

Correlation Analysis in Gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandiflorus* L.)

Pushpendra Verty*, V.M. Prasad, J.P. Collis and Manoj Nazir

Department of Horticulture, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture,
Technology and Sciences Allahabad, U.P., India

*Corresponding author

ABSTRACT

Correlation coefficient analysis in twenty genotypes of gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandiflorus* L.) were studied at Department of Horticulture, Naini Agriculture Institute, Shuats, Allahabad during the year 2013-2014 for eighteen quantitative characters. It was observed that there were significant correlations spike yield and its contributing characters at both genotypic and phenotypic levels. Shoots/ plant exhibited a high significant correlation with corms/plant. Among spike parameters days to 50% heading, days to first floret colour showing, days to first floret opening and rachis length were found to be associated at high significance with spike yield. The results of investigation revealed that days to first floret colour showing, days to first floret opening, days to last floret opening, florets/spike, rachis length, plant height, shoot/plant and corms/plant are important spike quality characters. Hence, these characters may be considered as selection indices in gladiolus breeding programme.

Keywords

Gladiolus,
Correlations and
yield attributes.

Article Info

Accepted:
14 July 2017
Available Online:
10 September 2017

Introduction

Gladiolus is an important bulbous ornamental prized for its beautiful spikes as well as longer vase –life and said to be the Queen of bulbous flower crops (Panday *et al.*, 2010). The current number of species in genus gladiolus is 300 (Goldblatt *et al.*, 2001). Gladiolus is native to the sub African region, and is found mainly in Tropical Africa and South Africa. Gladiolus is being grown in an area of 11660 ha in the country with an estimated production of 106 crore cut flowers. Amongst the cut flowers, gladiolus occupied third position in terms of both area and production. The major gladiolus producing states in the country are Uttar Pradesh, West

Bengal, Odisha, Chattishgarh, Haryana and Maharashtra. Gladiolus is also grown in states like Uttarakhand, Karnataka, Andhra Pradesh and Sikkim. Even though gladiolus is mainly a winter season flower crop, in areas having moderate climatic conditions, gladiolus can be grown throughout the year. Gladiolus cultivation under Northern Indian plains (that include whole of U.P), coastal areas of Tamilnadu and Pondicherry has a potential to change the economic scenario of farmers of these areas. Yield and quality of flower are quantitative characters and are highly influenced by environmental factors. Thus, yield and association of yield contributing

traits is considered to be of great importance for planning and executing breeding programme. Correlation study provides beneficial information regarding the interrelationship of quantitative traits among each other and influence of these traits on yield, thereby aid in selection (Kumar *et al.*, 2012).

Materials and Methods

The present investigation entitled “Genetic variability and stability analysis for some quantitative characters in gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandiflorus* L.)” is undertaken to obtain information on genetic variability, heritability, correlation, and stability under four environments. The experiment was conducted during 2013 to 2014 at Department of Horticulture, Naini Agriculture Institute, Shuats, Allahabad. The experiment was laid out in RBD with three replications. The experiment consisted of twenty treatments namely (Redginger, American beauty, Charm flow, green bay, Jester, Red majesty, Candy man, Summer sunshine, Poppytears, Punjab dawn, Pusa srijana, Arti. Hunting song, Sabnam, Darsan, Arka amar, Legent, summer rose, Wind song and Monini) statistical significance was tested by ‘F’ value at 5 per cent level of significance. It helps in working out the variance due to different source and also provides the basis for test of significance (Singh and Choudhary., 1979).

Analysis of variance was carried out as per the procedure given by (Panse and Sukhatme., 1967) using the mean values of five randomly selected plants in each replication from all the treatments to find out the significance of treatment effect.

Results and Discussion

The genotypic and phenotypic correlation studies were carried out for eighteen quantitative characters to know the nature of

association between number of cormels/plant and its attributing characters (Tables 1 and 2).

The weight of corm exhibited significant and positive correlation at both genotypic and phenotypic with diameter of corm (rg: 0.73; rp: 0.34), cormels/plant (rg: 0.52; rp: 0.50), florets open at first (rg: 0.31; rp: 0.28), diameter of first floret (rg: 0.17; rp: 0.16), days to 50% heading (rg: 0.15; rp: 0.12), days to first floret colour opening (rg: 0.13; rp: 0.12), rechis length (rg: 0.12; rp: 0.10), days to first floret colour showing (rg: 0.11; rp: 0.10), floret /spike (rg: 0.07; rp: 0.07), and plant height (rg: 0.06; rp: 0.05). Significant and negative correlation was recorded by this parameter with days to sprouting (rg: -0.32; rp: -0.31), durability of whole spike (rg: -0.26; rp: -0.23), floret remain open at a time (rg: -0.19; rp: -0.17), and days to last floret opening (rg: -0.06; rp: -0.05).

Diameter of corms showed significant and positive correlation at both genotypic and phenotypic level with cormels/plant (rg: 0.57; rp: 0.34), leaves /shoots (rg: 0.37; rp: 0.34), corms/plant or shoot/plant (rg: 0.37; rp: 0.27), florets/pike (rg: 0.29; rp: 0.13), rechis length (rg: 0.29; rp: 0.19), plant height (rg: 0.26; rp: 0.14), and days to 50% heading (rg: 0.10; rp: 0.05). significant and negative correlation was recorded by this parameter with florets remain open at a time (rg: -0.51; rp: -0.28), durability of whole spike (rg: -0.16; rp: -0.13), days to sprouting (rg: -0.20; rp: -0.08), diameter of first floret (rg: -0.10; rp: -0.08), days to last floret opening (rg: -0.09; rp: -0.07), days to first floret colour showing (rg: -0.03; rp: -0.04) and days to first floret opening (rg: -0.01; rp: -0.03).

Days to sprouting showed significant and negative correlation was recorded with floret/spike (rg: -0.55; rp: -0.53), diameter of first floret (rg: -0.53; rp: -0.52), plant height (rg: -0.42; rp: -0.42), days to 50% heading (rg: -0.34; rp: -0.33), rechis length (rg: -0.29; rp: -

0.29), florets open at first or day to first floret colour showing (r_g : -0.27; r_p : -0.26), days to last floret opening (r_g : -0.24; r_p : -0.24), days to first floret opening (r_g : -0.23; r_p : -0.22), leaves/shoot (r_g : -0.19; r_p : -0.15), cormels/plant (r_g : -0.15; r_p : -0.14), floret remain open at a time (r_g : -0.11; r_p : -0.11), durability of whole spike (r_g : -0.10; r_p : -0.09), corms/plant (r_g : -0.06; r_p : -0.05), and shoot/plant (r_g : -0.06; r_p : -0.05).

Days to heading had a significant and positive correlation at genotypic and phenotypic level with days to first floret colour showing (r_g : -0.96; r_p : 0.93), days to first floret opening (r_g : 0.95; r_p : 0.93), days to last floret opening (r_g : 0.80; r_p : 0.77), plant height (r_g : 0.54; r_p : 0.53), leaves/shoot (r_g : 0.46; r_p : 0.35), rachis length (r_g : 0.36; r_p : -0.35), cormels/plant (r_g : 0.24; r_p : 0.23), diameter of first floret (r_g : 0.15; r_p : -0.13), florets/pike (r_g : 0.12; r_p : -0.06), florets open at first (r_g : 0.07; r_p : 0.06), significant and negative correlation was recorded by this parameter corms/plant (r_g : -0.45; r_p : -0.41), shoots/plant (r_g : -0.45; r_p : -0.41), floret remain open at a time (r_g : -0.42; r_p : -0.35), and durability of whole spike (r_g : -0.16; r_p : -0.17).

Days to first floret colour showing had a significant and positive correlation at genotypic and phenotypic level with first floret opening (r_g : 0.98; r_p : -0.98), days to last floret opening (r_g : 0.83; r_p : 0.83), plant height (r_g : 0.52; r_p : 0.51), leaves/shoot (r_g : 0.36; r_p : 0.27), rachis length (r_g : 0.34; r_p : 0.33), floret per spike (r_g : 0.01; r_p : 0.02), floret open at first (r_g : 0.01; r_p : 0.01). Significant and negative correlation was recorded by this parameters corms/plant (r_g : -0.60; r_p : -0.56), shoot/plant (r_g : -0.60; r_p : -0.56), florets remain open at a time (r_g : -0.48; r_p : -0.43) and durability of whole spike (r_g : -0.13; r_p : -0.13).

Days to first floret opening showed significant and positive correlation at both

genotypic and phenotypic level with days to last floret opening (r_g : 0.86; r_p : 0.85), Plant height (r_g : 0.54; r_p : 0.53), rachis length (r_g : 0.39; r_p : 0.37), leaves/shoot (r_g : 0.36; r_p : 0.27), cormels/plant (r_g : 0.26; r_p : 0.26), diameter of first floret (r_g : 0.10; r_p : 0.09), floret open at first (r_g : 0.08; r_p : 0.07) and floret/spike (r_g : 0.04; r_p : 0.04) whereas significant and negative correlation was recorded at genotypic and phenotypic level with corms/plant (r_g : -0.62; r_p : -0.57), shoot /plant (r_g : -0.62; r_p : -0.57), floret remain open at a time (r_g : -0.52; r_p : -0.46) and durability of whole spike (r_g : -0.12; r_p : -0.12).

Days to last floret opening showed significant and positive correlation at both genotypic and phenotypic level with plant height (r_g : 0.52; r_p : 0.51) rachis length (r_g : 0.52; r_p : 0.50), durability of whole spike (r_g : 0.38; r_p : 0.37), leaves /shoot (r_g : 0.32; r_p : 0.24), floret/spike (r_g : 0.28; r_p : 0.28), diameter of first floret (r_g : 0.11; r_p : 0.11), cormels/plant (r_g : 0.08; r_p : 0.08), and floret open at first (r_g : 0.05; r_p : 0.04) whereas significant and negative correlation was recorded at genotypic and phenotypic level with corms/plant (r_g : -0.57; r_p : -0.52), shoot/plant (r_g : -0.57; r_p : -0.52) and florets remain open at a time (r_g : -0.53; r_p : -0.48).

Durability of whole spike showed significant and positive correlation at both genotypic and phenotypic level with florets/spike (r_g : 0.52; r_p : 0.51), rachis length (r_g : 0.36; r_p : 0.34), plant height (r_g : 0.03; r_p : 0.02), corms/plant (r_g : 0.02; r_p : 0.02), leaves/shoot (r_g : 0.02; r_p : 0.02) and shoot/plant (r_g : 0.02; r_p : 0.02) whereas significant and negative correlation was recorded at genotypic and phenotypic level with cormels/plant (r_g : -0.33; r_p : -0.32), florets open at first (r_g : -0.15; r_p : -0.16), florets remain open at a time (r_g : -0.09; r_p : -0.07), diameter of first floret (r_g : -0.05; r_p : -0.03). Similar findings also reported by Sandu *et al.*, (1990).

Table.1 Genotypic correlation coefficient between different characters of *Gladiolus grandiflorus* Allahabad 2013-2014

S. No	Character	Weight of Corms	Diameter of Corms (in cm)	Day to Sprouting	Days to 50% Heading	Days to First Floret Color Showing	Days to First Floret Opening	Days to Last Floret Opening	Durability of Whole Spike	Diameter of First Floret	Florets / Spike	Florets Open At First	Florets Remain Open At A Time	Shoots/ Plant	Rachis Length (in cm)	Plant Height (in cm)	Leaves/ Shoots	Corms/ Plant	Cormels/ Plant
1	Weight of Corms	1	0.73	-0.32	0.15	0.11	0.13	-0.06	-0.26	0.17	0.07	0.31	-0.19	0.29	0.12	0.06	0.28	0.29	0.52
2	Diameter of Corms cm		1	-0.2	0.1	-0.03	-0.01	-0.09	-0.16	-0.1	0.29	0.04	-0.51	0.37	0.29	0.26	0.37	0.37	0.57
3	Day to Sprouting			1	-0.34	-0.27	-0.23	-0.24	-0.1	-0.53	-0.55	-0.27	-0.11	-0.06	-0.29	-0.42	-0.19	-0.06	-0.15
4	Days to 50% Heading				1	0.96	0.95	0.8	-0.16	0.15	0.12	0.07	-0.42	-0.45	0.36	0.54	0.46	-0.45	0.24
5	Days to First Floret Colour Showing					1	0.98	0.83	-0.13	0.18	0.01	0.01	-0.48	-0.6	0.34	0.52	0.36	-0.6	0.25
6	Days to First Floret Opening						1	0.86	-0.12	0.1	0.04	0.08	-0.52	-0.62	0.39	0.54	0.36	-0.62	0.26
7	Days to Last Floret Opening							1	0.38	0.11	0.28	0.05	-0.53	-0.57	0.52	0.52	0.32	-0.57	0.08
8	Durability of Whole Spike								1	-0.05	0.52	0.15	-0.09	0.02	0.36	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.33
9	Diameter of First Floret									1	0.17	0.23	-0.02	-0.13	0.23	0.36	0.37	-0.13	0.26
10	Florets/ Spike										1	0.09	-0.16	-0.13	0.62	0.48	0.24	-0.13	0.01
11	Florets Open At First											1	0.06	0.07	-0.04	0.03	0.28	0.07	0.31
12	Florets Remain Open At A Time												1	0.44	-0.44	-0.37	-0.19	0.44	-0.5
13	Shoots/ Plant													1	-0.4	-0.6	-0.13	1	0.16
14	Rachis Length cm														1	0.85	0.43	-0.4	0.31
15	Plant Height cm															1	0.48	-0.6	0.39
16	Leaves/ Shoots																1	-0.13	0.33
17	Corms/ Plant																	1	0.16
18	Cormels/ Plant																		1

Table.2 Phenotypic correlation coefficient between different characters of *Gladiolus (Gladiolus grandiflorus)* Allahabad 2013-2014

S. No	Character	Weight of Corms	Diameter of Corms (in cm)	Day to Sprouting	Days to 50% Heading	Days to First Floret Colour Showing	Days to First Floret Opening	Days to Last Floret Opening	Durability of Whole Spike	Diameter of First Floret	Florets/ Spike	Florets Open At First	Florets Remain Open At A Time	Shoots/ Plant	Rachis Length (in cm)	Plant Height (in cm)	Leaves/ Shoots	Corms/ Plant	Cormels/ Plant
1	Weight of Corms	1	0.34	-0.31	0.12	0.1	0.12	-0.05	-0.23	0.16	0.07	0.28	-0.17	0.23	0.1	0.05	0.22	0.23	0.5
2	Diameter of Corms cm		1	-0.08	0.05	-0.04	-0.03	-0.07	-0.13	-0.08	0.13	0.09	-0.28	0.27	0.19	0.14	0.31	0.27	0.34
3	Day to Sprouting			1	-0.33	-0.26	-0.22	-0.24	-0.09	-0.52	-0.53	-0.26	-0.11	-0.05	-0.29	-0.42	-0.15	-0.05	-0.14
4	Days to 50% Heading				1	0.94	0.93	0.77	-0.17	0.13	0.1	0.06	-0.35	-0.41	0.35	0.53	0.35	-0.41	0.23
5	Days to First Floret Colour Showing					1	0.98	0.83	-0.13	0.17	0.02	0.01	-0.43	-0.56	0.33	0.51	0.27	-0.56	0.25
6	Days to First Floret Opening						1	0.85	-0.12	0.09	0.04	0.07	-0.46	-0.57	0.37	0.53	0.27	-0.57	0.26
7	Days to Last Floret Opening							1	0.37	0.11	0.28	0.04	-0.48	-0.52	0.5	0.51	0.24	-0.52	0.08
8	Durability of Whole Spike								1	-0.03	0.51	-0.16	-0.07	0.02	0.34	0.02	0.02	0.02	-0.32
9	Diameter of First Floret									1	0.17	0.21	-0.02	-0.14	0.22	0.34	0.29	-0.14	0.25
10	Florets/ Spike										1	0.07	-0.13	-0.13	0.6	0.47	0.17	-0.13	0.01
11	Florets Open At First											1	0.04	0.05	-0.03	0.01	0.25	0.05	0.29
12	Florets Remain Open At A Time												1	0.38	-0.38	-0.32	-0.11	0.38	-0.45
13	Shoots/ Plant													1	-0.36	-0.54	-0.12	1	-0.14
14	Rachis Length cm														1	0.83	0.34	-0.36	0.3
15	Plant Height cm															1	0.35	-0.54	0.38
16	Leaves/ Shoots																1	-0.12	0.26
17	Corms/ Plant																	1	-0.14
18	Cormels/ Plant																		1

Diameter of first floret showed significant and positive correlation at both genotypic and phenotypic level with leaves/shoot (r_g : 0.37; r_p : 0.29), plant height (r_g : 0.36; r_p : 0.34), cormels/plant (r_g : 0.26; r_p : 0.25), rechis length (r_g : 0.23; r_p : 0.22), florets open at first (r_g : 0.23; r_p : 0.21) and florets/spike (r_g : 0.17; r_p : 0.17) whereas significant and negative correlation was recorded at genotypic and phenotypic level with corms/plant (r_g : -0.13; r_p : -0.14), at both shoots/plant (r_g : -0.13; r_p : -0.14) and florets remain open at a time (r_g : -0.02; r_p : -0.02).

Floret/spike showed significant and positive correlation genotypic and phenotypic level with rachis length (r_g : 0.62; r_p : 0.60), Plant height (r_g : 0.48; r_p : 0.47), leaves/shoot (r_g : 0.24; r_p : 0.17) and florets open at first (r_g : 0.09; r_p : 0.07) and cormels/plant (r_g : 0.01; r_p : 0.01), whereas significant and negative correlation was recorded at genotypic and phenotypic level with florets remain open at a time (r_g : -0.16; r_p : -0.13), corms/plant (r_g : -0.13; r_p : -0.14) and shoots/plant (r_g : -0.13; r_p : -0.13). Similar findings also reported by Neeraj *et al.*, (1997-98).

Floret open at first significant and positive correlation at both genotypic and phenotypic level with cormels/plant (r_g : 0.31; r_p : 0.29), leaves/shoot (r_g : 0.28; r_p : 0.25), cormels/plant (r_g : 0.07; r_p : 0.05), shoots/plant (r_g : 0.07; r_p : 0.05), florets remain open at a time (r_g : 0.06; r_p : 0.04) and plant height (r_g : 0.03; r_p : 0.01) whereas significant and negative correlation was recorded at genotypic and phenotypic level with rechis length (r_g : -0.04; r_p : -0.03).

Floret remain open at a time showed significant and positive correlation at both genotypic and phenotypic level with shoot/plant (r_g : 0.44; r_p : 0.38) and corms/plant (r_g : 0.44; r_p : 0.38) whereas significant and negative correlation was recorded at genotypic and phenotypic level with

cormels/plant (r_g : -0.50; r_p : -0.45), rechis length (r_g : -0.44; r_p : -0.38), Plant height (r_g : -0.37; r_p : -0.32) and leaves/shoot (r_g : -0.19; r_p : -0.11).

Shoots/plant showed significant and positive correlation at both genotypic and phenotypic level with corms/plant (r_g : 1.00; r_p : 1.00) whereas significant and negative correlation was recorded at genotypic and phenotypic level with Plant height (r_g : -0.60; r_p : -0.54), rechis length (r_g : -0.40; r_p : -0.36), cormels/plant (r_g : -0.16; r_p : -0.14) and leaves/shoots (r_g : -0.13; r_p : -0.12).

Rechis length showed significant and positive correlation at both genotypic and phenotypic level with Plant height (r_g : 0.85; r_p : 0.83), leaves/shoot (r_g : 0.43; r_p : 0.34) and cormels/plant (r_g : 0.31; r_p : 0.30) whereas significant and negative correlation was recorded at genotypic and phenotypic level with corms/plant (r_g : -0.40; r_p : -0.36). Similar findings also reported by Anuradha *et al.*, (1994).

Plant height showed significant and positive correlation at both genotypic and phenotypic level with leaves/shoot (r_g : 0.48; r_p : 0.35) and cormels/plant (r_g : 0.39; r_p : 0.38) whereas significant and negative correlation was recorded at genotypic and phenotypic level with corms/plant (r_g : -0.60; r_p : -0.54).

Leaves/shoot showed significant and positive correlation at both genotypic and phenotypic level with cormels/plant (r_g : 0.33; r_p : 0.26) whereas significant and negative correlation was recorded at genotypic and phenotypic level with (r_g : -0.13; r_p : -0.12).

Corms/plant showed significant and negative correlation was recorded at genotypic and phenotypic level with cormels/plant (r_g : -0.16; r_p : -0.14). Similar findings also reported by Singh *et al.*, (1983), Misra *et al.*, (1990),

Sandu *et al.*, (1990), Anuradha *et al.*, (1994), Manjunatha *et al.*, (1997), Neeraj *et al.*, (1997-98), John *et al.*, (2002), Manoj Nazir *et al.*, (2005), Balaram, M and Janakiram, T. (2009) Prabhat kumar *et al.*, (2011), Rahul kumar *et al.*, (2012) and Rashmi and Sanjay Kumar *et al.*, (2014) in gladiolus.

On the basic of the present investigation it is concluded that shoots/plant exhibited a highly significant correlation with corms/plant. Days to 50% heading, days to first floret colour showing, days to first floret opening and rachis length showed significant positive correlation with spike yield. The results of investigation revealed that days to first floret colour showing, days to first floret opening, days to last floret opening, florets/spike, rachis length, plant height, shoot/plant and corms/plant are important spike quality characters. Hence, these characters may be considered as selection indices in gladiolus breeding programme.

References

- Anuradha, S., and Gowda, J.V.N. 1994. Correlation studies in Gladiolus In: Floriculture Tech., Trades and Trends (eds. J. Prakash and K.R Bandhary). Oxford and IBH Publication Co. Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi, pp. 269–271.
- Balaram, M., and Janakiram, T. 2009. Correlation and path coefficient analysis in gladiolus. *J. Orna. Hort*, 12 (1): 22-29.
- Goldblatt, P.J., Manning C, Bernhardt P. 2001. Radiation of pollination systems in Gladiolus (Iridaceae: Crocoideae) in solution Africa. *Annals of the Missouri Botanic Garden*, 88: 713-734
- John, A.Q., Bichoo, G.A. and Wani, S.A. 2002. Correlation studies in gladiolus. *J. Ornamental Hort. New Series*, 5(1): 25-29.
- Kumar, R., S. Kumar and Y.C. Yadav. 2012. Genetic variability, heritability, genetic advance, correlation coefficient and path analysis in gladiolus. *Indian J. Hort.*, 69(3): 369-373
- Manjunatha, V. Hegde, Rajendra Passannavar and Patil A.A. 1997. Correlation studies in Gladiolus. *Adv. Agric Res. India VIII* 41-44.
- Misra, R.L., and Saini, S.C. 1990. Correlation and Path coefficient studies in Gladiolus. *Indian J. Hort.*, 47 (1): 121-132.
- Nazir, M., and Dwivedi, V.K. 2003. Correlation Studies in Gladiolus over different environments. *J. Orna. Horticulture*, 280-284.
- Neeraj Mishra, H.P., and Jha, P.B. 2001. Correlation and Path coefficient analysis in gladiolus. *J. Orna. Hort. New series*. 4 (2): 74-78.
- Pandey, R.K., D. Sheetal. P.S Pal, J. Shivani and D.J. Bhat 2010. Performance of gladiolus cultivars under Jammu conditions. *J. Res.*, 9(2): 210-2014.
- Panse, V.G., 1957. Genetics of quantitative characters in relation to plant breeding. *Indian J. Genet.*, 17: 318-328
- Rahul Kumar Sanjay Kumar Yadav and Y. C (2012). Genetic variability, heritability, genetic advance, correlation coefficient and path analysis in gladiolus. *Indian J. Hort.*, 69(3): 369-373.
- Rashmi, and Sanjay Kumar, 2014. Estimation of genetic variability, correlation and path analysis in gladiolus (*Gladiolus species L.*). *International Journal of Plant Sciences (Muzaffarnagar)*, 9(1): 186-189.
- Singh, B., and Singh, M. 1983. Correlation and path-coefficient studies in gladiolus. In: *International Congress of genetics, New Delhi*, p. 616

How to cite this article:

Pushpendra Verty, V.M. Prasad, J.P. Collis and Manoj Nazir. 2017. Correlation Analysis in Gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandiflorus* L.). *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci*. 6(9): 743-749.
doi: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2017.609.091>