thesis*, Univ. Agric. Sci., Dharwad.
- Upadhayay, R. G., Sharma, S. and Drawal, N. S., 1999, Effect of Rhizobium inoculation and graded level of P on the growth and yield of green gram, *Legume Res.*, 22: 277-279.
- Vinodkumar, S. B., 2012, Effect of plant products, chemicals and polymer coat on seed storability and field performance of pigeon pea [*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Mill sp.] cv. bsmr-736. *M. Sc. (Agri.) Thesis*, Univ. Agric. Sci., Dharwad.
- Vinod Kumar, Sridhar, K., Karthigeyan, S., Kulakarni, N., 2010, Assessing the seed viability of fodder cowpea varieties during storage using plant biocides, fungicides and insecticides. *Range management and agroforestry*, 1 (1): 41-43.

How to cite this article:

Renuka. R, Vinodkumar and Vyakaranahal. B. S. 2020. Effect of Polymer Coating, Plant Biocides and Insecticide on Seed Quality of Fodder Cowpea during Storage. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci.* 9(03): 962-968. doi: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2020.903.113>