

Original Research Article

<https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2018.709.306>

## Effect of Organic Manures and Inorganic Fertilizers on Plant Growth and Tuber Yield of Cassava under Allahabad Agro Climatic Conditions (*Manihot esculenta*) cv. Sree Vishakhm

Siby Baby\* and S. Saravanan

Department of Horticulture, Allahabad School of Agriculture, Sam Higgin Bottom Institute of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Allahabad-211007 (U.P.), India

\*Corresponding author

### ABSTRACT

#### Keywords

Cassava, Organic fertilizer, Inorganic fertilizer and Yield

#### Article Info

##### Accepted:

18 August 2018

##### Available Online:

10 September 2018

The present investigation was under taken to study the effect of organic manures and inorganic fertilizers on plant growth and tuber yield of cassava (*Manihot esculenta*.) under Allahabad agro climatic conditions cv. Sree vishakhm. The experiment was laid out in Randomized block design with 12 treatments and each replicated thrice. The treatments consist different combinations of inorganic fertilizers like Urea, DAP, MOP, and organic manures like FYM, Poultry manure, Vermicompost. Among these 12 treatments, the growth attributes like plant height, total number of leaves per plant, total number of branches per plant are maximum, yield attributes like, average tuber weight, tuber yield are maximum and number of tuber is minimum under the treatment T<sub>9</sub> (25% RDF +75% Poultry manure) followed by the treatment T<sub>6</sub> (50% RDF + 50% poultry manure). Maximum gross returns (Rs.4,33,300 ha<sup>-1</sup>), net returns (Rs.2,79,724 ha<sup>-1</sup>) and B: C ratio (2.82:1) was found in treatment T<sub>9</sub> (25% RDF + 75% poultry manure)

### Introduction

Cassava is a perennial shrub of the family Euphorbiaceae, cultivated mainly for its starchy roots. It is one of the most important food staples in the tropics, where it is the fourth most important source of energy. It derives its importance from the fact that it produces more calories /unit area from its starchy tuberous root which is valuable source of cheap calories especially in developing countries (Som, 2007). On a worldwide basis it is ranked as the sixth most important source of calories in the human diet (FAO, 1999). The introduction of improved varieties of

cassava helped boost yield of this main staple by almost 40 percent (FAO, 1999). Apart from its use as food, it is also an important industrial raw material for the production of starch, alcohol, pharmaceuticals, gums, confectioneries and livestock feed (Nnodu *et al.*, 2006).

Cassava possesses a high potential for yielding large amounts of food per unit area and also it is an efficient producer of calorie (135 calorie/100 g fresh tuber) compared with other cereal crops (Sridevi *et al.*, 2013). In India, cassava ranks first in area (2.35 lakh ha) and production (5.4 million tonnes of fresh tubers)

followed by sweet potato. Its average productivity is 23 tonnes /ha, the highest in the world (Department of Economics and Statistics, Season and Crop Report, 2005). Although cassava is a perennial crop, the storage roots can be harvested from 6-24 months after planting, depending on cultivar and the growing conditions (El-Sharkawy, 1993). In the humid lowland tropics the roots can be harvested after 6-7 months.

In regions with prolonged periods of drought or cold, the farmers harvest after 18-24 months (Cock, 1984). Moreover the roots can be left in the ground without harvesting for a long period of time, making it very useful crop as a security against famine (Cardoso and Souza, 1999). It is generally propagated from either stem cuttings or sexual seed. Propagation through true seed occurs under natural conditions and is widely used in breeding programme.

Plants from true seeds take longer to become established, and they are smaller and less vigorous than plants from cuttings. Application of organic manures and inorganic fertilizers will increase the crop yield. Agbaje *et al.*, (2004) reported that the influence of NPK fertilizer on tuber yield of early and late planted cassava which resulted fertilizer influence on tuber yield was not significant in early planted cassava and in late planted significant reduction in yields. Ojeniyi *et al.*, (2012) reported that effects of combined application of reduced levels of NPK fertilizer and poultry manure on soil and plant nutrient composition, growth and yield of cassava was studied, result was Nutrient content in cassava growth, and tuber yield parameters increased in the order of control<NPK<PM<5t/ha PM+300kg/ha NPK<2.5t/ha PM+450kg/ha NPK, it increased tuber yield by 34, 28, 66,133% respectively. Keeping in view all the above factors an experiment has been conducted to access the effect of organic and

inorganic fertilizers on plant growth and tuber yield of cassava with the comparative economics of various treatment combinations.

## **Materials and Methods**

The present research work has been carried out to find the effect of organic manures and inorganic fertilizers on plant growth and tuber yield of cassava (*Manihot esculenta*) under Allahabad agro climatic conditions.

The field experiments were conducted in the vegetable research field, Department of Horticulture, Allahabad School of Agriculture, Sam Higginbottom Institute of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences (SHIATS), Allahabad. During kharif season (2015-16), the stem cuttings of cassava obtained from CTCRI, Thiruvananthapuram. Cassava (*Manihot esculenta*) variety Sree visakhm was utilized for study. Stem cuttings of 15cm were used as planting material. The field was prepared as per the recommended agronomic practices.

## **Field experimental design and details**

Crop: cassava  
Cultivar: Sree visakhm  
Plot size: 5.0 m x 1.0 m  
Spacing: 1.0 m x 1.0 m  
Design: RBD  
Replication: three  
Duration: 300 days

## **Fertilizer application**

The recommended fertilizer schedule of 75:50:75 kg N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O was followed for the cassava crop. The phosphorus was applied as a single basal dose, whereas nitrogen and potassium were applied in two split doses. The fertilizers urea, diammonium phosphate and muriate of potash were used for the above schedule.

## Results and Discussion

### Growth Parameters

The data revealed that the combination of different organic manures and inorganic fertilizers affected growth attributes like plant height of cassavas shown in (Table 1). Significant difference in the plant height was recorded due to application of different combination of organic manures and inorganic fertilizers. The treatment T<sub>9</sub> (25% RDF+75% Poultry manure) recorded the maximum plant height (185.93cm), followed by T<sub>6</sub> (50%RDF+50% Poultry manure), (181.50 cm) which differed significantly from each other as well from other treatment.

The data revealed that the combination of different organic and inorganic fertilizers affected growth attributes like total number of leaves per plant of cassavas shown in (Table 2). Significant difference in the plant leaves per plant was recorded due to application of different combination of organic manures and inorganic fertilizers. The treatment T<sub>9</sub> (25% RDF+75% Poultry manure) recorded the maximum plant leaves per plant, followed by T<sub>6</sub> (50%RDF+50% Poultry manure which

differed significantly from each other as well from other treatment.

The data revealed that the combination of different organic and inorganic fertilizers affected growth attributes like total number of branches per plant of cassavas shown in (Table 3). Significant difference in the plant leaves per plant was recorded due to application of different combination of organic and inorganic fertilizers. The treatment T<sub>9</sub> (25% RDF+75% Poultry manure) recorded the maximum no of branches per plant, followed by T<sub>6</sub> (50%RDF+50% Poultry manure which differed significantly from each other as well from other treatment.

The plant height, total number of leaves per plant, total number of branches per plant in the treatments T<sub>9</sub> and T<sub>6</sub> might be due to the application of organic manures and inorganic fertilizers might have improved the soil physical and chemical properties and leading to the adequate supply of nutrients to the plants which might have promoted the maximum vegetative growth while the minimum plant growth was due to non-availability of it. Similar findings were reported by *Ojeniyi et al.*, (2012) in cassava.

### Details of Treatment

TREATMENTS	TREATMENT COMBINATION
T <sub>0</sub>	Control-RDF(75:50:75kg/ha NPK)
T <sub>1</sub>	Complete organic (33.3% FYM+33.3% vermicompost+33.3% poultry manure)
T <sub>2</sub>	75% RDF+25% FYM
T <sub>3</sub>	75% RDF+25% poultry manure
T <sub>4</sub>	75% RDF+25% vermicompost
T <sub>5</sub>	50% RDF+50% FYM
T <sub>6</sub>	50% RDF+50% Poultry manure
T <sub>7</sub>	50% RDF+50% Vermicompost
T <sub>8</sub>	25% RDF+75% FYM
T <sub>9</sub>	25% RDF+75% Poultry manure
T <sub>10</sub>	25% RDF+75% Vermi compost
T <sub>11</sub>	25% RDF+25% FYM+25% Poultrymanure+25% Vermicompost

**Table.1** Effect of organic manures and inorganic fertilizers on plant height of cassava (cm)

Treatments	Plant height(cm)									
	30dap	60dap	90dap	120dap	150dap	180dap	210dap	240dap	270dap	300dap
T <sub>0</sub>	20.73	52.76	70.98	90.38	106.71	118.44	130.70	159.41	172.52	174.50
T <sub>1</sub>	21.65	56.74	73.03	97.19	108.33	121.61	131.67	165.44	174.71	176.49
T <sub>2</sub>	25.61	54.66	76.23	96.33	113.45	123.67	134.60	166.58	178.52	180.42
T <sub>3</sub>	22.12	55.29	77.33	95.23	110.45	119.79	135.77	161.62	177.49	179.37
T <sub>4</sub>	23.89	57.11	74.32	92.39	111.43	122.77	133.60	162.54	176.59	178.63
T <sub>5</sub>	23.13	53.51	71.33	94.29	112.44	121.7	137.65	163.63	175.28	181.35
T <sub>6</sub>	25.97	57.15	77.87	97.60	114.30	124.35	138.53	166.65	178.77	181.50
T <sub>7</sub>	25.07	56.42	76.11	93.41	106.71	118.44	136.59	164.60	173.64	181.48
T <sub>8</sub>	23.77	56.15	75.15	96.18	108.72	122.57	136.64	165.61	175.64	179.56
T <sub>9</sub>	29.25	60.85	80.45	100.20	115.37	125.53	141.61	169	180.45	185.93
T <sub>10</sub>	21.95	56.51	74.25	95.37	107.47	120.33	130.86	163.87	174.79	176.55
T <sub>11</sub>	21.07	54.38	75.29	97.16	108.47	118.69	132.67	161.52	176.56	178.41
F test	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
CD (5%)	0.39	0.30	0.31	0.11	0.12	0.51	0.16	0.17	0.20	0.24
CV	0.97	0.32	0.24	0.07	0.07	0.25	0.07	0.09	0.07	0.08
SE(+/-)	0.19	0.15	0.05	0.06	0.25	0.25	0.08	0.08	0.10	0.11

**Table.2** Effect of organic manures and inorganic fertilizers on total number of leaves of cassava

Treatments	Total number of leaves									
	30dap	60dap	90dap	120dap	150dap	180dap	210dap	240dap	270dap	300dap
T <sub>0</sub>	21.60	30.53	61.13	81.13	90.60	110.87	89.80	80.40	70.87	59.20
T <sub>1</sub>	22.47	34.40	62.80	85.53	95.13	112.93	91.60	84.53	73.60	62.40
T <sub>2</sub>	23.13	35.53	63.60	84.60	93.87	115.87	90.53	81.40	71.80	61.13
T <sub>3</sub>	24.47	37.60	64.20	82.87	94.40	115.60	89.87	83.47	70.87	62.40
T <sub>4</sub>	26	36.40	65.62	83.13	93.60	113.13	91.87	84.60	72.87	60.13
T <sub>5</sub>	26.47	34.53	62.40	84.60	91.87	114.13	90.60	80.60	74.40	61.80
T <sub>6</sub>	26.80	37.87	65.73	86.27	95.87	117.80	92.87	84.87	75.60	62.40
T <sub>7</sub>	25.60	33.60	63.60	85.60	93.87	116.13	91.40	81.60	74.87	61.53
T <sub>8</sub>	24.13	34.67	62.87	86.20	94.60	111.53	90.60	83.60	72.60	60.67
T <sub>9</sub>	28.40	39.87	68	89.87	98.20	120.13	94.87	90.13	79.13	64.20
T <sub>10</sub>	22.87	32.53	63.93	82.53	91.13	113.87	89.87	82.53	75.33	60.20
T <sub>11</sub>	23.53	32.60	62.60	84.40	92.60	114.40	91.13	81.40	72.40	61.40
F test	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
CD (5%)	0.63	0.44	0.46	0.41	0.40	0.52	0.47	0.43	0.94	0.54
CV	1.50	0.74	0.42	0.28	0.25	0.27	0.30	0.30	0.75	0.52
SE(+/-)	0.30	0.21	0.22	0.20	0.19	0.25	0.23	0.21	0.45	0.26

**Table.3** Effect of organic manures and inorganic fertilizers on total number of Branches of cassava

Treatments	Total number of Plant branches									
	30dap	60dap	90dap	120dap	150dap	180dap	210dap	240dap	270dap	300dap
T <sub>0</sub>	2.53	2.27	2.60	2.20	2.40	2.20	2.40	2.07	2.33	2.00
T <sub>1</sub>	3.80	3.33	3.20	2.60	2.93	2.40	3.00	3.00	2.60	2.40
T <sub>2</sub>	3.40	3.33	2.60	2.40	2.60	2.73	2.47	2.80	2.53	2.87
T <sub>3</sub>	3.87	3.40	3.20	2.80	2.60	2.60	2.67	3.00	2.53	3.00
T <sub>4</sub>	3.53	2.40	3	3.20	3.13	2.40	2.87	3.00	2.40	2.60
T <sub>5</sub>	2.60	2.47	3.60	2.20	3.20	2.20	2.80	2.80	2.47	2.53
T <sub>6</sub>	4.60	3.42	4.00	3.40	3.58	3.00	3.97	3.20	3.19	3.19
T <sub>7</sub>	3.20	2.40	4.00	2.40	2.87	2.27	2.73	2.60	3.13	2.80
T <sub>8</sub>	4.27	3.40	2.60	2.80	2.60	2.40	2.40	2.33	3.00	3.13
T <sub>9</sub>	5.53	3.60	4.20	3.60	3.60	3.20	4.00	3.40	3.20	3.20
T <sub>10</sub>	3.53	3.42	3.00	2.20	3.40	2.60	2.60	3.20	2.53	2.40
T <sub>11</sub>	3.13	2.53	2.67	2.40	3.57	2.40	3.40	3.00	3.00	2.20
F test	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
CD (5%)	0.47	0.43	0.36	0.33	0.37	0.36	0.42	0.34	0.40	0.35
CV	7.54	8.62	6.52	7.19	7.47	8.39	8.48	6.95	8.50	7.72
SE(+/-)	0.23	0.21	0.17	0.16	0.18	0.17	0.20	0.16	0.19	0.17

**Table.4** Effect of organic manures and inorganic fertilizers on number of tubers, average tuber weight (kg), and tuber yield (kg) of cassava

Treatment details	No of tubers per plant	Average tuber weight(kg)	Tuber yield per plant(kg)	Tuber yield per plot(kg)	Tuber yield per hectare(t)
T <sub>0</sub>	4.07	0.82	2.25	11.23	22.47
T <sub>1</sub>	3.20	0.84	2.41	12.03	24.07
T <sub>2</sub>	2.87	0.89	3.19	15.97	31.93
T <sub>3</sub>	2.73	0.95	2.36	11.80	23.60
T <sub>4</sub>	4.00	0.93	3.31	16.57	33.13
T <sub>5</sub>	2.60	0.88	2.67	13.37	26.73
T <sub>6</sub>	2.67	1.30	3.36	16.80	33.60
T <sub>7</sub>	2.80	0.93	2.52	12.60	25.20
T <sub>8</sub>	3.07	0.86	2.31	11.57	23.13
T <sub>9</sub>	2.20	2.01	4.33	21.67	43.33
T <sub>10</sub>	3.13	1.13	2.33	11.63	23.27
T <sub>11</sub>	2.27	1.10	2.28	11.40	22.80
F test	S	S	S	S	S
CD (5 %)	0.48	0.19	0.31	1.55	3.09
C.V	9.59	10.92	6.57	6.57	6.57
SE(+/-)	0.23	0.09	0.15	0.75	1.49

**Table.5** Economics of different treatment for cultivation of cassava

Treatments	Total fixed cost Rs	Total variable cost Rs	Total cost of cultivation Rs	Tuber Yield ha <sup>-1</sup> (kgs)	Sale rate Rs kg <sup>-1</sup>	Gross return Rs/ha	Net return Rs/ha	Cost Benefit ratio
T <sub>0</sub>	150800	5105	155905	22470	10	224700	68795	1:1.44
T <sub>1</sub>	150800	7600	158400	24070	10	240700	82300	1:1.52
T <sub>2</sub>	150800	4630	155430	31930	10	319300	163870	1:2.05
T <sub>3</sub>	150800	4330	155130	23600	10	236000	80870	1:1.52
T <sub>4</sub>	150800	4153	154953	33130	10	331300	176347	1:2.13
T <sub>5</sub>	150800	3753	154553	26730	10	267300	112747	1:1.72
T <sub>6</sub>	150800	3553	154353	33600	10	336000	181647	1:2.17
T <sub>7</sub>	150800	3676	154476	25200	10	252000	97524	1:1.63
T <sub>8</sub>	150800	2716	153516	23130	10	231300	77784	1:1.50
T <sub>9</sub>	150800	2776	153576	43330	10	433300	279724	1:2.82
T <sub>10</sub>	150800	3176	153976	23270	10	232700	78724	1:1.51
T <sub>11</sub>	150800	2750	153550	22800	10	228000	74450	1:1.48

### Yield parameters

The data revealed that the combination of different organic manures and inorganic fertilizers affected yield parameters of cassava as shown in (Table 4). In the present study, among the various treatment combinations T<sub>9</sub> (25%RDF+75%poultry manure) recorded maximum yield per plant (4.33kg) minimum number of tubers per plant (2.20), maximum average tuber weight (2.01kg), maximum yield per plot (21.67kg) and maximum yield per hectare (43.33t/ha) followed by T<sub>6</sub> (50%RDF+50%poultry manure) and minimum were recorded in Treatment T<sub>0</sub> (Control).

The increase yield per plant, per plot and per hectare might be due to the increased growth attributes which in turn lead to the increased photosynthates and dry matter production. Minimum number of height and yield in T<sub>0</sub> (Control) might be due to non-availability of organic fertilizer during its development. Similar findings were reported by *Ojeniyi et al., (2012), Ayoola et al., (2006)* in cassava.

### Economics of cassava

The optimum rates of fertilizer to use depend not only on physical yield response of cassava but also the economic conditions such as prices of fertilizers and the cassava product. Table 5 shows the economics of cassava cultivation experiment.

The treatment T<sub>9</sub> (25% RDF + 75% Poultry manure) gave highest gross return (4, 33,300), highest net return (2, 79,724) and highest cost benefit ratio (1:2.82).

On the basis of present study, it is concluded that the application of 25% RDF+ 75% Poultry manure resulted in maximum plant height (185.93 cm) and tuber yield (4.33kg/plant).

This treatment also gives maximum gross return (Rs.4, 33,300) and maximum net return (Rs.2, 79,724).

The cost benefit ratio (1:2.82) is also high in this treatment combination

## References

- Agbaje, G.O and Akinlosotu, T.A. (2004) Influence of NPK fertilizer on tuber yield of early and late planted cassava in a forest alfisol of south western Nigeria, *Afr. J. Biotechnol.*, 3(10): 547-551.
- Anez and Tavira (1984) The effect of poultry manure in yield of lettuce, *Indian horticulture*, 33:3-7
- Ayoola, O.T. and Adeniyani, O.N. (2006). Influence of poultry manure and NPK fertilizer on yield and yield components of cassava in South west Nigeria. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.*, 5(15): 1386-1392.
- Cardoso, C.E.I., and Souza, J. (1999) CAB international, Tolerance to poor soil and harsh climatic condition, Cassava biology, production and utilization, Vol. 3: pp. 22.
- Cerma, D. P. (1980) Effect of FYM on vegetable mass, dry weight and plant height of capsicum, *Haryana J. Hort. Sci.*, 12-14, 14 refs
- Conor, D.J., Cock, J.H., Parra, G.E., (1981) Response of cassava to watershortage 1. Growth and Yield. *Field Crops Res.* 4(3): 181-200.
- El-Sharkawy MA (1993) Drought-tolerant cassava for Africa, Asia, and Latin America: breeding projects work to stabilize productivity without increasing pressures on limited natural resources. *BioScience* 43:441-451.
- El-Sharkawy MA, Cock JH (1984) Water use efficiency of cassava. I. Effects of humidity and water stress on stomatal conductance and gas exchange. *Crop Sci.* 24: 497-502.
- El-Sharkawy MA, Cock JH (1987b) Response of cassava to water stress. *Plant Soil* 100:345-360.
- FAO, (1999). Annual report. The state of food security in the world 1999, pp24.
- Gomez, J.C., Howeler, R.H., Webber, E.J., (1980). Cassava production in low fertility soils. In: Toro MJC, Graham M (eds) Cassava cultural practices. Bowker Publ. Co Ltd, Epping, U. K
- Henpithaka, C. (1991) Effect on organic matters on growth and yield of elephant foot yam, Bangkok (Thailand)
- Howeler, R.H. (1991). Long term effects of cassava cultivation on soil productivity. *Field Crop Res.* 26 (1): 1-18.
- Kang, B.T., Okeke, J.E., (1984) Nitrogen and potassium responses of two cassava varieties grown on an alfisol in southern Nigeria. In: Proceeding, 6th symposium of the Int. Soc. Tropical Root Crops, 1984, Limar on tuber yield, starch content and dry matter accumulation of white guinea yam (*D. rotundata*) in a forest alfisol of South Western Nigeria. *Exp. Agric.* 21: 389-393
- Lakshmi, K.R., Srinivas, T. and Mishra, V.S, (2000) Long Term Trends in production of tuber crops. Technical bullet series 32, Central Tuber Crop Research Institute, Trivandrum. pp.14-17
- Nnodu, E.C., T.O. Ezukile and G.N. Asumugha (2006). Tuber and Fibre crops of Nigeria principles of production and Utilization, xxii, 239, pp. 22-44
- Ojeniyi, S.O., Adejoro, S.S., Ikotun, O, and Amusan, O. (2012). Soil and plant nutrient composition, growth and yield of cassava as influenced by integrated application of NPK fertilizer and poultry manure. *New York Scie. J.*, 2012; 5(9):62-68.
- Som, D. (2007). Handbook of Horticulture, Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi, pp501-504.
- Sridevi, S and Ramakrishnan, K. (2013) Effect of inoculation with NPK fertilizer and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on growth and yield of cassava, *Int. J. Dev. Res.*, 3(9): 046-050.

### How to cite this article:

Siby Baby and Saravanan, S. 2018. Effect of Organic Manures and Inorganic Fertilizers on Plant Growth and Tuber Yield of Cassava under Allahabad Agro Climatic Conditions (*Manihot esculenta*) cv. Sree Vishakhham. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci.* 7(09): 2469-2475.  
doi: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2018.709.306>