

Original Research Article

<https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2024.1309.019>

## Influence of Biofertilizers on Flowering, Quality and Yield of Gerbera (*Gerbera jamesonii* Bolus) in Open Field Condition

Nilasree Borah \*

Department of Horticulture, Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat-785013, India

\*Corresponding author

### ABSTRACT

An field experiment was undertaken at the Experimental Farm, Department of Horticulture, Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat during the period of 2015-2016 and 2016-2017. The experiment was laid out with 9 treatments in Randomized Block Design(RBD) and replicated 3 times. The Treatments comprised of T<sub>0</sub> (Control), T<sub>1</sub> {*Bacillus subtilis* (4% solution)}, T<sub>2</sub> {*Microbacterium laevaniformans* (4% solution)}, T<sub>3</sub> NPK (@15:10:20 g m<sup>-2</sup>), T<sub>4</sub> Vermicompost (5 kg per plot), T<sub>5</sub> (½ NPK + ½ Vermicompost + *Bacillus subtilis*), T<sub>6</sub> (½ NPK + ½ Vermicompost + *Microbacterium laevaniformans*), T<sub>7</sub> (½ NPK + ½ Vermicompost + Consortium) and T<sub>8</sub> (Consortium). The results revealed that the flowering, quality and yield attributes were significantly influenced by the treatments. Most of the flowering, quality and yield characters were found highest in T<sub>7</sub>. Early visibility of bud from planting was recorded in the treatment T<sub>7</sub> i.e., 61.09 days. This trend was reflected by early opening of bud (8.65days), days taken for early full bloom from bud opening (3.55 days), longer duration of flower (124.10 days), highest number of flowers per plant (34.33), highest flower diameter (9.78 cm), highest disc diameter (3.70 cm), length of flower stalk (50.37 cm), girth of flower stalk (0.66 cm) for T<sub>7</sub> respectively. The highest self life of flower (16.21 days) and highest vase life of flower (10.78 days) were recorded in treatment T<sub>7</sub> respectively. Likewise highest fresh weight of flower (12.85 g) and highest dry weight of flower (2.06 g) was recorded in treatment T<sub>7</sub> respectively.

#### Keywords

Gerbera (*Gerbera jamesonii* Bolus), Transvaal Daisy, Barberton Daisy

#### Article Info

##### Received:

28 July 2024

##### Accepted:

31 August 2024

##### Available Online:

10 September 2024

### Introduction

Gerbera (*Gerbera jamesonii* Bolus) belonging to family Asteraceae, native to tropical Asia and Africa is commonly known as Transvaal Daisy or Barberton Daisy is a flower with magnificent beauty, varying hues and colours. It is a dwarf tender stemless perennial plant having brilliantly coloured disc-shaped flowers and leafless stems. The genus *Gerbera* consists of forty species of semi hardy and perennial flowering plants

(Bailey, 1963), the only species under cultivation is *G. jamesonii* with chromosome number n=25. The daisy like flowers are available in wide range of petal colours including yellow, red, orange, cream, white, pink, magenta, bricked, scarlet, salmon peach, maroon and various other intermediate shades. The colour variation, size of flowers, long lasting behaviour and wide adoptability made gerbera a flower of choice for cultivation in India. According to the global trends in floriculture, gerbera occupies the fourth place among the

top ten cut flowers in the world after rose, carnation and chrysanthemum (Choudhary and Prasad, 2009).

It is widely used as cut flower for presentation and interior decoration, garden plant, in landscapes as bedding plants for borders and flower beds and it makes a good showing in exhibitions and floral arrangements.

Steady decline in soil organic matter levels due to continuous cropping with injudicious applications of chemical fertilizers has led to negative nutrient balances in Indian agriculture, impaired soil health and weaken factor productivity (Rao, 2007).

Recent studies have focused on traditional fertilization practices to enhance soil organic input by amendments of crop residues, biofertilizers, green manure, and farmyard manure. Selection of organic inputs characterized by a reliance on local agricultural bio resources is now in great demand because they are more cost-effective (Bhattacharyya *et al.*, 2008).

To meet the increasing demand, quantity as well as quality production is utmost essential. However such study on gerbera is very scanty in Assam condition. Maximization of flower yield with quality and extending vase life are of the prime importance in the cultivation of gerbera. Keeping all these aspects in view, biofertilizer has been identified as an alternative to chemical fertilizer in order to increase soil fertility and crop production in sustainable farming (Anandaraj and Delapierre, 2010).

## Materials and Methods

The experiment was carried out at the Experimental Farm of the Department of Horticulture, Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat-785013 during the year 2015-17. The experimental soil was sandy loam in texture, well drained and having pH 5.1. The experiment was set out in a Randomized Block Design (RBD) which was replicated thrice. Cultivar Indukumari having uniform vigour and age were selected and planted on 15<sup>th</sup> of October in both the years of the study at a spacing of 30 cm x 30 cm.

The area of the experimental plot was 134.25 sq.m. and that of the individual bed was 1.5m x 1.5m (2.25 sq.m.) were raised to 25 cm from the ground level to avoid water stagnation. The crops were raised by following nine treatments in both the years. The Treatments were T<sub>0</sub> (Control), T<sub>1</sub> {*Bacillus subtilis* (4% solution)}, T<sub>2</sub> {*Microbacterium laevaniformans* (4% solution)}, T<sub>3</sub>

NPK (@15:10:20 g m<sup>-2</sup>), T<sub>4</sub> Vermicompost (5 kg per plot), T<sub>5</sub> (½ NPK + ½ Vermicompost + *Bacillus subtilis*), T<sub>6</sub> (½ NPK + ½ Vermicompost + *Microbacterium laevaniformans*), T<sub>7</sub> (½ NPK + ½ Vermicompost + Consortium) and T<sub>8</sub> (Consortium). Where, as per recommendation package and practices fertilizers were applied at the time of field preparation. N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O respectively which were applied NPK @15:10:20g m<sup>-2</sup>. All the fertilizers were applied four days ahead of planting. Half of urea, full dose of SSP, MOP was applied at the time of basal dose. The second dose of N was applied at 30 days after planting.

Collected suckers were separated carefully from the mother plant. Before planting roots were trimmed off and one-thirds of the top portion of the leaves were cut off. Seedling root dip treatment was done with microbial consortium and kept for 15 to 20 minutes before planting. Suckers were then planted by maintaining proper spacing.

## Observations on flower characters

Three representative flowers per plant were tagged for five sampled plants totaling fifteen flowers per plant. The same was repeated for each replication and the data was recorded.

### Days to flower bud visibility

The date of first flower bud visibility was recorded and then converted to number of days taken for visibility of flower bud from planting.

### Days to flower bud opening from bud visibility

The number of days taken for opening of first flower bud from flower bud visibility was recorded.

### Days to full bloom from bud opening

The number of days taken by the first flower for full bloom from bud opening were counted and recorded.

### Duration of flowering from 1st bloom to the last bloom (days)

The duration of flowering from the first bloom to the last bloom for each treatment was calculated by counting the number of days from the first flower bud showing colour till the last flower fading in that treatment.

### **Number of flowers per plant**

The total number of flowers per representative plant from starting of flowering up to the final stage of flowering were counted and recorded.

### **Diameter of flower (cm)**

Diameter of the three sample flowers was recorded at full bloom stage at peak flowering and the average was recorded.

### **Diameter of disc (cm)**

Diameter of the central flower disc of three sample flowers was recorded at peak flowering and then the average was recorded.

### **Length of flower stalk (cm)**

The length of the stalks from three sample flowers was measured from the base of the plant to the tip of the stalk below the flower head of each plant with the help of a meter scale and average was recorded.

### **Girth of flower stalk (cm)**

Diameter of flower stalk was measured from three points (upper, middle and base) with the help of vernier calipers and then the average was recorded and expressed in cm.

### **Self life of flower (days)**

The number of days required from half bloom to half fading of the flowers were considered as the self life and recorded accordingly.

### **Vase life of flower (days)**

The sample flowers were harvested when the first two whorls of disc floret becomes perpendicular to the ray florets. It was done early in the morning and immediately the flowers were kept in a bucket of fresh water. Later, the flower stalks were cut to have uniform stalk length of 30 cm. Such prepared flowers were kept individually in flask containing 100 ml of distilled water. Flowers were observed daily till they were become half fading and found unfit for containing in vase. The vase life was expressed in terms of days from the date of harvest to final observation.

### **Fresh weight of flower (g)**

Stalk of three sample flowers along with the flower head of each plant from the treatments. The weight was then taken in a digital weighing machine and the average was recorded. Final weight is recorded in g.

### **Dry weight of flower (g)**

Dry weight of the flowers was taken by drying them at 60°C up to a point when two consecutive readings taken at two days interval gave same value.

All results were statistically analyzed using method advocated by [Panse and Sukhatme \(1995\)](#). When ANOVA showed significant differences, mean separation was carried out using critical difference (C.D) test at 5% level of significance to draw the valid conclusion.

## **Results and Discussion**

Ultimate aim of any grower is to get higher yield, coupled with better quality to generate more revenue. Number of days for bud initiation and number of days for bud opening (Table: 1) was significantly decrease in T<sub>7</sub>. This might be attributed to vigorous growth of the plants due to balanced nutrient levels in combination with biofertilizers, vermicompost and NPK results in early transformation of plant parts from vegetative to reproductive phase ([Singh et al., 2007](#) in rose, [Renukaradhya, 2005](#) and [Gangadharswamy, 2010](#) in carnation). Since phosphorus is an important element and essential for initiation of flowering, PSB along with vermicompost and NPK might have increase the availability of phosphorus which resulted in early flowering ([Mantur, 1988](#)). Presence of growth promoting substances such as GA<sub>3</sub> and cytokinin and bio fertilizers which makes ready availability of nutrients along with presence of plant growth promoting substances might have lead to early flowering ([Somasundaram et al., 2004](#)).

The number of days taken for full bloom from bud opening was significantly better in T<sub>7</sub>. The earliness of flowering might be attributed to the presence of biofertilizers resulted in easy uptake of nutrients and simultaneous transport of growth promoting substances like cytokinins to the axillary buds resulting in faster flower opening ([Somasundaram et al., 2004](#)). These results are in the line with the findings in marigold

(Chandrikapure *et al.*, 1999), crossandra (Narasimha and Haripriya, 2001) and limonium (Gayathri *et al.*, 2004). Similarly, it also helps in increasing the duration of flowering in T<sub>7</sub> (Table: 2).

Number of flowers produced per plant (Table: 3) was significantly highest in T<sub>7</sub>. Increase in flower yield may be attributed to increased availability of phosphorous and its greater uptake due to application PSB (Kundu and Gaur, 1980).

Combination of biofertilizers and vermicompost along with recommended NPK produced higher flower yield in limonium (Gayathri *et al.*, 2004). Similarly, Chandrikapure *et al.*, (1999) reported higher flower yield in marigold due to combined applications of *Azotobacter* and PSB with 75 per cent recommended dose of nitrogen.

In presence of growth promoting substances such as GA and cytokinins, produced by growth promoting bacteria might be responsible for increasing the number of flowers. Vasanthkumar (2006) was attributed to huge quantity of microbial load and growth hormones which might have enhanced the soil biomass thereby sustaining the availability and uptake of applied as well as native soil nutrients which ultimately resulted in growth and yield of crops.

Cut flowers with larger flower diameter and disc diameter was recorded in T<sub>7</sub> (Table: 3). The increased uptake of phosphorus by PSB and the phytohormonal effects produced by biofertilizers might have resulted in higher diameter of gerbera flowers (Renukaradya, 2005 in carnation, Puttaswamy, 2004 and Prasanna, 2007 in gerbera).

Stalk length and girth of stalk (Table: 4) was recorded significantly higher in T<sub>7</sub>. Higher stalk length might be due to better nutrient uptake, higher photosynthetic efficiency, source-sink relationship besides excellent physiological and biochemical activities due to the presence of PSB and vermicompost were reported by Swaminathan *et al.*, (1999) and Wang *et al.*, (1995). Growth promoting substances, particularly GA like substances in the plant growth promoting bacteria and bio stimulants might have also contributed to flower stalk elongation were obtained in carnation (Bhalla *et al.*, 2006 and Bhatia *et al.*, 2004), Padmadevi *et al.*, (2001) in anthurium, Bonita *et al.*, (1994) in gerbera and Singh *et*

*al.*, (2007) in tube rose. Increase in girth of flower stalk might be due to application of vermicompost along with biofertilizers and NPK by Kale *et al.*, (1987). Also due to the mycorrhizal root colonization, which enhanced availability of phosphorus by Nethra (1996).

Significantly maximum self life and vase life (Table: 5) in T<sub>7</sub>. Longer self life may be due to longer stalk length and excessive accumulation of sugars in the stem which attributed to the development of water conducting tissues facilitated by bio fertilizers reported in china aster (Nethra, 1996), chrysanthemum (Hemavathi, 1997) and gerbera (Thane *et al.*, 2007). Growth promoting substances like cytokinins present in bio fertilizers might be responsible for longer self life (Narayanagowda, 2003).

Longer vase life may be due to better development of water conducting tissue and better absorption of water. Such improved vase life of flowers obtained from microbial inoculated plants were reported in several flower crops like gerbera (Prasanna, 2007 and Seetha, 1999), Anthurium (Padmadevi *et al.*, 2001), gladiolus (Kathiresan, 1999). Srivastava *et al.*, (2007) reported increase in vase life may be due to the availability of P to the plant which improves the quality of flower due to better phosphorelation in plants have helped in prolonging the post harvest life.

There was significant increase in the fresh weight and dry weight of flower (Table: 6) in T<sub>7</sub>. Increased fresh weight might be due to increased availability of nutrients and increased mineralization and absorption of nitrogen reported by Johnson *et al.*, (1982) in *Chrysanthemum morifolium* and Bagyaraj and Powel (1985) in marigold. Also it might be increased due to biological fixation of nitrogen and phosphorus in root portion of plants resulting in absorption of more nutrients and its utilization (Bhalla *et al.*, 2006 in carnation). Increase in dry weight might be due to storage of carbohydrates and nitrogen compounds in the flower stalk (Flores *et al.*, 2007).

From the experiment it can be concluded that the treatment T<sub>7</sub> (½ NPK + ½ Vermicompost + Consortium) were found to be the most efficient treatment in terms of both yield and quality as well as for sustaining soil health.

**Table.1** Days to bud visibility from planting and days to bud opening from bud visibility

Treatment	Days to bud visibility from planting			Days to bud opening from bud visibility		
	2015-2016	2016-2017	Pooled	2015-2016	2016-2017	Pooled
T <sub>0</sub>	78.80	74.50	<b>76.65</b>	15.62	15.08	<b>15.35</b>
T <sub>1</sub>	71.67	70.87	<b>71.27</b>	12.92	12.03	<b>12.48</b>
T <sub>2</sub>	70.30	69.60	<b>69.95</b>	12.32	11.92	<b>12.12</b>
T <sub>3</sub>	68.23	65.74	<b>66.99</b>	11.12	10.67	<b>10.89</b>
T <sub>4</sub>	66.10	62.80	<b>64.45</b>	10.22	9.67	<b>9.94</b>
T <sub>5</sub>	65.15	62.33	<b>63.74</b>	9.63	9.20	<b>9.42</b>
T <sub>6</sub>	64.43	61.73	<b>63.08</b>	9.50	8.83	<b>9.17</b>
T <sub>7</sub>	62.13	60.05	<b>61.09</b>	8.97	8.33	<b>8.65</b>
T <sub>8</sub>	69.37	68.57	<b>68.97</b>	11.80	11.03	<b>11.42</b>
S.Ed (±)	3.51	3.12	<b>2.44</b>	1.28	1.38	<b>0.92</b>
CD (5%)	<b>7.43</b>	<b>6.61</b>	<b>5.17</b>	<b>2.71</b>	<b>2.93</b>	<b>1.95</b>

**Table.2** Days to full bloom from bud opening and duration of flowering from first bloom to the last bloom

Treatment	Days to full bloom from bud opening			Duration of flowering(days)		
	2015-2016	2016-2017	Pooled	2015-2016	2016-2017	Pooled
T <sub>0</sub>	6.27	6.22	<b>6.24</b>	92.33	94.40	<b>93.37</b>
T <sub>1</sub>	5.67	5.60	<b>5.63</b>	98.60	98.93	<b>98.77</b>
T <sub>2</sub>	5.60	5.20	<b>5.40</b>	100.33	101.47	<b>100.90</b>
T <sub>3</sub>	5.13	4.87	<b>5.00</b>	109.07	112.20	<b>110.63</b>
T <sub>4</sub>	4.93	4.54	<b>4.74</b>	114.67	115.00	<b>114.83</b>
T <sub>5</sub>	4.07	3.99	<b>4.03</b>	118.33	119.32	<b>118.83</b>
T <sub>6</sub>	3.93	3.74	<b>3.84</b>	119.43	120.94	<b>120.19</b>
T <sub>7</sub>	3.77	3.33	<b>3.55</b>	121.33	126.87	<b>124.10</b>
T <sub>8</sub>	5.27	5.60	<b>5.43</b>	102.67	106.08	<b>104.38</b>
S.Ed (±)	0.49	0.84	<b>0.55</b>	7.56	9.09	<b>4.35</b>
CD (5%)	<b>1.04</b>	<b>1.74</b>	<b>1.16</b>	<b>16.03</b>	<b>19.27</b>	<b>9.21</b>

**Table.3** Number of flowers per plant, diameter of flower and disc

Treatment	Number of flowers per plant			Diameter of flower(cm)			Diameter of disc(cm)		
	2015-2016	2016-2017	Pooled	2015-2016	2016-2017	Pooled	2015-2016	2016-2017	Pooled
T <sub>0</sub>	16.33	21.29	<b>18.81</b>	5.90	6.97	<b>6.44</b>	1.37	1.97	<b>1.67</b>
T <sub>1</sub>	20.71	23.33	<b>22.02</b>	7.13	7.76	<b>7.45</b>	2.02	2.14	<b>2.08</b>
T <sub>2</sub>	23.48	24.77	<b>24.13</b>	6.93	7.03	<b>6.98</b>	2.18	2.26	<b>2.22</b>
T <sub>3</sub>	25.96	27.80	<b>26.88</b>	8.13	8.93	<b>8.53</b>	2.35	2.62	<b>2.49</b>
T <sub>4</sub>	27.33	30.80	<b>29.07</b>	8.93	9.23	<b>9.08</b>	2.72	2.92	<b>2.82</b>
T <sub>5</sub>	30.53	32.20	<b>31.37</b>	9.07	9.75	<b>9.41</b>	2.86	3.09	<b>2.98</b>
T <sub>6</sub>	30.93	33.20	<b>32.07</b>	9.10	9.93	<b>9.52</b>	2.94	3.31	<b>3.12</b>
T <sub>7</sub>	32.71	35.94	<b>34.33</b>	9.23	10.33	<b>9.78</b>	3.43	3.96	<b>3.70</b>
T <sub>8</sub>	24.67	26.07	<b>25.37</b>	8.57	9.29	<b>8.93</b>	2.28	2.45	<b>2.37</b>
S.Ed (±)	2.04	2.71	<b>1.75</b>	0.85	0.81	<b>0.63</b>	0.33	0.41	<b>0.28</b>
CD (5%)	<b>4.32</b>	<b>5.75</b>	<b>3.70</b>	<b>1.79</b>	<b>1.72</b>	<b>1.34</b>	<b>0.71</b>	<b>0.86</b>	<b>0.59</b>

**Table.4** Length and girth flower stalk

Treatment	Length of flower stalk(cm)			Girth of flower stal(cm)		
	2015-2016	2016-2017	Pooled	2015-2016	2016-2017	Pooled
T <sub>0</sub>	33.04	35.42	<b>34.23</b>	0.37	0.45	<b>0.41</b>
T <sub>1</sub>	38.13	40.54	<b>39.34</b>	0.45	0.50	<b>0.48</b>
T <sub>2</sub>	40.71	41.23	<b>40.97</b>	0.47	0.51	<b>0.49</b>
T <sub>3</sub>	43.68	43.66	<b>43.67</b>	0.51	0.55	<b>0.53</b>
T <sub>4</sub>	44.71	45.59	<b>45.15</b>	0.55	0.60	<b>0.58</b>
T <sub>5</sub>	45.14	46.08	<b>45.61</b>	0.55	0.61	<b>0.58</b>
T <sub>6</sub>	46.73	47.12	<b>46.93</b>	0.58	0.63	<b>0.60</b>
T <sub>7</sub>	49.13	51.61	<b>50.37</b>	0.63	0.68	<b>0.66</b>
T <sub>8</sub>	41.57	41.58	<b>41.58</b>	0.49	0.52	<b>0.51</b>
S.Ed (±)	2.98	3.10	<b>2.20</b>	0.04	0.05	<b>0.03</b>
CD (5%)	<b>6.32</b>	<b>6.56</b>	<b>4.67</b>	<b>0.08</b>	<b>0.11</b>	<b>0.07</b>

**Table.5** Self life and vase life of flower

Treatment	Self life of flower(days)			Vase life of flower(dats)		
	2015-2016	2016-2017	Pooled	2015-2016	2016-2017	Pooled
T <sub>0</sub>	7.88	8.40	<b>8.14</b>	5.13	6.09	<b>5.61</b>
T <sub>1</sub>	10.85	10.90	<b>10.87</b>	6.91	7.22	<b>7.07</b>
T <sub>2</sub>	10.92	11.67	<b>11.29</b>	6.67	7.74	<b>7.21</b>
T <sub>3</sub>	13.40	13.80	<b>13.60</b>	7.69	8.22	<b>7.96</b>
T <sub>4</sub>	14.00	14.60	<b>14.30</b>	8.10	8.64	<b>8.37</b>
T <sub>5</sub>	14.60	14.82	<b>14.71</b>	8.56	8.93	<b>8.75</b>
T <sub>6</sub>	15.33	15.73	<b>15.53</b>	8.89	9.03	<b>8.96</b>
T <sub>7</sub>	15.80	16.62	<b>16.21</b>	10.33	11.22	<b>10.78</b>
T <sub>8</sub>	11.73	12.93	<b>12.33</b>	7.92	8.98	<b>8.45</b>
S.Ed (±)	1.53	2.23	<b>1.23</b>	1.16	1.05	<b>0.94</b>
CD (5%)	<b>3.24</b>	<b>4.73</b>	<b>2.60</b>	<b>2.45</b>	<b>2.22</b>	<b>2.00</b>

**Table.6** Fresh weight and dry weight of flower

Treatment	Fresh weight of flower(g)			Dry weight of flower(g)		
	2015-2016	2016-2017	Pooled	2015-2016	2016-2017	Pooled
T <sub>0</sub>	9.48	9.76	<b>9.62</b>	1.31	1.44	<b>1.38</b>
T <sub>1</sub>	10.27	10.72	<b>10.50</b>	1.55	1.66	<b>1.61</b>
T <sub>2</sub>	10.47	10.92	<b>10.69</b>	1.60	1.62	<b>1.61</b>
T <sub>3</sub>	10.67	11.22	<b>10.94</b>	1.71	1.76	<b>1.73</b>
T <sub>4</sub>	11.94	11.71	<b>11.83</b>	1.75	2.15	<b>1.95</b>
T <sub>5</sub>	12.01	12.17	<b>12.09</b>	1.76	1.78	<b>1.77</b>
T <sub>6</sub>	11.88	12.39	<b>12.14</b>	1.84	1.80	<b>1.82</b>
T <sub>7</sub>	12.60	13.10	<b>12.85</b>	1.98	2.14	<b>2.06</b>
T <sub>8</sub>	10.63	11.02	<b>10.83</b>	1.71	1.67	<b>1.69</b>
S.Ed (±)	0.90	0.88	<b>0.83</b>	0.13	0.15	<b>0.11</b>
CD (5%)	<b>1.92</b>	<b>1.86</b>	<b>1.77</b>	<b>0.28</b>	<b>0.32</b>	<b>0.23</b>

Hence, this treatment may be placed under multi-location trials in farmer's field to judge the efficacy for commercial cultivation of gerbera by reducing the quantity of chemical fertilizers in different agro climatic zones to improve the soil structure and texture, reduces soil pollution, reduced extensive fertilizer application which is beneficial for the present problems of high cost of fertilizers and environmental pollution.

### Author Contributions

Nilasree Borah: Investigation, formal analysis, writing—original draft.

### Data Availability

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

### Declarations

**Ethical Approval** Not applicable.

**Consent to Participate** Not applicable.

**Consent to Publish** Not applicable.

**Conflict of Interest** The authors declare no competing interests.

### References

- Anandaraj, B. and Delpierre, L.R. (2010). Studies on influence of bioinoculants *Pseudomonas fluorescens*, *Rhizobium* sp. *Bacillus megaterium* in green gram. *J. Biosci. Tech.*, 1(2): 95-99.
- Bagyaraj, D. J. and Powell, C. L. (1985). Effect of VAM inoculation and fertiliser application on growth of marigold. *New Zealand Agric. Res.*, 28(1):169-173.
- Bailey, L. H. (1963). Gerebera. The Standard Encyclopedia of Horticulture. The McMillan Press. New York. In: Commercial Foriculture Bose T. K. and Yadav, L. P. (eds.) Naya Prakash, Calcutta, India, p. 601.
- Bhalla, R.; Dharma, S.; Dhiman, S. R. and Jain, R. (2006). Effect of Biofertilizers and Biostimulants on Growth and Flowering in Standard Carnation (*Dianthus Caryophyllus* Linn.). *J. Ornament. Hort.*, 9(4): 282-284.
- Bhatia, S.; Gupta, Y. C. and Dhiman, S. R. (2004). Effect of growing media and fertigation on growth and flowering of carnation under protected conditions. *J. Ornament. Hort.*, 7(2): 174-178.
- Bhattacharyya, R.; Kundu, S.; Prakash, V. and Gupta, H. S. (2008). Sustainability under combined application of mineral and organic fertilizers in a rainfed soybean wheat system of the Indian Himalayas. *Euro. J. Agron.*, 28: 33-46. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eja.2007.04.006>
- Bonita, D. E.; Duke, E. R. and Elliot, M. C. (1994). Root colonisation by *Glomus intraradices* (VAM fungi) on horticultural species. *Proc. Flo. State Hort. Sc.*, 107:217-220.
- Chandrikapure, K. R.; Sadawarte, K. T.; Padhbhai, D. M. and Shelke, B. D. (1999). Effect of bioinoculants and graded doses of nitrogen on growth and flower yield of Marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.). *Orissa J. Hort.*, 27(2): 31-34.
- Choudhary and Prasad, 2009. Effects of biofertilizer with Nitrogen and Phosphorus on sprouting of bulbs and flowering in tuberose. *Progres. Agric.*, 9: 277-281.
- Flores, A. C.; Luna, A. A. E. and Portugal, V. O. (2007). Yield and quality enhancement of marigold flowers by inoculation with *Bacillus subtilis* and *Glomus fasciculatum*. *J. Sustainable Agri.*, 31(1): 21-31. [https://doi.org/10.1300/J064v31n01\\_04](https://doi.org/10.1300/J064v31n01_04)
- Gangadharswamy, S. (2010). Integrated nutrient management in Carnation (*Dianthus caryophyllus* L.) cv. Dona under Polyhouse. Ph. D. (Hort.) Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore.
- Gayathri, H. N.; Jayaprasad, K. V. and Narayanaswamy, P. (2004). Response of biofertilizers and their combined application with different levels of inorganic fertilizers in static (*Limonium caspia*). *J. Ornament. Hort.*, 7(1): 70-74.
- Hemavathi, M. (1997). Effect of organic manures and biofertilisers on growth and productivity of Chrysanthemum (*Chrysanthemum morifolium* Ramat.) cv. Local Yellow. M.Sc. (Agril.) Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore.
- Johnson, C. R.; Menge, J. A. and Johnson, E. L. V. (1982). Effect of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizae on growth of *Chrysanthemum* Ramat. *Scientia Hort.*, 17: 265-269. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4238\(82\)90049-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4238(82)90049-8)
- Kale, R. D.; Bano, K.; Sreenivasa, M. N. and Bagyaraj, D. J. (1987). Influences of worm cast on the

- growth and Mycorrhizae of two ornamental plants. *South Indian Hort.*, 35(6):433-437.
- Kathiresan, C. (1999). Effect of biofertilizers with different levels of nitrogen and phosphorus on growth, yield and quality of gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandiflorus* Nees.). M.Sc. (Hort.) Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore.
- Kundu, B. S. and Gaur, A. C. (1980). Effect of phosphobacteria on yield and phosphate uptake of potato crop. *Curr. Sci.*, 49:154-160.
- Mantur, S. M. (1988). Studies on nutrition, growth regulators and soil salinity on flower and seed production in china aster (*Callistephus chinensis* Nees) cv. Ostroch plume mixed. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad.
- Narasimharaju, S. and Haripriya, K. (2001). Integrated nutrient management in Crossandra (*Crossandra infundibuliformis* L.) cv. Dindigul Local. *South Ind. Hort.*, 49: 181-184.
- Narayanagowda, J. V. (2003). Effect of vermicompost and biofertilizers on growth and yield of gerbera (*Gerbera jamesonii* L.) cv. Local. National Symposium on Recent Advances in Indian Floriculture, Vellanikara, India, Kerala Agricultural University. p.19.
- Nethra, N. N. (1996). Effect of organic and inorganic fertilisers on growth, yield and post harvest life of China aster (*Caristephus chinensis* L. Nees). M.Sc.(Hort.) *Thrsity of Agricultural Sciences*, Bangalore. p: 35-65.
- Padmadevi, K.; Jawaharlal, M. and Vijayakumar, M. (2001). Effect of biofertilisers on floral characters and vase life of Anthurium (*Anthurium andreanum* Lind) cv. Temptation. *South Ind. Hort.*, 52(1-6): 228-231.
- Panse, V. G. and Sukhatme, P. V. (1995). Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers, Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi.
- Prasanna, V. P. (2007). Influence of microbial inoculants on growth and flower yield of gerbera under greenhouse conditions. M.Sc. Thesis. University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore. p: 73-75.
- Puttaswamy N. M. (2004). INM in potted gerbera (*Gerbera jamesonii* H. Bolus) grown under shade house conditions. M.Sc. Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore. p: 60-64.
- Rao, D. L. N. (2007). Microbial Diversity, Soil Health and Sustainability. *J. Ind. Soc. Soil Sc.*, 55: 392-403.
- Renukaradya. (2005). Integrated nutrient management in carnation (*Dianthus caryophyllus* L.) Cv. Desio under greenhouse M.Sc. Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore.
- Seetha, M. C. (1999). Effect of vermicompost and biofertilisers on growth and yield of gerbera cv. Local. M.Sc. Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore.
- Singh, B.; Srivastava, R. and Chandra, R. (2007). Response of Panchagavya and Manchurian Mushroom Tea on floral characters in tube rose (*Polianthes tuberosa* Linn.) cultivar Pearl Double. *J. Orn. Hort.*, 10(4): 250-254
- Somasundaram, E.; Sankaran, N.; Chandragiri, D. and Thiyagarajan, T.M. (2004). Bio-gas slurry and Panchagavya promising organics to reconstruct our Agro eco system. *Agro bios News letter*. 2(10):13-14.
- Srivastava, R.; Vishen, V. S. and Chand, S. (2007). Effect of Azotobacter and organic manures on post harvest characteristics of tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* L.). *Pantnagar J. Res.*, 5: 54-55.
- Swaminathan, V.; Ramaswamy, N. and Pillai, J. O. A. A. (1999). Effect of *Azospirillum*, Phosphobacteria and inorganic nutrients on the growth and yield of tuberose. *South Ind. Hort.*, 47(1-6):331-334.
- Thane, S. R.; Shembekar, R. Z.; Bhongle, S. A. and Badge, S. A. (2007). Effect of integrated nutrient management on flower quality, yield and vase life of gerbera (*Gerbera jamesonii* H. Bolus) grown under shade net conditions. *Plant Archives.*, 7(2): 679-680.
- Vasanthkumar, H. A. (2006). Jeevamrut slurry preparation, Siri Samruddhi, pp.4-5
- Wang, S. S.; Patil, P. L. and Patil, J. J. (1995). Effect of biofertilizers alone and with nitrogen levels on tuberose cv. Single petaled. *J. Soils Crops*. 5 (2): 97-99.

#### How to cite this article:

Nilasree Borah. 2024. Influence of Biofertilizers on Flowering, Quality and Yield of Gerbera (*Gerbera jamesonii* Bolus) in Open Field Condition. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci*. 13(9): 173-180.

doi: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcm.2024.1309.019>