

Original Research Article

<https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2018.701.256>

Effect of Plant Growth Regulators on Growth and Flowering of Pansy (*Viola x wittrockiana* Gams.) under West Bengal Condition

Ayandip Biswas^{1*}, Tapas Mandal¹, Srabani Das² and Bipra Thakur¹

¹Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, B.C.K.V., Mohanpur, Nadia, W.B., India

²SBKV, Kapgari, Jhargarm, W.B., India

*Corresponding author

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was carried out to observe the effect of plant growth regulators on growth and flowering of pansy (*Viola x wittrockiana* Gams.), a beautiful winter annual suitable for bedding, ground cover and potted plant. Experimental site have subtropical humid climate and sandy loam soil with medium fertility status. Seedlings were planted in raised bed with randomised block design and grown carefully. Plants were treated with foliar application of paclobutrazol, cycocel, etrel and GA₃. Each chemical consists of two different doses making total eight treatments and control. Records were collected on vegetative and flowering parameters. Data were analysed and results were shown on ANOVA table. Observation from the results reveals that individual growth regulator showed partial beneficial effect on all the plant character studied in plains of West Bengal. Efficacy of cycocel to the highest degree on plant height might be due to the varied mode of action when applied in different concentration. Paclobutrazol reduced the height by blocking multiple steps in biosynthesis of gibberellins and steroids. Significant variation in number of primary branches and flower diameter occur with GA₃ and it is one of the important growth promoting chemical which helps in cell division and cell elongation. However, from the whole course study it can be concluded that under the West Bengal agro climate, GA₃ and paclobutrazol cited best in regulating plant phenotypic expression, as paclobutrazol kept plant height short and GA₃ increased flower diameter per plant maintaining higher bloom quality.

Keywords

Plant growth,
 Flowering, Field,
 Ground cover

Article Info

Accepted:
 16 December 2017
 Available Online:
 10 January 2018

Introduction

The history of the modern pansy (*Viola x wittrockiana* Gams.) begins with a small European wildflower, *Viola tricolor*, commonly known as Johnny-jump-up (Nau, 1990) hybridized with other viola species. These hybrids are referred to as *Viola x wittrockiana* or less commonly *Viola tricolor*

hortensis. The garden pansy, *Viola x wittrockiana*, is a cool season annual or a short-lived perennial garden flower (Laffe and Styer, 1990). They thrive well in sunny or partial sunlight and it needs to be cultivated in well drained soils. Light levels should be at 2,000 foot candles (Williams, 1990). Plants are low growing, trailing and bushy, compact, herbaceous, and about 15-22 cm in height.

Leaves are dark green, rounded or long, heart shaped with cut edges. Flowers are produced in great profusions, singly on stalks. Flower size varies from 10-12 cm across. Pansies grow best in full sun although they will tolerate shady conditions better than other sun seeking annuals (Carlson, 1989). Flowers are butterfly like, available in almost all shades of colors, ranging from white, red, deep violet, blue, yellow to self coloured and blotched or marked, variegated in magnificent contrasting colors. Excessive elongation and imperfect size of flower is a problem in pansy (Sawaya, 1989). Control of bedding plant growth with chemical growth regulators is a common practice. With the advancement of agriculture in general and horticulture in particular, growth regulator is a popular term which promotes, inhibits or otherwise modify any plant physiological process. Since very limited work has been done in this respect or chapter, an investigation is undertaken to study the effect of Cycocel, GA₃, Ethrel and Paclobutrazol (Latimer and Whipker, 2004) on growth and flowering of pansy (*Viola × wittrockiana* Gams.) cv. Super Majestic Giant under open field in raised beds.

Materials and Methods

The experiment was carried out at Horticultural Research Station, Mondouri, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Nadia, West Bengal, India. The site comes under subtropical humid climate with sandy, well drained with medium fertile soil. Seedlings of variety Super Majestic Giant were planted in 1m x 1m raised bed with randomized block design in three replications. Irrigation, weeding and fertilizer application was maintained as described by Carlson (1989). Eight treatments of four plant growth regulators with two doses of each were Paclobutrazol 2.5 ppm (T₁), Paclobutrazol 5 ppm (T₂), Cycocel 250 ppm (T₃), Cycocel 500 ppm (T₄), Ethrel 40 ppm (T₅), Ethrel 80 ppm

(T₆), GA₃ 50 ppm (T₇), GA₃ 100 ppm (T₈) and control (T₉) were without any treatment. Records were collected on vegetative and flowering parameters. Recorded data were analyzed following the procedure described by Panse and Sukhatme (1995).

Results and Discussion

The experimental results obtained and relevant discussion is presented under the following heads:

Vegetative attributes

Plant height in cm (P₁)

Spraying of plant growth regulating chemicals on pansy had shown the significant effect. Table 1 showed that treatment T₃ (9.40) had maximum plant height followed by T₄ (9.20) and T₆ (8.43) with T₂ showing lowest value (6.60). The result reflected the efficacy of cycocel to the highest degree might be due to its mode of action. Cycocel is one of the chemical used to inhibit plant growth either by inhibiting translocation of gibberellins or by promoting their degradation but have various effect on plant growth in different concentration. Baerve (1992) found that plant quality increased when used in low concentration.

On the other hand, paclobutrazol reduced the height by blocking multiple steps in biosynthesis of gibberellins and steroids. Similar result was found by Currey *et al.*, (2010) and Magnitskiy *et al.*, (2006).

Number of mature leaves per plant (P₂)

Spraying of plant growth regulating chemicals on pansy showed significant effect in controlling number of mature leaves per plant. As per Table 1, maximum number of leaves was recorded with T₄ (10.07) which is

statistically at par with T₇ (9.80), T₆ (9.50), T₂ (9.13) and T₃ (8.97) and the lowest value observed with T₈ (8.20). Based on the result obtained from the observation cycocel showed highest number of leaf per plant. Cycocel increased plant height that we discussed earlier. Therefore, it may be directly related with plant height to produce maximum number of leaves. Here, paclobutrazol had no significant effect on number of leaves per plant. It was supported by the findings observed by Lee and Lee (1990) on gerbera.

Number of primary branches per plant (P₃)

Spraying of plant growth regulating chemicals on pansy had shown significant effect in controlling the primary branches per plant under all the treatments. Data presented in Table 1 showed that highest number of primary branches per plant was recorded under the treatment T₈ (3.30) followed by T₆ (3.16), T₅ (3.12), T₃ (3.02), T₇ (2.85) and T₄ (2.75). It is obvious that GA₃ promoted biosynthesis or encouraged the activity of other growth promoting chemicals leading to significant variation in number of primary branches. The result was supported by earlier findings of Shawareb (1987) on chrysanthemum, Bhattacharjee and Singh

(1995) on rose cultivar ‘Rakthagandha’ and Singh (2003) on *Calendula officinalis*.

Number of tertiary branches per plant (P₄)

There is no significant variation in number of tertiary branches per plant (Table 1) due to application of growth regulating chemicals. However, maximum number (2.17) of tertiary branches was observed with control (T₉) treatment which was almost similar to other treatments too. It may happen due to weather condition, varietal character or other physiological process.

Leaf area in sq cm (P₅)

The observation (Table 1) showed significant variation in leaf area of pansy. Highest value recorded with T₆ (24.90) which is statistically at par with T₉ (22.80), T₅ (22.13), T₇ (21.03) and T₈ (20.13). Lowest leaf area was recorded with T₂ (16.30). Therefore, ethrel increased leaf area significantly. It may be due to its mode of action or due to plant physiological process. The result is in similar line with the findings of ElSallami (1996) where successive increase in ethrel concentration showed increasing carbohydrate content of pansy leaf rendering increase of leaf area.

Table.1 Vegetative parameters

Treatments	P ₁	P ₂	P ₃	P ₄	P ₅
T ₁	8.17	8.63	2.48	1.90	17.07
T ₂	6.60	9.13	2.74	2.03	16.30
T ₃	9.40	8.97	3.02	1.87	19.23
T ₄	9.20	10.07	2.75	1.80	18.53
T ₅	8.00	8.33	3.12	1.67	22.13
T ₆	8.43	9.50	3.16	1.93	24.90
T ₇	7.33	9.80	2.85	2.03	21.03
T ₈	7.37	8.20	3.30	1.73	20.13
T ₉	8.17	8.60	2.39	2.17	22.80
SEm (+)	0.38	0.37	0.19	0.16	1.69
CD(0.05)	1.13	1.12	0.56	NS	5.0372

Table.2 Flowering parameters

Treatments	P ₆	P ₇	P ₈	P ₉
T ₁	6.43	9.17	7.87	4.54
T ₂	6.63	8.27	7.00	4.86
T ₃	6.93	9.03	8.30	4.96
T ₄	6.80	9.17	8.50	5.00
T ₅	6.93	7.77	7.77	4.80
T ₆	6.87	8.60	8.53	4.91
T ₇	6.80	9.87	8.63	5.21
T ₈	6.57	9.10	8.77	4.90
T ₉	6.93	9.00	8.10	5.07
SEm (+)	0.21	0.60	0.19	0.18
CD(0.05)	NS	NS	0.56	NS

Flowering attributes**Days to full bloom from visible bud emergence (P₆)**

Spraying of plant growth regulating chemicals on pansy failed to show significant variation among all the treatments. However, the highest value was recorded (Table 2) with control treatment T₉ (6.93) and lowest value recorded with T₁ (6.43). Therefore, application of plant growth regulators have no significant effect on days taken to full bloom from bud which was in same line with findings by Lu and Chen (1996) on *Petunia hybrid* where spraying with plant growth chemical had no impact on early flowering.

Number of flowers per plant (P₇)

Spraying of plant growth regulating chemicals on pansy failed show significant effect in controlling the number of flower per plant. The highest value (Table 2) recorded with T₇ (9.87) and lowest value recorded with T₅ (7.77). With the advancement of growth flower number increased gradually.

It may be due to weather condition or may be varietal character.

Flower diameter in cm (P₈)

Spraying of plant growth regulating chemicals on pansy had shown the significant effect in controlling flower diameter. Table 2 showed that maximum flower diameter obtained from T₈ (8.77) which is statistically at par with T₇ (8.63), T₆ (8.53), T₄ (8.50) and T₃ (8.30) and minimum value obtained from T₂ (7.00). Here, GA₃ is most effective to give significant variation on flower diameter of pansy. The dose used here helped to increase flower size as studied by Kamuro *et al.*, (2001).

Days to flower senescence from full bloom (P₉)

From Table 2, it is evident that spraying of plant growth regulating chemicals on pansy failed show significant effect in controlling the days taken to senescence from full bloom. However, highest value recorded with T₇ (5.21) and lowest value recorded with T₁ (4.54). Therefore, none of these chemicals have role on promote or regulate senescence on pansy.

The result reflected the efficacy of cycocel to the highest degree on plant height might be due to their mode of action. Paclobutrazol

reduced the height by blocking multiple steps in biosynthesis of gibberellins and steroids. There was no significant variation in number of tertiary branches per plant due to application of growth regulating chemicals. Among the plant growth regulators GA₃ was most effective to give significant variation on flower diameter of pansy. Therefore, individual growth regulator showed partial beneficial effect on all the plant character studied in plains of West Bengal. However, from the whole course study it can be concluded that under the West Bengal agroclimate, GA₃ and paclobutrazol cited best in regulating plant phenotypic expression, as paclobutrazol kept plant height short and GA₃ increased flower diameter per plant maintaining higher bloom quality.

Acknowledgement

The paper is based on the findings of an experiment sincerely carried out at Horticultural Research Station, Mandouri, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya under the department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture. All authors are thankful to the department for careful guidance and encouragement.

References

- Baevre, O.A. 1992. Growth regulation of pansy by chlormequat. *Norwegian Journal of Agriculture Science*. 6(4): 435-442.
- Bhattacharjee, S.K. and Singh, V.C. 1995. Growth and flowering response of *Rosa hybrida* cv. Rakthagandha to certain growth regulator sprays. *Orissa Journal of Horticulture*. 23(1 & 2): 21-25.
- Carlson, W. 1989. One to Grow On: Perfect Pansy Production. *Greenhouse Grower's Plug Guide*. 7(12): 18.
- Currey, C.J., Camberato, D.M., Torres, A.P. and Lopez, R.G. 2010. Plant growth retardant drench efficacy is not affected by substrate containing parboiled rice hulls. *Journal of Horticulture Technology*. 20(5): 863-866.
- ElSallami, I.H. 1996. Effect of ethrel and phosphorus application on growth, flowering and uptake of some nutrients in *Viola odorata* L. *Asyut Journal of Agriculture Science* 27(3): 45-59.
- Kamuro, Y., Agyeman, S.O. and Matsui, S. 2001. The promotive effect of applying mixtures of (S)- (+)- abscisic acid and gibberellic acid in flowering in long-day plants. *Dordrecht, Plant Growth Regul.* 33: 189- 194.
- Laffe, S.R. and Styer, R.C. 1990. Answering the Big Questions on Plugs. *Grower Talks on plugs* 54(8): 37-44.
- Latimer, J. and Whipker, B.E. 2004. Using plant growth regulators. *Pansy Production Handbook. North Carolina Comm. Flower Growers' Association, Raleigh, NC*. Pp 32-35.
- Lee, P.O. and Lee, J.S. 1990. Effects of ancymidol and paclobutrazol on growth and flowering of potted gerbera. *Korean Society for Horticulture*. 31(3): 300-304.
- Lu, J.G. and Chen, X. 1996. Dwarfing and blooming effects of paclobutrazol on *Petunia hybrida*. *Journal Jiangsu Forestry Science and Technology*. 23: 212-214.
- Magnitskiy, S.V., Pasian, C.C., Bennett, M.A. and Metzger, J.D. 2006. Controlling plug height of Verbena, Celosia, and Pansy by treating seeds with paclobutrazol. *Journal of Horticulture Science*. 41(1): 158-161.
- Nau, J. 1990. Culture Notes: Pansies and violas. *Grower Talks on plugs* 54(2): 16.
- Panase, V. C. and Sukhatme, P. V. 1995. *Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers*. ICAR, New Delhi. Pp 199-210.

- Sawaya, M. (1989). Under Your Thumb: Growth Retardants Keep Plugs from Getting Out of Hand. *Greenhouse Grower's Plug Guide* 7(11): 54-55.
- Shawareb, M.N. 1987. Effect of cultar and alar on four cultivars of pot chrysanthemum [Chrysanthemum morifolium; Paclobutrazol, Succinic acid: determination of the effect of different concentrations, comparison of the two methods of application]. *AGRIS*. 14(2): 178-179.
- Singh, A.K. 2003. Effect of SADH and PPP₃₃₃ on growth and flowering attributes in *Calendula officinalis*. *Journal of Medicinal and Aromatic Plant Sciences*. 25(2): 424-426.
- Williams, J. 1990. Plug Culture Tips for Four Major Bedding Crops. *Greenhouse Grower* 54(8): 26-34.

How to cite this article:

Ayandip Biswas, Tapas Mandal, Srabani Das and Bipra Thakur. 2018. Effect of Plant Growth Regulators on Growth and Flowering of Pansy (*Viola x wittrockiana* Gams.) under West Bengal Condition. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci*. 7(01): 2125-2130.
doi: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijemas.2018.701.256>