

Original Research Article

<https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2018.704.229>

Integrated Management of Soil Borne Diseases by Improving Soil Health with Physical and Chemical Treatments

Vikas Gupta¹, V.K. Razdan², Vishal Gupta^{2*}, Sachin Gupta² and Upma Dutta³

¹Krishi Vigyan Kendra-Leh, ²Division of Plant Pathology, ³Division of Micro Biology, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Jammu, Chatha, Jammu, India

*Corresponding author

ABSTRACT

Keywords

Bio-agents, Compost, Soil moisture, Soil solarisation, Soil temperature

Article Info

Accepted:
16 March 2018
Available Online:
10 April 2018

Soil-borne diseases result from a reduction of biodiversity of soil organisms. Restoring beneficial organisms that attack, repel, or otherwise antagonize disease-causing pathogens render a soil disease-suppressive. Plants growing in such suppressive soil resist diseases much better than in soils low in biological diversity. Beneficial organisms increased to the soil environment through the use of compost and other organic amendments. Soil solarization significantly increased in soil temperature (10-12°C) was observed as compared to non-solarized plots at 5, 10 and 15 cm depths, however, maximum rise in temperature (58°C and 56.1°C) was recorded at 5 cm depth during 2013 and 2014, respectively. Further soil solarisation was also found effective in reducing the pathogen population.

Introduction

Soil borne diseases are the major constraints in the successful cultivation of crop and a number of pathogens have been found to be associated with such diseases, of which *Fusarium oxysporum*, *Rhizoctonia solani* and *Pythium aphanidermatum* (fungi), *Ralstonia solanacearum* (bacterium) and *Meloidogyne incognita* (root knot nematode) are the most common (Doolittle, 1953; Das and

Chattopadhyay, 1955; Darekar and Mhase, 1988). Managing the soil borne diseases though quite difficult, however, various measures have been adopted to manage them with the aim to either eliminate or reduce the primary inoculum in soil in or on the seed or propagating material, besides making the hosts evade or defend the attack of the pathogens involved. Soil solarization is a simple nonchemical technique that captures radiant heat energy from the sun which leads to

control or suppression of soil borne plant pathogens such as fungi, bacteria, nematodes, and pests along with weed seed and seedlings. Under suitable climatic conditions, solarization can control a wide spectrum of soil borne pests including fungi, bacteria, weeds and insects (Gamliel and Stapleton, 1993).

Soil solarization, a hydrothermal process, was studied for assessment of its effect on physical (temperature, pH and moisture) properties of soil. Several workers have reported increase in temperature and conservation of soil moisture while soil solarization due to the trapping of the solar energy by polyethylene sheets and preventing the heat loss caused by evaporation and convection, thus creating a greenhouse effect (Elad *et al.*, 1980; Katan, 1981). Soil solarization integrated with different amendments and bioagents increases the efficacy of soil solarization and prolonged effectiveness of soil solarization.

Materials and Methods

Soil solarization

The nursery beds were irrigated to the level of field capacity to ensure the presence of adequate moisture during the period of solarization. These beds were covered with low density transparent polyethylene sheets of 25 μm thickness, placing the ends of the sheets in furrows and buried with compact soil to ensure that all the edges were thoroughly sealed.

In order to record the soil temperature, soil thermometers were placed at 5, 10 and 15 cm soil depths, beneath the polyethylene sheets, during the entire period of solarization. Temperature of the uncovered (non-solarized) plots was also recorded. Soil temperatures were recorded daily at 06.00 and 14.00 hours and interpreted in results on weekly basis.

Soil amendments

Decomposed Farm Yard Manure (FYM) was inoculated with most effective fungal and bacterial biocontrol agents viz., *Trichoderma viride* isolate Tv₄ (1×10^7 cfu/ml) and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* isolate Pf₂ (1×10^8 cfu/ml) and then applied to the nursery fields @ 2000 g/m². Spent compost of button mushroom i.e., compost left after harvesting of mushroom was added to the nursery beds @ 2000 g/m². The details of the treatments are as: T₁ =Soil solarisation, T₂= Soil fumigation, T₃= Non-solarized soil + *Trichoderma viride* + FYM @ 1000 g/m², T₄= Non-solarized soil + *Pseudomonas fluorescens* + FYM @ 1000 g/m², T₅ =Spent compost of button mushroom @ 1000 g/m² and T₆ =Control (untreated plots). All the experiments were conducted in Randomized Block Design with three replications at Research Farm at Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Jammu.

Observations regarding microbial population

Microbial population in different treatments were recorded by analyzing soil samples using soil dilution plate method (Dhingra and Sinclair, 1995). Fungal population was recorded by counting the number of colonies developed on PDA after plating 1ml of 10^{-4} dilution in a petriplate. For evaluating bacterial load 1ml of 10^{-6} dilution was used for plating on Nutrient Agar (Allen, 1957). Actinomycete population was counted on Actinomycetes Specific Medium (ASM) by plating 1ml of 10^{-7} dilution (Kuznetsov and Rao, 1972).

Population of nematodes was recorded from different treatments. To isolate nematode the soil samples from different locations were processed by sieving and decanting method (Cobb, 1918).

Results and Discussion

Soil temperature

The average soil temperature profiles recorded at 5, 10 and 15cm depths in both solarized and non-solarized plots are presented in Table 1. In the year 2013, maximum soil temperature in the non-solarized plots at 5 cm depth ranged from 37 to 47⁰C and in solarized plots from 47.8 to 58.8⁰C, whereas, the minimum soil temperature in non-solarized plots ranged from 14.1 to 25⁰C, and in solarized plots from 23.9 to 34.3⁰C.

At 10 cm depth the maximum soil temperature in non-solarized plots was 32.7 to 43.5⁰C and in solarized plots 40.6 to 51.5⁰C, whereas, minimum temperature in non-solarized plots ranged from 17.6 to 25.6⁰C and in solarized plots 23.6 to 30.4⁰C. Similarly, at 15 cm depth, the average maximum soil temperature in non-solarized plots ranged from 28 to 38.9⁰C, and in solarized plots from 34.2 to 46.1⁰C, whereas, the minimum temperature in non-solarized plots varied from 19.3 to 28.5⁰C and in solarized plots from 27.4 to 35⁰C.

In the year 2014, maximum soil temperature in the non-solarized and solarized plots at 5cm depth ranged from 32.6 to 44.6⁰C and 44.2 to 56.1⁰C, whereas, the minimum soil temperature ranged from 17.4 to 24.2⁰C and 26.8 to 34.6⁰C, respectively.

At 10 cm depth the maximum soil temperature recorded in the non-solarized and solarized plots ranged from 32.5 to 38.3⁰C and 41 to 50⁰C, whereas, the minimum soil temperature from 20.6 to 28.2⁰C and 26.5 to 35.2⁰C, respectively. Similarly, at 15 cm depth maximum temperature in the non-solarized and solarized plots ranged from 27.6 to 38.8⁰C and 35.9 to 43.1⁰C, whereas, the minimum temperature ranged from 22 to 29.9⁰C and 30.2⁰C to 37.1⁰C, respectively.

Microbial population

Soil samples collected from nursery plots, given different treatments, were examined for the presence of microbial population.

Fungal population

A perusal of data presented in the Table 2 reveal that in the year 2013, fungal population in the solarized nursery plots was minimum (13.67×10^4), it was followed by fumigated nursery plots (15.33×10^4). Fungal population was maximum in spent compost treated plots (47.67×10^4), followed by plots amended with FYM + Tv₄ (33.67×10^4) and plots with FYM treated with Pf₂ (29.67×10^4). In non-solarized control plots the fungal population recorded was 26.33×10^4 . Similar trend was observed in the year 2014.

Bacterial population

In the year 2013, bacterial count recorded (Table 2) from solarized nursery plots and fumigated nursery plots was minimum (40.33×10^6 , in each), which was followed by fumigated nursery plots (43.33×10^6). Bacterial population was maximum in plots amended with spent compost (86.33×10^6), followed by plots having FYM treated Tv₄ (81.67×10^6) and FYM treated with Pf₂ (78.33×10^6). In the non-solarized plots the bacterial count was 75.33×10^6 . Similar trend was observed in the year 2014.

Actinomycetes population

The data presented in Table 2 further revealed that in the year 2013, the actinomycetes count recorded from fumigated nursery plots was minimum (11.33×10^5), followed by plots amended with spent compost (11.67×10^5). Actinomycetes population was maximum (22.67×10^5) in solarized nursery plot. In the control plots the population was 15.33×10^5 .

Table.1 Soil temperature recorded at different depths from solarized and non-solarized plots during 2013 and 2014

Meteorological Standard Week	Soil temperature (⁰ C) at different depths											
	Non-solarized plots						Solarized plots					
	5cm		10cm		15cm		5cm		10cm		15cm	
	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min
Year 2013												
16	37.0	14.1	32.7	17.6	28.0	19.3	47.8	23.9	40.6	23.6	34.2	27.4
17	38.5	16.5	34.1	21.2	28.4	22.8	49.7	26.5	43.4	28.0	37.8	30.9
18	43.1	19.0	36.6	23.3	32.1	25.7	55.0	29.0	45.7	28.2	40.6	33.6
19	44.7	17.1	39.7	22.0	34.8	24.4	56.9	26.8	48.0	26.3	42.5	30.7
20	47.0	19.5	43.5	24.0	38.9	26.9	58.8	29.2	51.5	29.0	46.1	33.8
21	44.5	25.0	39.6	25.6	34.0	28.5	56.6	34.3	48.1	30.4	42.6	35.0
22	46.8	18.8	41.8	24.7	37.7	27.0	57.0	28.4	50.9	30.0	44.3	34.0
Year 2014												
16	34.9	17.4	32.5	20.6	27.6	22.0	46.2	26.8	41.0	26.5	35.9	30.2
17	32.6	19.0	34.9	23.2	28.1	23.5	44.2	29.0	43.7	29.0	37.4	32.0
18	37.7	19.9	34.4	23.4	31.2	25.5	50.0	29.9	43.4	29.8	40.0	33.5
19	38.8	19.4	35.3	22.2	32.0	25.0	51.3	28.1	46.2	28.2	40.5	31.0
20	42.0	21.7	37.2	24.8	32.3	26.1	54.4	31.3	46.9	31.7	41.1	34.9
21	42.4	22.9	36.7	26.9	33.1	28.3	55.2	31.2	47.3	33.5	42.0	36.2
22	44.6	24.2	38.3	28.2	33.8	29.9	56.1	34.6	50.0	35.2	43.1	37.1

Table.2 Effect of soil solarization and addition of soil amendments on the soil microbial population

Treatment	Average number of colonies (cfu/g of soil)			Nematode population per 250 g soil
	Fungi (1x10 ⁴)	Bacteria (1x10 ⁶)	Actinomycetes (1x10 ⁵)	
Year 2013				
Soil solarization	13.67*(3.83)	40.33 (6.43)	22.67 (4.86)	137.67 (11.78)
Soil fumigation	15.33 (4.04)	43.33 (6.66)	11.33 (3.51)	148.67 (12.22)
Non-solarized soil + FYM + <i>T. viride</i> (Tv ₄)	33.67 (5.89)	81.67 (9.09)	12.33 (3.65)	155.33 (12.50)
Non-solarized soil + FYM + <i>P. fluorescens</i> (Pf ₂)	29.67 (5.54)	78.33 (8.90)	12.67 (3.69)	166.67 (12.95)
Non-solarized soil + SCM	47.67 (6.98)	86.33 (9.35)	11.67 (3.56)	158.67 (12.62)
Non-solarized soil (check)	26.33 (5.23)	75.33 (8.74)	15.33 (4.04)	437.00 (20.93)
S.E _m (±)	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.10
CD(P=0.05)	0.094	0.074	0.130	0.303
Year 2014				
Soil solarization	13.00 (3.74)	39.33 (6.35)	21.33 (4.73)	136.67 (11.73)
Soil fumigation	16.67 (4.20)	44.67 (6.76)	12.67 (3.69)	150.67 (12.31)
Non-solarized soil + FYM + <i>T. viride</i> (Tv ₄)	33.00 (5.83)	82.33 (9.13)	13.67 (3.88)	156.67 (12.54)
Non-solarized soil + FYM + <i>P. fluorescens</i> (Pf ₂)	29.00 (5.48)	78.67 (8.93)	13.33 (3.79)	169.00 (13.03)
Non-solarized soil + SCM	48.33 (7.02)	85.00 (9.27)	11.00 (3.45)	160.00 (12.69)
Non-solarized soil (check)	25.67 (5.16)	74.00 (8.66)	14.67 (3.96)	441.33 (21.02)
S.E _m (±)	0.04	0.02	0.05	0.10
CD(P=0.05)	0.131	0.063	0.163	0.326

*Figures given in parenthesis are transformed (arc sin) values; Soil fumigation [5 L formalin solution per bed (1 m²)]; FYM=Farm yard manure [2kg per bed (1 m²)]; SCM=Spent compost of mushroom [2kg per bed (1 m²)]; *T. viride* @ 10⁷ spores per ml; *P. fluorescens* @ 10⁸ colony forming units (cfu) per ml.

In the year 2014 actinomycetes colonies recorded from plots amended with spent compost were minimum (11×10^5), followed by fumigated nursery plots (12.67×10^5).

Maximum actinomycetes colonies were recorded from solarized nursery plots (21.33×10^5). In the control plots (non-solarized plots) the population recorded was 14.67×10^5 .

Nematode Population: In the year 2013, minimum nematode population was recorded from solarized plots (137.67), which was followed by fumigated nursery plots (148.67), and plots amended with FYM treated with Tv₄ (155.33). Maximum nematode population per 250g soil was recorded from the nursery plots amended with FYM treated with Pf₂ (166.67), followed by plots amended with spent compost (158.67). In non-solarized plots the population recorded was 437. Similar trend was observed in the year 2014.

Soil solarization, a hydrothermal process, was studied for assessment of its effect on physical (temperature, pH and moisture) properties of soil. Soil solarization significantly increased in soil temperature (10-12°C) was observed as compared to non-solarized plots at 5, 10 and 15cm depths, however, maximum rise in temperature (58 and 56.1°C) was recorded at 5 cm depth during 2013 and 2014, respectively. Several workers have reported increase in temperature while soil solarization due to the trapping of the solar energy by polyethylene sheets and preventing the heat loss caused by evaporation and convection, thus creating a greenhouse effect (Elad *et al.*, 1980; Katan, 1981). Chellemi *et al.*, (1994) recorded the temperature profiles at different depths and found maximum increase of temperature at 5 cm depth. Khulbe and Chaube (2000) reported that mulching of pre-irrigated plots with polythene sheetings increased daily

average temperature by 12-15°C. In the solarized plots the pH recorded was significantly higher than other treatments which included soil fumigation, non-solarized soil + FYM + *T. viride* (isolate Tv₄), non-solarized soil + FYM + *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (Pf₂), non-solarized soil + spent compost and non-solarized soil (check). Sharma and Sharma (2004) also reported that pH was higher in the solarized plots as compared to non-solarized plots at 10 and 30 cm soil depths. The studies also revealed that at the end of solarization period the moisture conserved was significantly higher in solarized plots as compared to soil fumigation and non-solarized plots. In non-solarized plots, solar radiations falling on earth cause loss of moisture through evapotranspiration since white thin transparent polyethylene sheets provide insulation against escape of heat and moisture from soil (Chen and Katan, 1980; Katan, 1987; Ahmad *et al.*, 1996). The rise in soil temperature resulted in decrease of fungal, bacterial and nematode population, whereas, there was increase in the actinomycetes population due to their thermophilic nature. Our findings are in conformity with different workers (Stapleton and De-Vay, 1982; Sharma *et al.*, 2000; Sharma and Sharma, 2004; Verma and Sharma, 2005).

References

- Ahmad, Y., Hameed, A. and Aslam, M. 1996. Effect of soil solarization on corn stalk rot. *Plant Soil*. 179: 17-24.
- Allen, O.N. (ed.) 1957. *Experiments in Soil Bacteriology*, pp 117. Burgees Publishing Co. Minneapolis.
- Chellemi, D.O., Olson, S.M. and Mitchell, D.J. 1994. Effect of soil solarization and fumigation on survival of soil borne pathogens of tomato in north Florida. *Plant Disease*. 78: 1167.

- Chen, Y. and Katan, J. 1980. Effect of solar heating of soils by transparent polyethylene mulching on their chemical properties. *Soil Science*. 130: 271-277.
- Cobb, N.A. 1918. Estimating the nematode population of the soil. p 48. Agricultural circular No.1, United State Department of Agriculture.
- Darekar, K.S. and Mhase, M.L. 1988. Assessment of yield losses due to root knot nematodes - *Meloidogyne incognita* Race 2 in tomato, brinjal and bitter gourd. *International Nematology Network Newsletter*, 5: 7-9.
- Das, C.R. and Chattopadhyay, S.B. 1955. Bacterial wilt of eggplants. *Indian Phytopathology*. 8: 130-135.
- Dhingra, O.D. and Sinclair, J.B.1995. *Basic Plant Pathology Methods*. 2nd Edition Lewis Publishers, USA. 434p.
- Doolittle, S.P. 1953. Diseases of pepper. In: *Year Book of Agriculture* pp. 465-469. Oxford and IBH Publishing Company, New Delhi.
- Elad, Y., Chet, I. and Katan, J. 1980. *Trichoderma harzianum*: A biocontrol agent effective against *Sclerotium rolfsii* and *Rhizoctonia solani*. *Disease Control and Pest Management* 70: 119-121.
- Gamliel, A. and Stapleton, J.J. 1997. Improvement of soil solarization with volatile compounds generated from organic amendments. *Phytoparasitica*. 25: 315-385
- Katan, J. 1987. Solar Solarization: innovative approaches to Plant Disease Control. Chet, I. (ed). John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- Katan, J.1981. Solar heating (Solarization) of soil for control of soil borne pests. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*. 19: 211-236.
- Khulbe, D. and Chaube, H.S. (2000). Integration of soil solarization and organic amendments and its effect on soil properties and plant growth. *Journal of Mycology & Plant Pathology*.30: 269.
- Kuznetsov, V.D. and Arjuna, R.V.1972. Actinomycetes antagonistic to phytopathogenic fungi from some South Indian soils. *Indian Phytopathology*. 25: 307-309.
- Sharma, A. and Sharma, S.K. 2004. Effect of soil solarization on soilborne pathogens and soil microbial population in apple nurseries. *Pant Disease Research*. 20: 138-142.
- Sharma, S.K., Verma, V.S. and Sharma, M.2000. Effect of soil solarization on soil microflora with special reference to *Fusarium solani* in mango nursery. *Journal of Mycology & Plant Pathology* 30: 273.
- Stapleton, J.J. and Devay, J.E. 1982. Effect of soil solarization on populations of selected soil borne organisms and growth of deciduous fruit tree seedlings. *Phytopathology*. 72: 323-326.
- Verma, V.S. and Sharma, S.K. 2005. Effect of soil pasteurization with transparent polythene tarps on microbial population including mango with pathogen, *Fusarium solani*. *Indian Phytopathology*. 58: 375.

How to cite this article:

Vikas Gupta, V.K. Razdan, Vishal Gupta, Sachin Gupta and Upma Dutta. 2018. Integrated Management of Soil Borne Diseases by Improving Soil Health with Physical and Chemical Treatments. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci*. 7(04): 1990-1995.
doi: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2018.704.229>