

Original Research Article

<https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2017.612.216>

Seasonal Variation of Bio-physical Parameters to Elevated Carbon Dioxide and Temperature Regimes in Maize Genotypes

K. Adishesha^{1*}, B.S. Janagoudar² and A. Amaregouda¹

¹Department of Crop Physiology, University of Agricultural Sciences,
Raichur-584104, Karnataka, India

²Department of Crop Physiology, University of Agricultural Sciences,
Dharwad-580005, Karnataka, India

*Corresponding author

ABSTRACT

Keywords

Elevated CO₂ and Temperature, Bio-physical parameters, Maize genotypes etc.

Article Info

Accepted:

15 October 2017

Available Online:

10 December 2017

Increasing atmospheric CO₂ concentration has led to concerns about global changes to the environment. One area of global change that has not been fully addressed is the effect of elevated atmospheric CO₂ and temperature regimes on agriculture production inputs. Maize (*Zea mays L.*) is the most important grain crop is produced throughout the country under diverse environments. A study was conducted to evaluate the seasonal comparison (summer and Kharif 2015) of bio-physical parameters (photosynthetic rate, transpiration rate, stomatal conductance and NDVI) to elevated carbon dioxide and temperature regimes raised in open top chamber with different concentrations of CO₂ levels (normal CO₂, 550ppm,) with different temperatures regimes (+2°C). Among the genotypes NK 6240, HTMR-1 and 900 M-GOLD genotype recorded maximum transpiration rate and stomatal conductance whereas, the genotypes HTMR-2 and ARJUN had least transpiration rate and stomatal conductance. The results showed that maize genotypes grown in kharif season performed better compared to summer season with respect to bio-physical parameters.

Introduction

Under the present global scenario of CO₂ increase (IPCC 1996), it has become pertinent for researchers all over the world to find solutions for future. Firstly, research can help to identify the crops which respond to the above situation and those which do not. Secondly, among the crops which respond, those with relatively a higher magnitude are to be identified initially to address the food and feed self-sufficiency followed by the soil improvement. Various reviews on the response of different crops revealed that an increase in CO₂ has a positive effect on the

plant biomass. Kimball (1986) obtained an average increase of 21% in biomass in response to elevated CO₂ when he analyzed 94 observations of different plants. Cure (1985) and Cure and Acock (1986) reported that sorghum showed a stimulation of 5% increase in biomass with elevated CO₂ levels (scaled to 550 μmol/mol). Venkateshwar Rao (1999) observed that in groundnut cv. TMV-2 biomass production was 29% higher in elevated CO₂ (660 ppm) than in ambient CO₂. In sunflower the growth was affected at elevated CO₂ by increasing net CO₂

assimilation rate (Tezara *et al.*, 2002). It was observed that with elevated levels of CO₂ (using the FACE technology) there was a greater stimulation of belowground than aboveground biomass (Kimball *et al.*, 2002). Under ample water and nutrients the root growth of C₃ grasses was stimulated by about 47% as compared with then 12% of shoots whereas in clover (C₃ legume) the root growth stimulation (25%) was nearly same as that of shoots (24%).

Plants are directly affected by rising atmospheric CO₂ concentration because it is first the molecular link from atmosphere to biosphere. It serves as substrate to photosynthetic carbon assimilation. There is concomitant decline in photorespiration process and alteration in stomatal activity, C₃ crops. Response to elevated CO₂ may be due to reduced oxygenase activity of RuBP carboxylase oxygenase enzyme in plants. The elevated CO₂ induced photosynthesis; competitive inhibition of the oxygenase activity of rubisco, and acceleration of carboxylation because the CO₂ binding site is not saturated at the current CO₂, and therefore, C₄ plants showed little or no photosynthetic response to elevated CO₂.

This is because C₄ pathway is not competitively inhibited by O₂ and completely CO₂ saturated. Plants with C₄ photosynthetic pathway showed negligible photosynthetic response to elevated CO₂ because the C₄ cycle increased the CO₂ concentration in bundle sheath cells to the point where very little photorespiration occurs and Calvin cycle is nearly saturated with CO₂

However, there is no consensus on the quantitative effects of increased CO₂ on plant processes and growth because of differences in response at different stages of growth, species of crops and growth limiting environmental factors.

Materials and Methods

An investigation was carried out to study the response of maize genotypes to elevated carbon dioxide and temperature regimes under Open Top Chamber (OTC's) at Main Agricultural Research Station (MARS), University of Agricultural Sciences, Raichur, Karnataka during *summer* and *kharif* season 2014-15. Five maize genotypes (HTMR-1, HTMR-2, ARJUN, 900M Gold, NK 6240) were sown in each OTC and in reference plot with controlled conditions with a spacing of 60 cm x20 cm.

Five plants were raised for each genotypes, therefore total 25 plants were raised in each open top chambers. For each genotype all the agronomic practices for raising the crop were practiced as per the package of practices of the University of Agricultural Sciences, Raichur. The following traits were recorded under elevated CO₂ and temperature regimes. Normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI), leaf temperature, photosynthetic rate, stomatal conductance, transpiration rate, cob length, and number of rows per cob, number of seeds per cob and grain yield per plant.

The temperature and CO₂ treatments were randomly allocated in each of the five growth chambers as follows:

T₁: Reference open top chamber (390 ppm CO₂)

T₂: Ambient CO₂ @390 ± 25ppm with 2°C rise in temperature

T₃: Elevated CO₂ @ 550 ± 25ppm with normal temperature

T₄: Elevated CO₂ @ 550 ± 25ppm with 2°C rise in temperature

T₅: Reference plot (Open field)

Results and Discussion

The results indicated that significant difference was observed among the genotypes and treatments in both the season. Photosynthetic rate was maximum at 60 DAS in all the treatments. Photosynthetic rate was maximum between 30 to 60 DAS and later on it is declined. Irrespective of the treatments, mean of all the genotypes showed that e-CO₂ treatment had recorded maximum photosynthetic rate followed by, e-CO₂+ e – temp, a- CO₂ except 30 and 90 DAS, and reference plot except 90 DAS and the least photosynthetic rate was noticed a-CO₂+ e – temp treatment. However photosynthetic rate decreased from 60 DAS to 90 DAS. During summer season the highest photosynthetic rate was recorded in HTMR-2 (25.95 $\mu\text{molCO}_2\text{m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$) in e-CO₂ treatment which was followed by HTMR-1(25.73 $\mu\text{molCO}_2\text{m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$), NK 6240 (25.08 $\mu\text{molCO}_2\text{m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$) in same treatment. The least photosynthetic rate was noticed in 900M-GOLD (17.35 $\mu\text{molCO}_2\text{m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$) genotype in reference plot treatment. Whereas kharif season higher photosynthetic rate was observed in HTMR-1 (29.68 $\mu\text{molCO}_2\text{m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$) in e-CO₂ treatment, which was on par with HTMR-2 (28.5 $\mu\text{molCO}_2\text{m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$), NK 6240 (27.93 $\mu\text{molCO}_2\text{m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$) in same treatment.

But differ significantly at a-CO₂ (24.15 $\mu\text{mol CO}_2\text{m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$), a-CO₂+ e –temp (20.45 $\mu\text{mol CO}_2\text{m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$), e-CO₂+ e –temp (27.30 $\mu\text{mol CO}_2\text{m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$) and reference plot (25.48 $\mu\text{mol CO}_2\text{m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$). The least photosynthetic rate was recorded in HTMR-2 (19.75 $\mu\text{molCO}_2\text{m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$) genotype in a-CO₂+ e –temp. The maize genotypes grown during kharif season assimilation rate significantly increased in all the genotypes when CO₂ was increased such increase in assimilation rates was due to increase in intercellular CO₂ concentration, which clearly suggests that the chloroplast is substrate limited. Considerable amount of information is available to suggest that the

assimilation rate increases substantially when the plants were exposed to increasing CO₂ concentration. A decrease in Photosynthetic rate in plants grown under higher CO₂ concentration and measured at ambient a level of CO₂ concentration has been reported in many crops. An absolute reduction in assimilation rates in plants exposed to elevated CO₂ for a long period may be caused by the following factors. 1. End product inhibition- accumulation of starch and sucrose 2. Limitation due to pi recycling 3. Decrease in content and activation of RuBisCo.

At summer season 90 DAS, maximum transpiration rate was noticed in NK 6240 (4.42 m mol of H₂O m⁻² s⁻¹) in a-CO₂+ e –temp treatment, which was followed by HTMR-1 (4.03 m mol of H₂O m⁻² s⁻¹), HTMR-2 (4.10 m mol of H₂O m⁻² s⁻¹) in same treatment. At this stage significant difference was observed among the treatments, genotypes and also interaction effect. But differ significantly at a-CO₂ (4.03 m mol of H₂O m⁻² s⁻¹), e-CO₂+ e –temp (2.79 m mol of H₂O m⁻² s⁻¹), e-CO₂ (3.47 m mol of H₂O m⁻² s⁻¹) and reference plot (3.26 m mol of H₂O m⁻² s⁻¹). The least transpiration rate was recorded in ARJUN (2.50 m mol of H₂O m⁻² s⁻¹) genotype in reference plot treatment. But during kharif season Maximum transpiration rate was noticed in NK 6240 (4.42 m mol of H₂O m⁻² s⁻¹) in a-CO₂+ e –temp treatment. But differ significantly at a-CO₂ (2.02 m mol of H₂O m⁻² s⁻¹), e-CO₂+ e –temp (2.51 m mol of H₂O m⁻² s⁻¹), e-CO₂ (1.92 m mol of H₂O m⁻² s⁻¹) and reference plot (2.14 m mol of H₂O m⁻² s⁻¹). The least transpiration rate was recorded in ARJUN (1.46 m mol of H₂O m⁻² s⁻¹) genotype in e-CO₂ treatment. At 90 DAS, maximum stomatal conductance was recorded in 900M-GOLD (0.224 mmol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹) in a-CO₂+ e –temp, which was on par with ARJUN (0.197 mmol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹), HTMR-2 (0.217 mmol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹). HTMR-1 (0.200 mmol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹) in same treatment and also HTMR-1(0.202 mmol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹) in reference plot treatment.

Table.1 Effect of elevated CO₂ and temperature regimes on photosynthetic rate (μmolCO₂m⁻²s⁻¹) during summer season

Treatment	Photosynthetic rate (μmolCO ₂ m ⁻² s ⁻¹)																	
	30 DAS						60 DAS						90 DAS					
	HTMR-1	HTMR-2	ARJUN	900 M GOLD	NK 6240	Mean	HTMR-1	HTMR-2	ARJUN	900 M GOLD	NK 6240	Mean	HTMR-1	HTMR-2	ARJUN	900 M GOLD	NK 6240	Mean
T ₁	29.90	28.83	25.80	26.03	28.25	27.76	43.30	42.75	41.10	42.05	41.73	42.19	20.30	19.38	19.93	18.03	19.68	19.46
T ₂	27.83	28.18	26.40	26.30	27.95	27.33	41.43	40.48	38.63	39.38	40.25	40.03	20.28	20.58	18.73	20.55	20.80	20.19
T ₃	35.00	33.98	33.03	31.18	33.63	33.36	50.45	52.18	48.28	47.70	49.75	49.67	25.73	25.95	23.33	22.58	25.08	24.53
T ₄	33.55	33.63	32.13	32.33	34.63	33.25	48.65	46.23	45.45	44.88	49.23	46.89	24.63	25.28	24.23	23.60	23.53	24.25
T ₅	31.35	30.05	30.03	29.98	31.30	30.54	39.78	41.63	40.60	39.78	41.15	40.59	20.30	20.70	21.30	17.35	20.35	20.00
Mean	31.53	30.93	29.48	29.16	31.15		44.72	44.65	42.81	42.76	44.42		22.25	22.38	21.50	20.42	21.89	
	S.Em±			CD @ 1%			S.Em±			CD @ 1%			S.Em±			CD @ 1%		
A	0.270			1.007			0.428			1.598			0.283			1.059		
B	0.270			1.007			0.428			1.598			0.283			1.059		
A X B	0.603			NS			0.956			NS			0.634			NS		

T₁ = Ambient CO₂ (390 ppm)

T₃ = Elevated CO₂ (550 ppm) with normal temperature

T₅ = Reference plot (open field)

T₂ = 390 ppm CO₂+ 2⁰ C in temperature

T₄ = 550 ppm CO₂+ 2⁰ C in temperature

A= Treatments

B=Genotypes

Table.2 Effect of elevated CO₂ and temperature regimes on photosynthetic rate (μmolCO₂m⁻²s⁻¹) during *kharif* season

Treatment	Photosynthetic rate (μmolCO ₂ m ⁻² s ⁻¹)																	
	30 DAS						60 DAS						90 DAS					
	HTMR-1	HTMR-2	ARJUN	900 M GOLD	NK 6240	Mean	HTMR-1	HTMR-2	ARJUN	900 M GOLD	NK 6240	Mean	HTMR-1	HTMR-2	ARJUN	900 M GOLD	NK 6240	Mean
T ₁	31.75	30.33	30.35	30.20	31.85	30.90	45.35	43.38	38.25	40.20	43.03	42.04	24.15	25.63	24.20	26.33	25.98	25.26
T ₂	28.90	28.55	26.80	27.23	28.55	28.01	42.38	41.60	41.68	40.33	41.53	41.50	20.45	19.73	20.90	21.35	21.93	20.87
T ₃	35.25	34.15	34.05	32.65	33.83	33.99	51.10	52.55	49.48	48.20	51.28	50.52	29.68	28.50	27.13	26.08	27.93	27.86
T ₄	33.78	34.00	32.73	33.05	34.80	33.67	49.65	47.78	46.70	47.18	51.70	48.60	27.30	25.35	24.73	24.75	26.23	25.67
T ₅	30.23	29.25	26.15	26.73	28.40	28.15	41.55	39.78	38.18	43.68	44.13	41.46	25.48	25.73	22.10	22.23	25.30	24.17
Mean	31.98	31.26	30.02	29.97	31.49		46.01	45.02	42.86	43.92	46.33		25.41	24.99	23.81	24.15	25.47	
	S.Em±			CD @ 1%			S.Em±			CD @ 1%			S.Em±			CD @ 1%		
A	0.255			0.953			0.406			1.516			0.246			0.919		
B	0.255			0.953			0.406			1.516			0.246			0.919		
A X B	0.570			NS			0.907			3.390			0.550			2.056		

T₁ = Ambient CO₂ (390 ppm)

T₃ = Elevated CO₂ (550 ppm) with normal temperature

T₅ = Reference plot (open field)

T₂ = 390 ppm CO₂+ 2⁰ C in temperature

T₄ = 550 ppm CO₂+ 2⁰ C in temperature

A= Treatments

B=Genotypes

Table.3 Effect of elevated CO₂ and temperature regimes on transpiration rate (m mol of H₂O m⁻²s⁻¹) during summer season

Treatment	Transpiration rate (m mol of H ₂ O m ⁻² s ⁻¹)																	
	30 DAS						60 DAS						90 DAS					
	HTMR-1	HTMR-2	ARJUN	900 M GOLD	NK 6240	Mean	HTMR-1	HTMR-2	ARJUN	900 M GOLD	NK 6240	Mean	HTMR-1	HTMR-2	ARJUN	900 M GOLD	NK 6240	Mean
T ₁	1.87	1.36	1.48	2.01	2.15	1.77	2.33	2.30	2.45	3.15	2.28	2.50	3.86	3.36	3.44	3.99	4.03	3.74
T ₂	2.26	2.05	2.46	1.79	2.78	2.27	3.01	3.06	2.56	2.68	3.56	2.97	4.03	4.10	3.52	3.78	4.42	3.97
T ₃	1.31	1.03	0.60	1.10	1.43	1.09	2.36	2.07	1.70	2.22	2.41	2.15	3.36	2.84	2.75	2.88	3.47	3.06
T ₄	1.67	0.81	0.75	2.16	2.04	1.49	2.34	1.84	1.78	2.88	2.79	2.33	3.37	3.06	2.63	3.30	2.79	3.03
T ₅	1.24	1.38	0.63	1.20	1.28	1.14	2.86	2.33	1.52	2.23	3.11	2.41	3.33	3.28	2.50	3.29	3.26	3.13
Mean	1.67	1.33	1.18	1.65	1.93		2.58	2.32	2.00	2.63	2.83		3.59	3.33	2.97	3.45	3.59	
	S.Em±			CD @ 1%			S.Em±			CD @ 1%			S.Em±			CD @ 1%		
A	0.068			0.254			0.033			0.123			0.016			0.061		
B	0.068			0.254			0.033			0.123			0.016			0.061		
A X B	0.152			0.569			0.073			0.274			0.037			0.137		

T₁ = Ambient CO₂ (390 ppm)

T₃ = Elevated CO₂ (550 ppm) with normal temperature

T₅ = Reference plot (open field)

T₂ = 390 ppm CO₂+ 2⁰ C in temperature

T₄ = 550 ppm CO₂+ 2⁰ C in temperature

A= Treatments

B=Genotypes

Table.4 Effect of elevated CO₂ and temperature regimes on transpiration rate (m mol of H₂O m⁻²s⁻¹) during *kharif* season

Treatment	Transpiration rate(m mol of H ₂ O m ⁻² s ⁻¹)																	
	30 DAS						60 DAS						90 DAS					
	HTMR-1	HTMR-2	ARJUN	900 M GOLD	NK 6240	Mean	HTMR-1	HTMR-2	ARJUN	900 M GOLD	NK 6240	Mean	HTMR-1	HTMR-2	ARJUN	900 M GOLD	NK 6240	Mean
T ₁	1.09	1.19	0.49	1.04	1.12	0.98	1.79	1.69	1.45	1.71	1.69	1.66	1.90	1.78	1.60	1.90	2.02	1.84
T ₂	2.00	1.78	1.83	1.51	2.33	1.89	2.08	2.12	2.05	2.11	2.66	2.20	2.21	2.36	2.11	2.27	2.91	2.37
T ₃	1.23	0.97	0.55	0.96	1.08	0.96	1.72	1.42	1.26	1.33	1.59	1.46	1.84	1.73	1.46	1.75	1.92	1.74
T ₄	1.74	1.11	1.30	1.66	1.95	1.55	1.97	1.93	1.93	2.18	2.26	2.05	2.07	2.25	2.01	2.12	2.51	2.19
T ₅	1.41	0.70	0.66	1.79	1.93	1.30	1.70	1.47	1.33	1.99	2.08	1.71	1.93	1.75	1.70	2.11	2.14	1.92
Mean	1.49	1.15	0.96	1.39	1.68		1.85	1.73	1.60	1.86	2.05		1.99	1.97	1.77	2.03	2.30	
	S.Em±			CD @ 1%			S.Em±			CD @ 1%			S.Em±			CD @ 1%		
A	0.069			0.257			0.030			0.112			0.020			0.074		
B	0.069			0.257			0.030			0.112			0.020			0.074		
A X B	0.154			0.574			0.067			0.249			0.044			0.165		

T₁ = Ambient CO₂ (390 ppm)

T₃ = Elevated CO₂ (550 ppm) with normal temperature

T₅ = Reference plot (open field)

T₂ = 390 ppm CO₂+ 2⁰ C in temperature

T₄ = 550 ppm CO₂+ 2⁰ C in temperature

A= Treatments

B=Genotypes

Table.5 Effect of elevated CO₂ and temperature regimes on stomatal conductance (mmol CO₂ m⁻²s⁻¹) during summer season

Treatment	Stomatal conductance (μmol CO ₂ m ⁻² s ⁻¹)																	
	30 DAS						60 DAS						90 DAS					
	HTMR-1	HTMR-2	ARJUN	900 M GOLD	NK 6240	Mean	HTMR-1	HTMR-2	ARJUN	900 M GOLD	NK 6240	Mean	HTMR-1	HTMR-2	ARJUN	900 M GOLD	NK 6240	Mean
T ₁	0.276	0.268	0.259	0.247	0.292	0.268	0.348	0.357	0.362	0.318	0.377	0.352	0.157	0.158	0.165	0.180	0.201	0.172
T ₂	0.299	0.276	0.285	0.278	0.269	0.281	0.393	0.372	0.363	0.371	0.361	0.372	0.200	0.217	0.197	0.224	0.170	0.201
T ₃	0.233	0.232	0.224	0.246	0.231	0.233	0.317	0.308	0.329	0.319	0.312	0.317	0.118	0.118	0.134	0.128	0.133	0.126
T ₄	0.248	0.239	0.230	0.241	0.251	0.242	0.357	0.354	0.337	0.338	0.391	0.355	0.148	0.131	0.135	0.163	0.177	0.151
T ₅	0.399	0.361	0.388	0.356	0.349	0.370	0.423	0.425	0.427	0.431	0.395	0.420	0.202	0.182	0.163	0.174	0.195	0.183
Mean	0.294	0.275	0.277	0.273	0.278		0.367	0.363	0.363	0.355	0.367		0.165	0.161	0.158	0.173	0.175	
	S.Em±			CD @ 1%			S.Em±			CD @ 1%			S.Em±			CD @ 1%		
A	0.005			0.019			0.007			0.027			0.005			0.018		
B	0.005			NS			0.007			NS			0.005			NS		
A X B	0.011			NS			0.016			NS			0.011			0.041		

T₁ = Ambient CO₂ (390 ppm)

T₃ = Elevated CO₂ (550 ppm) with normal temperature

T₅ = Reference plot (open field)

T₂ = 390 ppm CO₂+ 2⁰ C in temperature

T₄ = 550 ppm CO₂+ 2⁰ C in temperature

A= Treatments

B=Genotypes

Table.6 Effect of elevated CO₂ and temperature regimes on stomatal conductance (mmol CO₂ m⁻²s⁻¹) during *kharif* season

Treatment	Stomatal conductance (mmol CO ₂ m ⁻² s ⁻¹)																	
	30 DAS						60 DAS						90 DAS					
	HTMR-1	HTMR-2	ARJUN	900 M GOLD	NK 6240	Mean	HTMR-1	HTMR-2	ARJUN	900 M GOLD	NK 6240	Mean	HTMR-1	HTMR-2	ARJUN	900 M GOLD	NK 6240	Mean
T ₁	0.278	0.259	0.263	0.250	0.289	0.268	0.370	0.360	0.352	0.348	0.376	0.361	0.167	0.156	0.154	0.147	0.179	0.160
T ₂	0.299	0.275	0.287	0.280	0.321	0.292	0.394	0.355	0.402	0.365	0.412	0.386	0.191	0.155	0.175	0.165	0.210	0.179
T ₃	0.235	0.237	0.226	0.243	0.233	0.235	0.328	0.339	0.309	0.332	0.315	0.325	0.128	0.139	0.109	0.131	0.116	0.125
T ₄	0.251	0.244	0.231	0.245	0.249	0.244	0.336	0.331	0.321	0.331	0.354	0.335	0.132	0.130	0.123	0.133	0.154	0.134
T ₅	0.425	0.363	0.382	0.350	0.340	0.372	0.479	0.446	0.449	0.440	0.415	0.446	0.276	0.244	0.249	0.236	0.216	0.244
Mean	0.297	0.275	0.277	0.273	0.286		0.381	0.366	0.366	0.363	0.374		0.181	0.164	0.162	0.162	0.175	
	S.Em±			CD @ 1%			S.Em±			CD @ 1%			S.Em±			CD @ 1%		
A	0.002			0.008			0.004			0.016			0.005			0.018		
B	0.002			0.008			0.004			NS			0.005			NS		
A X B	0.005			0.018			0.009			0.035			0.011			0.041		

T₁ = Ambient CO₂ (390 ppm)

T₃ = Elevated CO₂ (550 ppm) with normal temperature

T₅ = Reference plot (open field)

T₂ = 390 ppm CO₂+ 2⁰ C in temperature

T₄ = 550 ppm CO₂+ 2⁰ C in temperature

A= Treatments

B=Genotypes

Table.7 Effect of elevated CO₂ and temperature regimes on NDVI during summer season

Treatment	NDVI																	
	30 DAS						60 DAS						90 DAS					
	HTMR-1	HTMR-2	ARJUN	900 M GOLD	NK 6240	Mean	HTMR-1	HTMR-2	ARJUN	900 M GOLD	NK 6240	Mean	HTMR-1	HTMR-2	ARJUN	900 M GOLD	NK 6240	Mean
T ₁	0.57	0.63	0.57	0.69	0.59	0.61	0.61	0.63	0.63	0.71	0.62	0.63	0.56	0.39	0.46	0.47	0.51	0.47
T ₂	0.71	0.65	0.61	0.65	0.64	0.65	0.74	0.72	0.64	0.68	0.67	0.69	0.43	0.37	0.30	0.38	0.36	0.37
T ₃	0.66	0.73	0.56	0.57	0.65	0.63	0.74	0.76	0.71	0.61	0.67	0.70	0.67	0.66	0.61	0.63	0.57	0.63
T ₄	0.67	0.70	0.72	0.67	0.74	0.70	0.72	0.73	0.77	0.72	0.77	0.74	0.49	0.61	0.49	0.42	0.54	0.51
T ₅	0.54	0.69	0.49	0.51	0.47	0.54	0.71	0.73	0.55	0.64	0.59	0.64	0.60	0.52	0.43	0.61	0.54	0.54
Mean	0.63	0.68	0.59	0.62	0.62		0.70	0.71	0.66	0.67	0.66		0.55	0.51	0.46	0.50	0.50	
	S.Em±			CD @ 1%			S.Em±			CD @ 1%			S.Em±			CD @ 1%		
A	0.012			0.045			0.008			0.028			0.011			0.043		
B	0.012			0.045			0.008			0.028			0.011			0.043		
A X B	0.027			0.101			0.017			0.063			0.025			0.095		

T₁ = Ambient CO₂ (390 ppm)

T₃ = Elevated CO₂ (550 ppm) with normal temperature

T₅ = Reference plot (open field)

T₂ = 390 ppm CO₂+ 2⁰ C in temperature

T₄ = 550 ppm CO₂+ 2⁰ C in temperature

A= Treatments

B=Genotypes

Table.8 Effect of elevated CO₂ and temperature regimes on NDVI during *kharif* season

Treatment	NDVI																	
	30 DAS						60 DAS						90 DAS					
	HTMR-1	HTMR-2	ARJUN	900 M GOLD	NK 6240	Mean	HTMR-1	HTMR-2	ARJUN	900 M GOLD	NK 6240	Mean	HTMR-1	HTMR-2	ARJUN	900 M GOLD	NK 6240	Mean
T ₁	0.58	0.50	0.46	0.55	0.60	0.54	0.66	0.69	0.59	0.62	0.70	0.65	0.45	0.57	0.48	0.57	0.53	0.52
T ₂	0.51	0.69	0.57	0.60	0.51	0.58	0.74	0.76	0.66	0.71	0.73	0.72	0.69	0.40	0.55	0.40	0.50	0.51
T ₃	0.74	0.69	0.68	0.73	0.66	0.70	0.72	0.74	0.74	0.77	0.69	0.73	0.55	0.73	0.71	0.74	0.60	0.66
T ₄	0.71	0.74	0.72	0.66	0.61	0.69	0.74	0.76	0.73	0.75	0.69	0.73	0.72	0.71	0.65	0.72	0.66	0.69
T ₅	0.43	0.66	0.49	0.51	0.53	0.52	0.63	0.74	0.70	0.68	0.66	0.68	0.55	0.52	0.64	0.63	0.62	0.59
Mean	0.60	0.66	0.58	0.61	0.58		0.70	0.74	0.69	0.71	0.69		0.59	0.59	0.61	0.61	0.58	
	S.Em±			CD @ 1%			S.Em±			CD @ 1%			S.Em±			CD @ 1%		
A	0.011			0.040			0.010			0.036			0.012			0.045		
B	0.011			0.040			0.010			0.036			0.012			NS		
A X B	0.024			0.090			0.022			0.081			0.027			0.101		

T₁ = Ambient CO₂ (390 ppm)

T₃ = Elevated CO₂ (550 ppm) with normal temperature

T₅ = Reference plot (open field)

T₂ = 390 ppm CO₂+ 2⁰ C in temperature

T₄ = 550 ppm CO₂+ 2⁰ C in temperature

A= Treatments

B=Genotypes

The least stomatal conductance was recorded in HTMR-1 and HTMR-2 ($0.118 \text{ mmol CO}_2\text{m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$) genotypes in e-CO₂ treatment. whereas in kharif season At 90 DAS, the highest stomatal conductance was noticed in HTMR-1 ($0.276 \text{ mmol CO}_2\text{m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$) in reference plot, which was on par with HTMR-2 ($0.244 \text{ mmol CO}_2\text{m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$), ARJUN ($0.249 \text{ mmol CO}_2\text{m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$), 900M-GOLD ($0.236 \text{ mmol CO}_2\text{m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$) in same treatment but differ significantly at e-CO₂+ e-temp ($0.132 \text{ mmol CO}_2\text{m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$), a-CO₂ ($0.167 \text{ mmol CO}_2\text{m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$), a-CO₂+ e-temp ($0.191 \text{ mmol CO}_2\text{m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$) genotype in e-CO₂ treatment.

Among the treatments a-CO₂+ e-temp treatment had recorded maximum transpiration rate and stomatal conductance followed by e-CO₂+ e-temp, a-CO₂, reference plot, and the least transpiration rate and stomatal conductance was noticed e-CO₂ treatment. Among the genotypes NK 6240, HTMR-1 and 900M-GOLD genotype recorded maximum transpiration rate and stomatal conductance whereas the genotypes HTMR-2 and ARJUN had least transpiration rate and stomatal conductance.

Under elevated CO₂ condition transpiration rate and stomatal conductance was lowered mainly due to decrease in the water vapour pressure of the air inside the plant stand (Kocsis, 2007) and due to stomatal closure, and abundant carbon-dioxide concentration raised the intensity of photosynthesis. Elevated CO₂ reduce transpiration by partially closing the stomata and decreasing stomatal conductance. Similar results were obtained by Leakey *et al.*, (2004) and found that growth at elevated CO₂ significantly increased leaf photosynthetic rate by up to 41 per cent and also stomatal conductance is lowered by 23% under elevated CO₂ compared to ambient condition in maize. This was supported by no of authors (Stanciel *et al.*, 2000; Vu 2005 and Rogers *et al.*, 2004).

Irrespective of the genotypes, mean of all the genotypes showed the highest NDVI in e-CO₂+ e-temp treatment, followed by e-CO₂,

reference plot, and a-CO₂ and the least NDVI was observed in a-CO₂+ e-temp. Irrespective of the treatments, the genotype HTMR-2, HTMR-1, 900M-GOLD recorded maximum NDVI and the least NDVI was noticed in NK 6240 and ARJUN genotype. This is due to every degree increase in day temperature above 30°C would decrease yield by 1 % in optimum conditions and 1.7% in drought conditions (Lobell *et al.*, (2011) and also Rowhani *et al.*, (2011) reported that for every 2°C increase in temperature reduced the maize yields by 13%. So under elevated temperature grain yield was decreased. Higher temperature decrease the plant biomass and yield by decreasing photosynthesis and increasing transpiration and stomatal conductance (Nobel 2005) Also, plants mitigate overheating by leaf rolling and drooping and vertical leaf orientation (Larcher 2003; Nobel 2005) or by transient wilting (Chiariello *et al.*, 1987 and Nobel, 2005). Such adaptive mechanisms likely reduce leaf exposure to incident light and in turn, may lead to decreased photosynthesis.

The exposure of the crop elevated CO₂ and temperature regime resulted in the significant decrease in the photosynthetic rates. The minimum reduction was observed in HTMR-1, HTMR-2 and NK 6240 and the maximum in ARJUN and 900M-GOLD. Among the genotypes NK 6240, HTMR-1 and 900 M-GOLD genotype recorded maximum transpiration rate and stomatal conductance whereas, the genotypes HTMR-2 and ARJUN had least transpiration rate and stomatal conductance. Among five maize genotypes studied the good response to NDVI was observed in HTMR-2, HTMR-1 and 900M-GOLD whereas, poor response to NDVI was observed in ARJUN and NK 6240 genotypes. The results showed that maize genotypes grown in kharif season was performed better compared to summer season with respect to bio-physical parameters.

References

Chiariello, N. R., Field, C. B. and Mooney, H.

- A., 1987, Midday wilting in a tropical pioneer tree. *Func Ecol* 1:3–11.
- Cure, J. D. 1985. Carbon Dioxide Doubling Responses: a Crop Survey. In: Strain B.R., Cure J.D. (eds.): Direct Effects of Increasing Carbon Dioxide on Vegetation, DOE/ER-0238. United States Department of Energy, Washington, DC: 99–116.
- Cure, J. D., Acock, B., 1986. Crop responses to carbon dioxide doubling: a literature survey. *Agric. Meteorol.*, 38: 127–145.
- IPCC, 1996. Climate change 1995: Summary for policy makers and technical summary of the working group I. In: Houghton J.T., Meria Filho L.G., Callander B.A., Harris N., Kattenburg A., Maskell K. (eds): Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge Univ.Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Kimball, B. A., 1986. Ecology of crops in changing CO₂ concentration. *J. Agric. Meteorol.*, 48: 559–566.
- Kimball, B. A., Kobayashi, K., Bindi, M., 2002. Responses of agricultural crops to free-air CO₂ enrichment. *Adv. Agron.*, 77: 293–368.
- Kocsis, A. T., 2007. Impact of atmospheric CO₂ enrichment on some elements of microclimate and physiology of locally grown maize. *Appl. Ecol. Environ. Res.*, 6(1): 85-94.
- Larcher, W., 2003. Physiological plant ecology. 4th ed. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg.
- Leakey, A. D. B., Bernacchi, C. J., Dohleman, F. G., Ort, D. R. and Long, S. P., 2004. Will photosynthesis of maize (*Zea mays*) in the US Corn Belt increase in future rich atmosphere. *Global Change Biology*, 10: 951-962.
- Lobell, D. B., Banziger, M., Magorokosho, C. and Vivek, B., 2011. Nonlinear heat effects on African maize as evidenced by historical yield trials. *Nature Clim. Change.*, 1: 42-45.
- Rogers, A., Allen, D. G., Davey, P. A., Morgan, P. A., Ainsworth, E. A., Bernacchi, C. J., Cornic, G., Dermody, O., Dohleman, F. G., Mahoney, J., Zhu, X. G. and Long, S. P., 2004. Leaf photosynthesis and carbohydrate dynamics of soybean grown throughout their life-cycle under Free-Air carbon dioxide Enrichment. *Plant, Cell and Environ.*, 27: 449-458.
- Rowhani, P., Lobell, B., Linderman, M. and Ramankutty, N., 2011. Climate variability and crop production in Tanzania. *Agric and Forest Meteorology.*, 151: 449–460.
- Stancial, K., Mortley, D. G., Hileman, D. R., Loretan, P. A., Bonsi, C. K. and Hill, W.A., 2000, Growth, pod and seed yield, gas exchange of hydroponically grown peanut in response to CO₂ enrichment. *Hort Science*, 35: 42-52.
- Tezara, W., Mitchell V., Driscoll S.P., Lawlor D.W., 2002. Effect of water deficit and its interaction with CO₂ supply on the biochemistry and physiology of photosynthesis in sunflower. *J. Exp. Bot.*, 53: 1781–1791.
- Venkteshwar Rao, K., 1999. The combined effect of elevated CO₂ levels and temperature on growth characteristics of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). *Indian J. Plant Physiol.*, 4: 297–301.
- Vu, J. C. V., 2005. Acclimation of peanut leaf photosynthesis to elevated growth CO₂ and temperature. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, 53: 85-95.

How to cite this article:

Adishesha K., B.S. Janagoudar and Amaregouda, A. 2017. Seasonal Variation of Bio-physical Parameters to Elevated Carbon Dioxide and Temperature Regimes in Maize Genotypes. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci*. 6(12): 1900-1908. doi: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2017.612.216>